

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2024; 8(3): 665-667  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 03-01-2024  
 Accepted: 07-02-2024

**Manish Kumar Maurya**  
 Crop Research Station, Masodha,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Shivam Singh**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vindeshwari Prasad**  
 Crop Research Station, Masodha,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Kritanjay Kumar Maurya**  
 Crop Research Station, Masodha,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Jaishraj Yadav**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Prabha Siddharth**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Shyam Narayan Patel**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Shubham Patel**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vikash Kumar Yadav**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Shivam Singh**  
 Department of Plant Pathology,  
 Acharya Narendra Deva  
 University of Agriculture and  
 Technology, Kumarganj,  
 Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Evaluation of different inoculation technique against false smut of rice caused by *Ustilaginoidea virens* for creating epiphytotic conditions

**Manish Kumar Maurya, Shivam Singh, Vindeshwari Prasad, Kritanjay Kumar Maurya, Jaishraj Yadav, Prabha Siddharth, Shyam Narayan Patel, Shubham Patel and Vikash Kumar Yadav**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i3h.814>

### Abstract

Rice false smut caused by *Ustilaginoidea virens* (Cke.) Tak. is a devastating disease all around the world including India. In India, this disease causes an economic yield loss i.e., 0.5 to 49 per cent depending on the host variety. Among various inoculation methods adopted at different stages employing on Damini rice variety which showed that spraying of conidial suspension ( $2 \times 10^5$  spores ml<sup>-1</sup>) of *U. virens* at booting and late booting stage was ideal for infection with maximum infected tillers (41.54% and 37.82%) and smut balls (7.45 and 6.78) respectively.

**Keywords:** Rice, false smut, *Ustilaginoidea virens*, inoculation, epiphytotic

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a crucial staple food crop, supplying more than half of the world's population. Rice does not show its full production potential due to several biotic and abiotic stresses. Among biotic factors, the major factor is disease caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses and others. The most important diseases of rice are blast, false smut, sheath blight, stem rot and bacterial blight. Rice False smut is major one which is now an emerging devastating threat to rice production (Ladhalakshmi *et al.*, 2012 and Bashyal *et al.*, 2020) [9, 2]. False smut of rice is due to the fungus *Ustilaginoidea virens* (Cooke) Takahashi (Teleomorph *Villosiclava virens*) (Tanaka *et al.*, 2008) [14]. For the first time it was reported from Tirunelveli in Tamil Nadu state (Cooke, 1878) [4].

The pathogen replaces all or part of the kernel with an abundant mass of yellow-dark green spores and culminates with velvety smut ball formation. The sori of *U. virens* erupt through the palea and lemma (Brooks *et al.*, 2010) [3]. The loss in grain yield is due to a reduction in test weight of grains, chaffiness and sterility of spikelet neighbouring the smut balls (Ladhalakshmi *et al.*, 2012) [9]. In Uttar Pradesh, an incidence up to 5-85 percent was recorded (Singh *et al.*, 2014) [13]. Currently, the illness has reached the position of worrying malady, principally due to extensive cultivation of high-fertilizer-responsive cultivars and hybrids, changes in meteorological conditions, and greater application of nitrogenous fertilizers (Rani *et al.*, 2015) [11].

False smut not only reduces yield but also lowers rice grain quality, compromising food security and economic stability in rice-dependent nations. This research endeavors to evaluate different inoculation techniques to induce epiphytotic conditions conducive to false smut development. The significance of this study lies in its potential to provide insights into disease management strategies.

### Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted in the Net house of Department of Plant Pathology, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, U.P. during 2020-21.

### Standardization of inoculation techniques for creating epiphytotic conditions of false smut of rice

Five different inoculation techniques were used to create epiphytotic conditions using rice cv. Damini. The experiment was conducted under net house conditions.

Conidial suspension ( $2 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ ) made from a culture is used for this study. The detail of method of inoculation techniques were given in table 1.

#### Soil inoculation with smut balls

The smut balls (10-15) were placed in the soil below 2-3 cm. Later, 21 day old seedlings (Damini) were transplanted and watered regularly.

