

ISSN Print: 2617-4693 ISSN Online: 2617-4707 IJABR 2024; 8(3): 971-974 www.biochemjournal.com Received: 11-12-2023 Accepted: 16-01-2024

# Nawal Kishor Sahu

M.Sc. Horticulture, Department of Fruit Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

### Dr. GD Sahu

Associate Professor of Fruit Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Dr. Deepti Patel

Scientist of Fruit Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Maksudan

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Nawal Kishor Sahu M.Sc. Horticulture, Department of Fruit Science, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

# Impact of organic mulches on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under ultra high density planting system

# Nawal Kishor Sahu, Dr. GD Sahu, Dr. Deepti Patel and Maksudan

# DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i3k.904

#### Abstract

The present investigation "Impact on effect of organic mulches on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under ultra high density planting system" was conducted at PFDC (Precision Farming Development Centre), Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur during the year 2022-2023. The results revealed that the significantly maximum yield character give better results in terms of fruit length (8.04 cm), fruit width (8.71 cm), fruit volume (198.00 cc), fruit weight (196.00 g), fruit yield (18.62 kg/plant) was observed under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Rice husk) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (Paddy straw) and T<sub>8</sub> (Mushroom compost). Treatment T<sub>5</sub> significantly maximum quality parameter - total soluble solids (12.10°Brix), minimum titrable acidity (0.19%), ascorbic acid (196.63 mg/100 g), reducing sugar (4.91%), non- reducing sugar (5.12%), total sugar (10.03%) of fruits pulp followed by T<sub>1</sub> (Paddy straw) and T<sub>8</sub> (Mushroom compost).

Keywords: Organic mulching, mushroom compost and paddy husk

## Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is one of the most important economic fruit crops in tropical and subtropical regions of India. It belongs to the genus *Psidium* of the Myrtaceae family, with about 150 species. Native of tropical America (from Mexico to Peru). In guava, most commercial cultivars are diploid (2n = 22), while seedless cultivars are triploid and shy bearer in nature.

Guava is a fast-growing evergreen shrub or small tree that grows between 3 and 10 metres tall. Guava generates suckers from the roots and low drooping branches from the base. The guava fruit grows on a short tree with a single to multi-stemmed trunk and a wide, short canopy. The guava tree has a greenish bark and long, serrated leaves that are 3-7 inches long. It blooms white and bears little round, oval, or pear-shaped fruits. These are more precisely berries with soft flesh that can be white, pink, yellow or even red in colour with a taste that ranges from acidic to sour to sweet depending on the variety. Guava fruits are commonly eaten raw and are processed into jams, jellies and preserves. Guava tree bears flowers and a fruits on the current season recently matured shoots either from lateral buds on older wood or shoot terminals (Crane and Balerdi 2005 and Thakre *et al.* 2013) <sup>[1, 9]</sup>. Therefore, increase in the number of current season new shoots has a significant impact on the production. Guava fruit contains antioxidant properties and is known to regulate the systolic blood pressure.

Guava is typically grown using a standard planting strategy. In which achieving a desirable level of productivity is extremely challenging. Furthermore, because huge plants give low production per unit space, the guava plant takes 4-5 years to come into commercial bearing in an ultra high density planting method, maximizing the overall cost of production per unit area. This includes using the right plant density, canopy management, high-quality planting materials, and a support and management system with the right inputs. One approach for achieving efficient and economical land usage is to space out plants. Its primary purpose is to limit the plant's exploitation zone in terms of light, water, and nutrients, in order to get the maximum total yield positional in the smallest feasible space. The amount of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) measured in tightly spaced trees was lower than in medium and low trees. Overall, light penetrates the tree centre, the centre between tree rows, and the centre between rows.

# **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted in the PFDC, at Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur during the year 2022 - 2023. The experiment was conducted on guava with nine treatment and three replication in Randomized Block Design. The total number of tree included in the experiment were 81 plant and were space at 2 m x 1 m.

### Treatment combinations

S. No.	Treatments	Notations to be used		
1	No mulch	$T_0$		
2	Paddy straw	$T_1$		
3	Farm compost	$T_2$		
4	Cover crop	$T_3$		
5	Saw dust	$T_4$		
6	Rice husk	T5		
7	Card board	$T_6$		
8	Banana leaves	$T_7$		
9	Mushroom compost	T <sub>8</sub>		

# Result

Impact of organic mulches on average fruit length (cm) ranged between 6.39 to 8.04 cm. The maximum fruit length (cm) of guava was obtained in significantly treatment  $T_5$  – Rice husk (8.04 cm) as compared with rest of all treatment. However, it was at par treatment  $T_1$  – Paddy straw (7.83 cm),  $T_8$  – Mushroom compost (7.10 cm). The treatment  $T_0$  (Control - No mulch) was obtained minimum fruit length (6.39 cm). Similar result was also observed by Maji and Das (2008) <sup>[5]</sup> studied in fruit length maximum in 8.74 cm treatment paddy straw mulch than all other treatments.

