



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2024; 8(1): 512-514  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 01-10-2023  
 Accepted: 11-12-2023

**Singh NA**  
 Department of Entomology,  
 N. M. College of Agriculture,  
 Navsari Agricultural  
 University, Navsari, Gujarat,  
 India

**Dabhi MR**  
 Department of Entomology,  
 B. A. College of Agriculture,  
 Anand Agricultural  
 University, Anand, Gujarat,  
 India

**Rojasara YM**  
 Department of Entomology,  
 B. A. College of Agriculture,  
 Anand Agricultural  
 University, Anand, Gujarat,  
 India

**Varma CB**  
 Department of Entomology,  
 B. A. College of Agriculture,  
 Anand Agricultural  
 University, Anand, Gujarat,  
 India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Singh NA**  
 Department of Entomology,  
 N. M. College of Agriculture,  
 Navsari Agricultural  
 University, Navsari, Gujarat,  
 India

## Feeding potential of ladybird beetle, *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius) on different species of aphids

Singh NA, Dabhi MR, Rojasara YM and Varma CB

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i1.g.429>

### Abstract

A study was carried out to explore the feeding capabilities of the zig-zag beetle, *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius), a commonly found species of ladybird beetle, at Department of Agricultural Entomology, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat) during the year 2019-20. The feeding potential of the predator was calculated on four hosts *i.e.*, mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach), cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (Glover), maize aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (Fitch) and cowpea aphid, *Aphis craccivora* (Koch). Results revealed that highest number of aphids consumed by first instar was *A. craccivora* ( $20.13 \pm 0.61$ ), second instar of ladybird beetle preferred *R. maidis* ( $35.27 \pm 0.49$ ), while during the third and fourth instar stage larvae exhibited a higher preference for feeding on *A. gossypii*, consuming  $57.87 \pm 47$  and  $79.60 \pm 1.99$ . The total consumption of grubs revealed that grubs of *C. sexmaculata* fed more on *A. gossypii* ( $191.20 \pm 4.17$ ) closely followed by *A. craccivora* ( $177.10 \pm 5.48$ ). The consumption capacity of *C. sexmaculata* adult indicated that *A. craccivora* ( $820.30 \pm 44.94$ ) followed by *A. gossypii* ( $739.93 \pm 28.96$ ). The least number of aphids eaten during grub and adult period was of *L. erysimi* ( $105.73 \pm 3.83$  and  $441.33 \pm 40.35$ ). Throughout its entire lifespan, *C. sexmaculata* demonstrated a greater consumption rate on *A. craccivora* ( $997.40 \pm 45.60$ ). Thus it was concluded that *C. sexmaculata* can control the *A. craccivora* and *A. gossypii*, effectively.

**Keywords:** Feeding potential, ladybird beetle, *Cheilomenes. sexmaculata*, aphid, predation

### Introduction

Today, the world is bending towards the natural or organic agriculture *i.e.* limited or no use of chemical insecticides. This has caused the waves to turn toward the past, towards traditional techniques and natural means for crop protection. Around 6000 documented species of Coccinellidae have been identified (Vanderberg, 2000) <sup>[11]</sup>. Their notable dispersal capacity enables introduced coccinellids to swiftly and effortlessly broaden their range of influence, invading a majority of their prey sites in a brief period. Ladybirds are uniquely referred to as a component of “biological services” (Landis *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[3]</sup>. The immature and adult stages of the ladybird beetle play important role in biological ecosystems by actively contributing to pest management, particularly in managing such as aphids, mealy bugs, thrips and mites (Tank *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[10]</sup>. *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* is found to be active throughout the year in many parts of India with many generations. The important features of *C. sexmaculata* includes its wide geographic distribution and host range, broad habitats, resistance/tolerance to certain pesticides, enhanced searching ability, voracious larval feeding capacity and easy rearing in laboratory (Venkatesan *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[13]</sup>. The quantity of prey consumed significantly influences the development, survival, and reproduction of predators. The findings of this biological study hold promise for the mass multiplication of the bio-agent, as indicated by Chakraborty (2012) <sup>[2]</sup>. Consequently, a research initiative was undertaken to assess the feeding potential of *C. sexmaculata*.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at Department of Entomology, Anand Agricultural University, Anand on four different species of aphids. A laboratory research was conducted involving the individual rearing of 15 grubs of *C. sexmaculata* on distinct species of aphids, namely mustard aphid (*L. erysimi*), cotton aphid (*A. gossypii*), maize aphid (*R. maidis*) and cowpea aphid (*A. craccivora*), in plastic vials (5.0×4.0 cm). This rearing process commenced on the first day of hatching from eggs and continued until the formation of pupae.