**Table 1:** Different method of inoculation technique.

S. No.	Inoculation methods	Crop stage
1.	Soil inoculation with smutted balls	-
2.	Seedling treatment with smut balls suspension	-
3.	Spray inoculation	Early booting
		Late booting
4.	Syringe inoculation	Early booting
		Late booting
5.	Dusting	Early booting
		Late booting

#### Seedling treatment with smut balls suspension

The 21 days old seedling roots were treated with the smut balls spores suspension i.e. 2 ml and transplanted in the pot and watered regularly.

#### Spray inoculation

The conidial suspension ( $2 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ ) made from fresh culture was used to spray with hand sprayer in two crop growth stages i.e., early booting and late booting until the head and glumes were wetted until suspension dropped down.

Then, pots were kept in moist chamber for 48 hrs. Then transferred to normal room temperature.

#### Syringe inoculation

Conidial suspension ( $2 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1}$ ) made from fresh culture was injected into the leaf sheath of rice plant at two crop growth stages i.e., early booting and late booting until it overflowed. Then, pots were kept in moist chamber for 48 hrs.

#### Dusting inoculation

Fresh false smut infected panicle was used for this method. The infected panicle was directly dusted into the plant at two crop growth stages i.e., early booting and late booting until it overflowed. Then, pots were kept in moist chamber for 48 hrs.

### Results and Discussion

Effective inoculation technique for creating disease in plants is pre-requisite for development of resistant variety. Five different inoculation methods viz., I<sub>1</sub> Soil inoculation with smutted balls, I<sub>2</sub> Seedling treatment with smut balls suspension, Spray inoculation (I<sub>3</sub> Early booting and I<sub>4</sub> Late booting stage), Syringe inoculation (I<sub>5</sub> Early booting and I<sub>6</sub> Late booting stage), Dusting (I<sub>7</sub> Early booting and I<sub>8</sub> Late booting stage) on a susceptible variety Damini with isolate Uv1 (Ayodhya) (Table 2). The smut symptoms were observed after 12-13 days after inoculation. The results obtained were presented in Table 2. Maximum infected panicles were found in I<sub>3</sub> (41.54%) followed by I<sub>4</sub> (37.82%), I<sub>5</sub> (28.45%), I<sub>6</sub> (20.14%). Minimum infected panicles were found in I<sub>7</sub> (12.17%) and I<sub>8</sub> (08.05%). No infected panicles were found in I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>. The maximum number of smut balls per panicle was found in I<sub>3</sub> (7.45) followed by I<sub>4</sub> (6.78).

**Table 2:** Evaluation of different inoculation techniques for creating artificially epiphytotic conditions of false smut disease cv. Damini.

Inoculation method		Crop stage	Infected Panicles	Smut balls per panicle
Soil inoculation with smutted balls	I <sub>1</sub>	-	0.00 (0.28)	0.00 (0.28)
Seedling treatment with smut balls	I <sub>2</sub>	-	0.00 (0.28)	0.00 (0.28)
Spray inoculation	I <sub>3</sub>	Early booting	41.54 (40.12)	7.45 (15.83)
	I <sub>4</sub>	Late booting	37.82 (37.94)	6.78 (15.09)
Syringe inoculation	I <sub>5</sub>	Early booting	28.45 (32.23)	3.17 (10.25)
	I <sub>6</sub>	Late booting	20.14 (26.66)	2.53 (9.14)
Dusting	I <sub>7</sub>	Early booting	12.17 (20.41)	0.96 (5.60)
	I <sub>8</sub>	Late booting	08.05 (16.46)	0.68 (4.71)
CD (P=0.05)			0.88	0.58
CV			2.30	4.38

The findings were also corroborated with the findings of Singh (2009) [12], Pannu *et al.* (2010) [10] and Kaur *et al.* (2018) [7] that the disease incidence and disease severity was maximum in case of spray inoculated followed by syringe inoculation and dropper inoculation method. Wang *et al.* (2008) [15] also studied the inoculation methods against *Ustilaginoidea albicans*, and observed that inoculations by spraying and injection method resulted in maximum disease development.

In contrast to this, Ashizawa *et al.*, 2011 [1]; Haiyong, 2012 [5]; Haiyong *et al.*, 2015 [6]; Kumar *et al.*, 2016 [8] found that the injecting the conidial suspension at booting stage produces maximum number of infected tillers as compared with the spray inoculation. Number of smut balls varied from 0.03 to 50.00 in field and from 0.10 to 25.00 in

greenhouse conditions on 18 different cultivars. No infected panicles were found in I<sub>1</sub> soil inoculation with smutted balls and I<sub>2</sub> seedling treatment with smut balls suspension.