The effects of various organic mulching treatments on fruit width (cm) are summarized in Table 1. It is apparent from the data that different mulching treatment had a significant impact on fruit width (cm). The maximum fruit width of 8.71 cm was recorded with the use rice husk mulch ( $T_5$ ), which was followed by 8.33 cm with the use of paddy straw mulch ( $T_1$ ), 8.02 cm with the use of saw dust mulch ( $T_4$ ) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum fruit width of 7.03 cm was recorded in  $T_0$  control where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Maji and Das (2008) <sup>[5]</sup> studied in fruit width maximum in 8.23 cm treatment paddy straw mulch than all other treatments.

Table 1: Impact of organic mulches on yield of guava (Psidium guajava L.) under ultra high density planting system

Treatments Fruit length (cm)		Fruit width (cm)	Fruit volume (cc)	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield kg / plant	
T <sub>0</sub> - No mulch (control)	6.39	7.03	166.00	170.00	11.39	
T <sub>1</sub> - Paddy straw	7.83	8.33	195.00	193.00	17.37	
T2 - Farm compost	6.74	7.66	180.00	181.00	13.93	
T <sub>3</sub> - Cover crop	6.63	7.47	174.00	176.00	12.84	
T <sub>4</sub> - Saw dust	6.98	8.02	191.00	189.00	15.68	
T <sub>5</sub> - Rice husk	8.04	8.71	198.00	196.00	18.62	
T <sub>6</sub> - Card board	7.00	7.60	181.00	183.00	14.82	
T <sub>7</sub> - Banana leaves	6.90	7.40	178.00	179.00	13.42	
T <sub>8</sub> - Mushroom compost	7.10	7.83	193.00	191.00	16.42	
SEm±	1.12	1.23	2.50	2.27	1.96	
CD at 5% level	3.40	3.70	7.51	6.83	5.88	

It is apparent from the data that different mulching treatment had a significant impact on fruit volume (cc). The maximum fruit volume of 198.00 cc was recorded with the use rice husk mulch ( $T_5$ ), which was followed by 195.00 cc with the use of paddy straw mulch  $(T_1)$ , 193.00 cc with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 191.00 cc with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 181.00 cc with the use of card board mulch ( $T_6$ ), 180.00 cc with the use of farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>). 178.00 cc with the use of banana leaves mulch (T<sub>7</sub>), 174.00 cc with the use of cover crop mulch  $(T_3)$  and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum fruit volume of 166.00 cc was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Mishra et al. (2004) [6] studied in fruit volume maximum in 205.00 cc treatment sugarcane trash mulch than all other treatments.

It is apparent from the data that different mulching treatment had a significant impact on fruit weight (g). The maximum fruit weight of 196.00 g was recorded with the use rice husk mulch (T<sub>5</sub>), which was followed by 193.00 g with the use of paddy straw mulch (T<sub>1</sub>), 191.00 g with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 189.00 g with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 183.00 g with the use of card board mulch (T<sub>6</sub>), 181.00 g with the use of farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>), 179.00 g with the use of banana leaves mulch ( $T_7$ ), 176.00 g with the use of cover crop mulch ( $T_3$ ) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum fruit weight of 170.00 g was recorded in T0 where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Debnath *et al.* (2004) <sup>[3]</sup> studied in fruit weight maximum in 195.00 g treatment paddy straw mulch than all other treatments.

Impact of different mulching treatment had a significant impact on fruit yield kg / plant. The maximum fruit yield 18.62 (kg/plant) was recorded with the use rice husk mulch  $(T_5)$ , which was followed by 17.37 (kg/plant) with the use of paddy straw mulch (T1), 16.42 (kg/plant) with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 15.68 (kg/plant) with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 14.82 (kg/plant) with the use of card board mulch ( $T_6$ ), 13.93 (kg/plant) with the use of farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>), 13.42 (kg/plant) with the use of banana leaves mulch  $(T_7)$ , 12.84 (kg/plant) with the use of cover crop mulch (T<sub>3</sub>) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum fruit yield 11.39 (kg/plant) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Patra et al. (2003) [7] studied in fruit yield maximum in 22.32 kg per plant treatment Paddy straw and black polyethylene mulch than all other treatments.