Initially counted numbers of 15 to 20 aphids were provided, but with the gradual larval development, the numbers of aphids were increased incrementally adjusted. Daily records were maintained for the number of aphids consumed by each individual larva, and the feeding potential was calculated for each instar as well as for the entire larval period. Newly emerged adults of *C. sexmaculata* were individually housed in plastic bottles (6.5×6.0 cm) and each adult was provided with 100 aphids daily during entire adult period and the feeding capacity of adult was worked out. The feeding capacity of the adult ladybird beetle was then determined by recording the daily consumption of aphids at various stages of both the larval and adult phases.

## Results and Discussion

The data on the consumption capacity of *C. sexmaculata* reveals significant trends in the feeding preferences of different instar larvae on four distinct species of aphids as presented in Table 1. The results revealed that the first instar grubs of *C. sexmaculata* consumed significantly highest (20.13±0.605) numbers of *A. craccivora* individuals, closely followed by *A. gossypii* (20±0.67) showing a preference over *R. maidis* and *L. erysimi*. First instar grub consumed an average of 10.67 ± 0.53 and 9.6 ± 0.89 individuals of *R. maidis* and *L. erysimi* respectively. In case of second instar grub, a highest number of individuals consumed were of *R. maidis* (35.27±0.49), followed by *A. gossypii* (33.97±0.91) and *A. craccivora* (32.93±3.80), but significantly less consumption was found with *L. erysimi* (19.93±0.64). The third instar grub exhibited the highest consumption of *A. gossypii* (57.87±47) were consumed by the third instar grub of *C. sexmaculata* followed by *A. craccivora* (56.03±1.58). Significantly more numbers of *A. gossypii* individuals were predated by third instar grubs than *R. maidis* (52.40±1.34) and *L. erysimi* (31.37±1.81). The feeding preference observed in the fourth instar grub mirrored that of the third instar grubs in consumption of *A. gossypii* and *A. craccivora*. Maximum numbers of *A. gossypii* (79.60±1.99) were fed by fourth instar than rest of the three species of aphids. *Aphis craccivora* (68.00±2.83) stood next to *A. gossypii* in preference. The minimum feeding by fourth instar grubs was observed in *L. erysimi* (44.83±2.51). The total number of aphids consumed during entire grub period was varied from 105.73 to 191.20. Maximum (191.20±4.17) numbers of *A. gossypii* were fed by the grubs of *C. sexmaculata* followed by *A. craccivora* (177.10±5.48), *R. maidis* (161.83±4.26) and *L. erysimi* (105.73±3.83). The grubs consumed significantly more number of individuals of *A. gossypii* and *A. craccivora* over *R. maidis* and *L. erysimi*.