The similar result was also reported by Kumar *et al.*, 2016 [8].

### Conclusion

In conclusion, this study assessed various inoculation methods for inducing false smut disease in the Damini variety, emphasizing the importance of effective disease creation for developing resistant crops. Among the techniques examined, spray inoculation during early booting emerged as the most effective, resulting in the highest disease incidence and severity. These findings align with previous research, highlighting the efficacy of spray

inoculation in disease development. However, contrasting results from other studies suggest the need for tailored inoculation approaches based on specific plant-pathogen interactions. Notably, soil inoculation and seedling treatment showed minimal infection, consistent with prior observations. This research provides valuable insights into optimizing inoculation techniques, aiding future studies on disease resistance mechanisms and the breeding of resilient crop varieties.

## References

1. Ashizawa T, Takahashi M, Moriwaki J, Kazuyuki H. A refined inoculation method to evaluate false smut resistance in rice. *J Gen Pl Path.* 2011;77:10-16.
2. Bashyal BM, Parmar P, Zaidi NW, Sunani SK, Prakash G, Aggrawal R, *et al.* Improved methodology for the isolation of false smut pathogen *Ustilagoidea virens* of rice. *Indian Phytopath;* c2020. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42360-020-00282-3>.
3. Brooks SA, Anders MM, Yeater KM. Effect of furrow irrigation on the severity of false smut in susceptible rice varieties. *Pl Dis.* 2010;94:570-574.
4. Cooke MC. Some extra-European fungi. *Grevillea.* 1878;7:13-15.
5. Haiyong H. Biology and artificial inoculation of *Ustilagoidea virens* (Cooke) Tak. in rice [MSc thesis]. Suranaree University of Technology. Thailand; c2012. p. 54.
6. Haiyong H, Wongkaew S, Jie Y, Xuehui Y, Xiaojun C, Shiping W, *et al.* Biology and artificial inoculation of *Ustilagoidea virens* (Cooke) Takahashi in rice. *Afr. J Microbiol Res.* 2015;9(11):821-830.
7. Kaur Y, Lore JS, Pannu PPS. Development of screening technique for artificial creation of false smut in rice. *J App. Natural Sci.* 2018;10(1):253-257.
8. Kumar SN, Devi GU, Prashanth J. Standardization of inoculation technique for artificial creation of false smut of Rice caused by *Ustilagoidea virens* pot culture studies. *Environ Eco.* 2016;34(4):1662-1666.
9. Ladhakshmi D, Laha GS, Singh R, Karthikeyan A, Mangrauthia SK, Sundaram RM, *et al.* Isolation and characterization of *Ustilagoidea virens* and survey of false smut disease of rice in India. *Phytoparasitica.* 2012;40:171-176.
10. Pannu PPS, Thind TS, Goswami S. Standardization of technique for artificial creation of false smut of rice and its management. *Indian Phytopath.* 2010;63:234-235.
11. Rani R, Sharma VK, Pannu PPS, Lore JS. Influence of Nitrogen fertilizer dose on false smut of rice (*Oryza sativa*) caused by *Ustilagoidea virens*. *Indian J Agril. Sci.* 2015;85(8):1003-1006.
12. Singh I. Occurrence and Development of False Smut (*Ustilagoidea virens* Cooke) of Rice [MSc thesis]. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana; c2009.
13. Singh S, Lal AA, Simon S, Singh A, Yaduman R, Kamaluddeen, *et al.* Survey of false smut (*Ustilagoidea virens*) of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in some selected districts of Uttar Pradesh. *Bioscan.* 2014;9(1):389-392.
14. Tanaka E, Ashizawa T, Sonoda R, Tanaka C. *Villosioclava virens*, teleomorph of *Ustilagoidea virens*, the causal agent of rice false smut. *Mycotaxon.* 2008;106:491-501.
15. Wang S, Li M, Dong H, Liu XZ, Ba YJ, Yang H. Sporulation, inoculation methods and pathogenicity of *U. albicans*, the cause of white rice false smut in China. *J Phytopathol.* 2008;156:755-757.