Treatments	Total Soluble Solid (°Brix)	Titratable acidity (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)	Reducing sugar (%)	Non-reducing sugar (%)	Total sugar (%)
To-No mulch (control)	9.94	0.33	176.62	4.34	3.85	8.19
T1 - Paddy straw	11.73	0.21	194.29	4.88	5.08	9.96
T2 - Farm compost	11.09	0.30	182.21	4.67	4.93	9.60
T <sub>3</sub> - Cover crop	10.77	0.31	178.15	4.53	4.92	9.45
T <sub>4</sub> - Saw dust	11.33	0.25	188.74	4.79	5.02	9.81
T <sub>5</sub> - Rice husk	12.10	0.19	196.63	4.91	5.12	10.03
T <sub>6</sub> - Card board	11.15	0.27	184.75	4.72	4.06	9.72
T <sub>7</sub> - Banana leaves	10.96	0.30	179.23	4.65	4.89	9.54
T <sub>8</sub> - Mushroom compost	11.66	0.23	194.89	4.84	5.06	9.91
SEm±	0.53	0.01	1.36	0.22	0.23	0.45
CD at 5% level	1.60	0.03	4.08	0.68	0.70	1.37

In this study, it was revealed that the main effect of organic mulching, has significant effect on total soluble solid (°Brix). Maximum TSS (12.10 °Brix) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>5</sub>- Rice husk, followed by 11.73 (°Brix) with the use of paddy straw mulch  $(T_1)$ , 11.66 (°Brix) with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 11.33 (°Brix) with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 11.15 (°Brix) with the use of card board mulch (T<sub>6</sub>), 11.09 (°Brix) with the use of farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>), 10.96 (°Brix) with the use of banana leaves mulch (T<sub>7</sub>), 10.77 (°Brix) with the use of cover crop mulch (T<sub>3</sub>) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum total soluble solid 9.94 (°Brix) was recorded in T0 where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Das et al. (2010)<sup>[2]</sup> studied in total soluble solids maximum in 12.98 (°Brix) treatment paddy straw mulch than all other treatments.

Effect of different organic mulching treatment had a significant impact on titrable acidity (%). The minimum titrable acidity 0.19 (%) was recorded with the use rice husk mulch (T<sub>5</sub>), which was followed by 0.21 (%) with the use of paddy straw mulch (T<sub>1</sub>), 0.23 (%) with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 0.25 (%) with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 0.27 (%) with the use of card board mulch (T<sub>6</sub>), 0.30 (%) with the use of banana leaves (T<sub>7</sub>) and farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>), 0.31 (%) with the use of cover crops mulch (T<sub>3</sub>) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the maximum titrable acidity 0.33 (%) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Dikshit *et al.* (2010) <sup>[4]</sup> studied in acidity minimum in 0.22% treatment saw dust than all other treatments.

In this study, it was revealed that the main effect of organic mulching, has significant effect on ascorbic acid (mg/100 g). Maximum ascorbic acid (196.63 mg/100 g) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>5</sub>- Rice husk, followed by 194.89 (mg/100 g) with the use of mushroom compost mulch  $(T_8)$ , 194.29 (mg/100 g) with the use of paddy straw mulch ( $T_1$ ), 188.74 (mg/100 g) with the use of saw dust mulch ( $T_4$ ), 184.75 (mg/100 g) with the use of card board mulch ( $T_6$ ), 182.21 (mg/100 g) with the use of farm compost mulch ( $T_2$ ), 179.23 (mg/100 g) with the use of banana leaves mulch ( $T_7$ ), 178.15 (mg/100 g) with the use of cover crop mulch ( $T_3$ ) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum ascorbic acid 176.62 (mg/100 g) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Sahu and Sahu (2020) [8] studied in ascorbic acid maximum in 198.57 mg/100 g treatment natural mulch than all other treatments.

It is apparent from the data that different mulching treatment had a significant impact on reducing sugar (%). The

maximum reducing sugar 4.91 (%) was recorded with the use rice husk mulch ( $T_5$ ), which was followed by 4.88 (%) with the use of paddy straw mulch ( $T_1$ ), 4.84 (%) with the use of mushroom compost mulch ( $T_8$ ), 4.79 (%) with the use of saw dust mulch ( $T_4$ ), 4.72 (%) with the use of card board mulch ( $T_6$ ), 4.67 (%) with the use of farm compost ( $T_2$ ), 4.65 (%) with the use of banana leaves mulch ( $T_7$ ), 4.53 (%) with the use of cover crops mulch ( $T_3$ ) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum reducing sugar 4.34 (%) was recorded in  $T_0$  where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Sahu and Sahu (2020) <sup>[8]</sup> studied in reducing sugar maximum in 5.10% treatment natural mulch than all other treatments.

