

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; SP-8(1): 743-746
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 02-11-2023
 Accepted: 08-12-2023

Rushikesh P Basugade
 Department of Agriculture
 Entomology, G.H.R.U. School
 of Agriculture Sciences,
 Saikheda, Madhya Pradesh,
 India

Abhaykumar S Bagde
 Head Department of
 Agriculture Entomology,
 RCSSM College of Agriculture,
 Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

Sagar K Chavan
 Department of Agriculture
 Entomology, Dr. PDKV,
 Akola, Maharashtra, India

Viresh S Jeur
 Department of Agriculture
 Entomology, Dr. PDKV,
 Akola, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Rushikesh P Basugade
 Department of Agriculture
 Entomology, G.H.R.U. School
 of Agriculture Sciences,
 Saikheda, Madhya Pradesh,
 India

Evaluating the influence of chemical insecticides and biopesticides on *Helicoverpa armigera* in chickpea fields

Rushikesh P Basugade, Abhaykumar S Bagde, Sagar K Chavan and Viresh S Jeur

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i1Sj.418>

Abstract

In the conducted field trials at G.H.R.U. School of Agriculture Sciences, Saikheda (M.P.), an investigation was carried out to assess the impact of chemical insecticides in conjunction with biopesticides on the gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*, in chickpea crops. The experimental design followed a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatment modules, including a control. The treatments consisted of Emamectin Benzoate 5 g, Indoxacarb 14.5 SC, Flubendiamide 480 SC, Cypermethrin 25 EC, Quinalphos 25 EC, NSKE Neem seed kernel extract, and Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*). Two spray schedules were implemented on the gram pod borer, and post-application of all sprays, Flubendiamide 480 SC demonstrated high efficacy in controlling the population, with only 0.88 larvae/ml. Consequently, Flubendiamide emerged as the most effective treatment among all modules. Other treatments also exhibited significant control over the gram pod borer, with Emamectin Benzoate 5 g (1.07 larvae/ml), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC (1.11 larvae/ml), Cypermethrin 25 EC (1.21 larvae/ml), Quinalphos 25 EC (1.38 larvae/ml), Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) (1.60 larvae per meter row), and NSKE Neem seed kernel extract (1.67 larvae/ml). Regarding grain yield, Flubendiamide 480 SC demonstrated its effectiveness by achieving the highest yield among all modules, i.e., 14-15 qt/ha.

Keywords: Efficacy, *Helicoverpa armigera*, impact, insecticides

Introduction

Chickpea, recognized globally for its nutritional richness and versatility, stands as a crucial legume crop with a history of cultivation dating back millennia. India, boasting a prominent position in global chickpea production, sees significant contributions from states like Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Maharashtra. However, the productivity of chickpea faces various challenges in India, categorized as biotic and abiotic factors, including weeds, pests, and insects.

Among the insect pests, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner), commonly known as the gram pod borer, emerges as a significant threat to chickpea crops. Studies by Rahman *et al.* (1982)^[11] identify eleven distinct insect pests affecting chickpea, with *Helicoverpa armigera* being recognized as the most significant and damaging. This pod borer inflicts a substantial 30-40% loss in pod damage on average, reaching severe levels of 80-90% under favorable environmental conditions. The life cycle of *Helicoverpa armigera* involves feeding on various parts of chickpea plants, including leaflets, buds, flowers, and pods, creating circular openings in the pods as they mature (Mandal and Roy, 2012)^[9].

To address these challenges, the conventional approach involves the use of multiple synthetic insecticides for pest control. However, recognizing the environmental impact and the need for sustainable practices, there is a growing interest in exploring biopesticides as an alternative. Biopesticides offer a promising solution to reduce dependence on hazardous chemicals, maintain productivity, and minimize ecological impacts, aligning with the goal of fostering sustainable pest management practices (Jadhav *et al.*, 2010)^[8].