Data (Table 1) on biotic potential of *C. sexmaculata* adults revealed that by the individual beetle exhibited a significantly maximum consumption, with 820.30 ± 44.94 individuals of *A. craccivora*, surpassing the consumption of all other aphid species. The adult consumed an average of 739.93 ± 28.96, 576.23 ± 23.43 and 441.33 ± 40.35 individuals of *A. gossypii*, *R. maidis* and *L. erysimi*, respectively. The overall consumption of aphids by both the feeding stages (grub and adult) of *C. sexmaculata* varied from 547.07 to 997.40. Significantly highest (997.40±45.60) numbers of *A. craccivora* individuals were consumed by the predator than individuals of other species of aphids. *Aphis gossypii* (930.67±55.59) was also found to be a favored host for *C. sexmaculata*, next to *A. craccivora*. This said predator consumed 738.06 ± 22.87 individuals of *R. maidis* during its entire life. *Lipaphis erysimi* proved less preferred host for *C. sexmaculata* as 547.07 ± 42.91 individuals were eaten by the predator.

The data revealed that feeding efficacy of *C. sexmaculata* adults was higher than that of larvae. This is further confirmed by Priyadarshani *et al.* (2016) [6] as they also observed that larvae consumed more aphids than adults. The observations of present studies coincided with the results of the feeding potential of *C. sexmaculata* done by Zala (1995) [15] on *L. erysimi* and Patel (1998) [16] on *R. maidis*. Similarly, Solangi *et al.* (2007a) [8] documented that both *C. sexmaculata* grubs and adults exhibited voracious feeding behavior on the corn leaf aphid, *R. maidis*, cotton aphid, *A. gossypii* and alfalfa aphid, *T. trifolii*. The third and fourth instars grubs consumed more prey per day than first and second instars. Further, Pirsanna *et al.* (2012) [5] also recorded more or less similar results. They observed that fourth instar grubs exhibited significantly higher aphid consumption compared to first, second, and third instars. Additionally, the per day predation rate by female ladybird beetles on *A. craccivora* was 37.2 ± 3.32, followed by *A. gossypii* (35.2±2.22) and *L. erysimi* (23±0.94). The male could feed only on *A. craccivora* (35.8±2.67) followed by *A. gossypii* (30.8±1.98), *R. maidis* (27.8±4.28) and *L. erysimi* (20.8±1.15).

Also, Vasista (2019) [12] revealed that beetle prefer *A. craccivora* the most followed by *A. gossypii*. From above results it is observed that among the four different species of aphids, *L. erysimi* was preferred least by *C. sexmaculata* which is in close conformity with the results of Tank (2006) [9] and Chakraborty (2012) [2]. Singh *et al.* (2012) [7] also reported that mustard aphid, *L. erysimi* found least preferred host for *C. septempunctata* in laboratory.

**Table 1:** Feeding potential of *C. sexmaculata* on different species of aphids

Aphid species	Mean no. of aphids consumed at different feeding stages						Mean no. of aphids consumed / individual
	Grub					Adult	
	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	2 <sup>nd</sup> instar	3 <sup>rd</sup> instar	4 <sup>th</sup> instar	Total		
<i>A. craccivora</i>	20.13 ± 0.61 (16-24)*	32.93 ± 3.80 (23-41)	56.03 ± 1.58 (52-62)	68.00 ± 2.83 (61-79)	177.10 ± 5.48 (167-188)	820.30 ± 44.94 (610-918)	997.40 ± 45.60 (792-1102)
<i>A. gossypii</i>	20 ± 0.67 (17-24)	33.97 ± 0.91 (29-40)	57.87 ± 2.81 (51-63)	79.60 ± 1.99 (72-90)	191.20 ± 4.17 (177-209)	739.93 ± 28.96 (523-888)	930.67 ± 55.59 (711-1085)
<i>R. maidis</i>	10.67 ± 0.53 (52-62)	35.27 ± 0.49 (32-39)	52.40 ± 1.34 (49-60)	63.50 ± 2.76 (51-69)	161.83 ± 4.26 (146-171)	576.23 ± 23.43 (472-643)	738.06 ± 22.87 (636-807)
<i>L. erysimi</i>	9.6 ± 0.89 (8-13)	19.93 ± 0.64 (12-24)	31.37 ± 1.81 (26-36)	44.83 ± 2.51 (40-56)	105.73 ± 3.83 (96-119)	441.33 ± 40.35 (251-579)	547.07 ± 42.91 (347-689)
S. Em. ±	0.49	1.41	1.24	1.80	2.95	26.01	26.64
C. V. (%)	4.55	6.54	3.56	3.98	2.63	5.71	4.67