Effect of different mulching treatment had a significant impact on non-reducing sugar (%). The maximum non-reducing sugar 5.12 (%) was recorded with the use rice husk mulch (T<sub>5</sub>), which was followed by 5.08 (%) with the use of paddy straw mulch (T<sub>1</sub>), 5.06 (%) with the use of mushroom compost mulch (T<sub>8</sub>), 5.02 (%) with the use of saw dust mulch (T<sub>4</sub>), 4.93 (%) with the use of farm compost mulch (T<sub>2</sub>), 4.92 (%) with the use of cover crop mulch (T<sub>3</sub>), 4.89 (%) with the use of banana leaves mulch (T<sub>7</sub>), 4.06 (%) with the use of card board mulch (T<sub>6</sub>) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum non-reducing sugar 3.85 (%) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Sahu and Sahu (2020) <sup>[8]</sup> studied in reducing sugar maximum in 5.27% treatment natural mulch than all other treatments.

It is apparent from the data that different mulching treatment had a significant impact on total sugar (%). The maximum total sugar 10.03 (%) was recorded with the use rice husk mulch ( $T_5$ ), which was followed by 9.96 (%) with the use of paddy straw mulch ( $T_1$ ), 9.91 (%) with the use of mushroom compost mulch ( $T_8$ ), 9.81 (%) with the use of saw dust mulch ( $T_4$ ), 9.72 (%) with the use of card board mulch ( $T_6$ ), 9.60 (%) with the use of farm compost mulch ( $T_3$ ), 9.54 (%) with the use of banana leaves mulch ( $T_7$ ), 9.45 (%) with the use of cover crops mulch ( $T_3$ ) and were significantly different from each other. Whereas, the minimum total sugar 8.19 (%) was recorded in  $T_0$  where no mulch material was used. Similar result was also observed by Dikshit *et al.* (2010) <sup>[4]</sup> studied in total sugar maximum in 10.83% treatment saw dust than all other treatments.

# Conclusion

 From the results obtained in this study, it can be concluded that T<sub>5</sub> (Rice husk) and T<sub>1</sub> (Paddy straw) have proven to be the best treatment to improve yield and quality parameters of guava.

- Guava organic mulching materials in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Rice husk) was found to be superior to yield parameter followed by T<sub>1</sub> and minimum was found in T<sub>0</sub> (control).
- Treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Rice husk) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (Paddy straw) showed the best results with regarding to quality attributes (TSS °brix, acidity%, ascorbic acid mg/100 g, reducing sugar %, non-reducing sugar% and total sugar %).
- The major problem of guava cultivation was due to climatic condition of this region. Therefore, it can be concluded that the practice of organic mulch can provide a good solution for improving the appearance and productivity of guava fruit.

# References

- 1. Crane JH, Balerdi CF. Guava Growing in the Florida Home Landscape. Horticultural Sciences Department document, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. 2005;1(2):1-8.
- Das BC, Maji S, Mulieh SR. Response of soil covers on guava cv. L-49. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2010;6:10-14.
- 3. Debnath S, Hasan MA, Das BC. Effect of mulching on growth and fruit yield of guava cv. Sardar. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. 2004;32(2):38-42.
- 4. Dikshit SN, Sonkar K, Soni AK, Sharma D, Panigrahi HK, Samadhiya VK, Saxena RR. Studies on growth, yield and physico-chemical parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49 (Sardar) through drip irrigation and mulching under agro-climatic condition of Chhattisgarh plains. In: National Seminar on Precision Farming in Horticulture. 2010:405-410.
- 5. Maji S, Das BC. Effect of different organic and inorganic mulches on guava cv. L-49. Environment and Ecology. 2008;26(3):1292-1293.
- Mishra LN, Pathak RA, Pandey AK, Nagar AK. Effect of mulching on growth of juvenile plants in aonla and guava cropping system in sodic soil. In: Proceedings. National Symposium On Organic Farming In Horticulture For Sustainable Production. CISH, Lucknow. 2004;2:224-225.
- Patra RK, Das BC, Hasan MA. Flowering behaviour and fruit yield of guava cv. Sardar as influenced by different soil covers. Research on Crops. 2003;4(3):383-387.
- Sahu PK, Sahu GD. Response of different levels of fertigation and mulching on quality parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under ultrahigh density planting in Chhattisgarh. International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies. 2020;7(3):13-16.
- Thakre M, Goswami AK, Pratibha Lal S. Effect of various methods of crop regulation in guava under double hedge row system of planting. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2013;70(2):211–216.