Materials and Methods

The research conducted at the trial field of G.H.R.U. School of Agricultural Sciences in Saikheda, Chhindwara (M.P.) during the 2022-23 season, an experimental design (RBD) was employed with 3 replications and 8 treatments. The experiment consisted of a total of 24 plots, where the chickpea cultivar JG-11 was sown in the first week of November, with a spacing of 30cm between rows and 10cm between plants within each row. Weekly observations were conducted in each plot to measure the population of gram pod borer per meter row length (mrl). Larval counts were recorded 24 hours before treatment and again after three, seven, and fourteen days following the first and second spray, respectively. These observations were carried out on a one-meter row length from selected five plants of each plot. The collected data on larval population before and after treatment were utilized to calculate the mean and effectiveness of the chemicals on the *H. armigera* larval population. Additionally, grain yield data were recorded for further analysis. The methodology was designed to assess the impact of the experimental treatments on the targeted pest while considering the overall yield outcome.

$$\text{Grain Yield (qt/ha)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (Kg per plot)} \times 10000(\text{m}^2)}{\text{Plot size (m}^2) \times 100}$$

Grain yield calculated at harvesting. It recorded as kg/plot further converted into qt/ha.

Preparation of insecticidal spray solution

The insecticidal spray solution of desired concentration as per treatment was freshly prepared every time at the site of experiment just before start of spraying operations. The spray solution of desired concentration was prepared by adoption of following formula. (Singh *et al.*, 2011)^[12].

$$V = \frac{C \times A}{a.i \%}$$

Where,

V = Volume of a formulated pesticide required

C = Concentration required

A = Volume of total solution to be prepared

% a.i. = given percentage strength of a formulated pesticide.

Results and Discussion

1st Spray

Pre-treatment Assessment

Initial data were gathered from the research field 24 hours prior to the first spray application. The prevalence of

Helicoverpa armigera ranged from 2.20 to 2.53 larvae/meter row length. There were no statistically significant variations in larval populations observed among the different treatment plots.

Post-treatment Evaluation

On the 3rd day after the initial spray, the effectiveness of various treatments in controlling gram pod borer was assessed (as detailed in Table 1). Flubendiamide demonstrated the highest efficacy, yielding a larval population of 0.60 larvae/meter row length. It was followed by Emamectin Benzoate (0.66 larvae/meter row), Indoxacarb (0.73 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (0.93 larvae/meter row length), and Quinalphos (1.13 larvae/meter row length). *Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis)* also exhibited effectiveness with a larval population of 1.33 larvae/meter row length, while Neem seed kernel extract treatment (1.53 larvae/meter row length) proved significantly less efficacious compared to other modules or treatments, with the untreated control at 2.47 larvae/meter row length.

By the 7th day after the first spray, Flubendiamide remained the most effective treatment (0.73 larvae/meter row length), followed by Emamectin Benzoate (0.82 larvae/meter row), Indoxacarb (0.87 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (1.07 larvae/meter row length), and Quinalphos (1.27 larvae/meter row length). *Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis)* also demonstrated effectiveness (1.73 larvae/meter row length), while Neem seed kernel extract treatment (1.93 larvae/meter row length) was less effective than other treatments, including the untreated control (2.73 larvae/meter row length).

On the 14th day after the first spray, Flubendiamide continued to exhibit the highest effectiveness (1.00 larvae/meter row length), followed by Emamectin Benzoate (1.27 larvae/meter row), Indoxacarb (1.20 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (1.27 larvae/meter row length), Quinalphos (1.33 larvae/meter row length), and *Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis)* (1.60 larvae/meter row length). Meanwhile, Neem seed kernel extract treatment showed slightly lower effectiveness (1.80 larvae/meter row length), with the untreated control at 2.93 larvae/meter row length.

In conclusion, all chemical modules or treatments demonstrated significant effectiveness in managing the larval incidence of gram pod borer, with Flubendiamide being the most potent treatment throughout the observation period. However, Neem seed kernel extract treatment consistently exhibited relatively lower effectiveness compared to other treatments after the first spray.