\* Figures in bracket indicate range values

## Conclusion

Thus, from the above results it can be concluded that *C. sexmaculata* can be used successfully to control the aphid population in the field. The consumption ability of *C. sexmaculata* on aforesaid four species of aphids can be arranged in descending sequence as: *A. craccivora* > *A. gossypii* > *R. maidis* > *L. erysimi*.

## References

1. Anonymous, 2020. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cheilomenes\\_sexmaculata](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cheilomenes_sexmaculata)
2. Chakraborty D. Biodiversity, Life-History and Biotic Potential of predatory Coccinellids of Anand Region of Gujarat, (Doctoral thesis, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat); c2012.
3. Landis DA, Gardiner MM, Werf W, Swinton SM. Increasing corn for biofuel production reduces biocontrol services in agricultural landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008;105(51):20552-20557.
4. Wang CB, He TL, LI HE, Zhou C. Two new species of *Lucanus* Scopoli, 1763 from Yunnan, Southwest China (Coleoptera, Lucanidae, Lucaninae). *Int. J Biol. Sci.* 2020;2(2):68-79. DOI: 10.33545/26649926.2020.v2.i2a.93
5. Pirasanna PGG, Bishwajeet P, Shah V, Shankarganesh K. Feeding potential and biology of coccinellid predator *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius) on aphid hosts. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2012;74(4):388-393.
6. Priyadarshani TDC, Hemachandra KS, Sirisena UGAI, Wijayaguasekara HNP. Developmental biology and feeding efficiency of *Menochilus sexmaculatus* reared on *Aphis craccivora*. *Tropical Agricultural Research*. 2016;27(2):115-122.
7. Singh K, Singh NN, Raju SVS. Studies on preying potential of lady bird beetle (*Coccinella septempunctata* L.) in relation to mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*). *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2012;74(1):93-94.
8. Solangi BK, Hullio MH, Baloch N. Biological parameters and prey consumption by zigzag beetle *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fab. against *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, *Aphis gossypii* Glov. and *Therioaphis trifolii* Monell. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2007;23(4):1097-1101.
9. Tank, B. D. Carry-over and biology of ladybird beetle, *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fab.) under middle Gujarat conditions. (Master's thesis, Anand Agricultural University, Anand), 2006.
10. Tank BD, Korat DM, Borad PK. Determination of dominant species of predatory coccinellid in Anand region of Gujarat. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2010;20(3):637-638
11. Vandenberg NJ. *Coccinellidae latreille*. 1807. In: Arnett, R.H., Thomas, M.C., Skelley, P.E. and Frank, J.H. (Ed.) *American beetles*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2000;(2):371-389.
12. Vasista T. Taxonomic studies on predatory Coccinellid fauna and estimation of predatory potential. (Master's thesis, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur), 2019.
13. Venkatesan T, Jalali SK, Murthy KS, Bhaskaran T. Rearing of *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius) on artificial diet and its predatory efficiency against *Aphis craccivora* Koch. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*. 2006;14(2):277-279.
14. Verma GC, Vyas RS, Brar KS. Biology of *Menochilus sexmaculatus* (Fabricius). *Agricultural Research Journal, Punjab Agricultural University*. 1993;30:27-31.
15. Zala AP. Studies on bionomics and predatory potential of *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fab. reared on mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt) along with its seasonal fluctuations and tolerance to some insecticides. (Master's thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardar Krushinagar, Gujarat), 1995.
16. Patel DP. Bionomics and predatory potential of *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fab. and *Chrysoperla carnea* St. reared on maize aphid (*Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch) along with their comparative susceptibility to some neem based pesticides. (Master's thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardar Krushinagar, Gujarat), 1998.