Table 1: Efficacy of chemical insecticides along with biopesticides on *Helicoverpa armigera*. Population of larvae/meter row length after 1st spray during 2022-23

| S.N. | Treatments | After 1 st Spray | | | | Mean |
|------|--|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | 0 DBT | 3 DAT | 7 DAT | 14 DAT | |
| 1 | Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 10 gm,ai/ha | 2.27(1.51) | 0.66(0.81) | 0.82(0.91) | 1.27(1.13) | 1.26(1.12) |
| 2 | Indoxacarb 14.5% SC @ 500 ml/ha | 2.40(1.55) | 0.73(0.85) | 0.87(0.93) | 1.20(1.10) | 1.30(1.14) |
| 3 | Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 200 ml/ha | 2.20(1.48) | 0.60(0.77) | 0.73(0.85) | 1.00(1.00) | 1.13(1.06) |
| 4 | Cypermethrin 25 EC 600 ml/ha | 2.33(1.53) | 0.93(0.96) | 1.07(1.03) | 1.27(1.13) | 1.40(1.18) |
| 5 | Quinalphos 25 EC 1000 ml /ha | 2.53(1.59) | 1.13(1.06) | 1.27(1.13) | 1.33(1.15) | 1.57(1.25) |
| 6 | NSKE 3 lit/ha | 2.40(1.55) | 1.53(1.24) | 1.93(1.39) | 1.80(1.34) | 1.92(1.38) |
| 7 | <i>Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis)</i> 1 lit /ha | 2.33(1.53) | 1.33(1.15) | 1.73(1.32) | 1.60(1.26) | 1.75(1.32) |
| 8 | Control | 2.20(1.48) | 2.47(1.57) | 2.73(1.65) | 2.93(1.71) | 2.58(1.61) |
| | SEm± | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| | CD at 5% | NS | 0.87 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.17 |

Values in parenthesis are calculated by \sqrt{n}

*DAS Days after treatment **DBT Days Before treatment

2nd Spray

Pre-treatment Observation

The initial data collection for the agricultural research was conducted 24 hours prior to the first application of spray. During this phase, the recorded incidence of *Helicoverpa armigera* ranged from 1.07 to 3.33 larvae per meter of row length. No statistically significant variations in larval populations were observed among the different treatment plots.

Post-treatment Observation

Subsequent to the second spray application (refer to Table 2), observations made three days later highlighted the efficacy in controlling or minimizing the incidence of gram pod borer. The order of effectiveness was as follows: Flubendiamide (0.60 larvae/meter row length), Emamectin Benzoate (0.87 larvae/meter row length), Indoxacarb (0.93 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (1.00 larvae/meter row length), Quinalphos (1.27 larvae/meter row length), Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) (1.47 larvae/meter row length), and Neem seed kernel extract (1.67 larvae/meter row length) displayed comparatively lower effective.

7th DAS (Days After Second Spray): Seven days after the second spray application, Flubendiamide maintained its status as the most effective treatment (0.47 larvae/meter row length), followed by Emamectin Benzoate (0.73

larvae/meter row length), Indoxacarb (0.80 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (0.93 larvae/meter row length), Quinalphos (1.07 larvae/meter row length), Neem seed kernel extract (1.20 larvae/meter row length), with Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) showing comparatively reduced effectiveness (1.27 larvae/meter row length) with untreated control 1.80 larvae/mrl.

14th DAS: Fourteen days after the second spray, the effectiveness sequence remained consistent: Flubendiamide (0.40 larvae/meter row length), Emamectin Benzoate (0.60 larvae/meter row length), Indoxacarb (0.67 larvae/meter row length), Cypermethrin (0.73 larvae/meter row length), Quinalphos (0.93 larvae/meter row length), Neem seed kernel extract (1.00 larvae/meter row length), and Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) exhibited relatively lower effectiveness (1.07 larvae/meter row length) with untreated control 1.47 larvae /mrl.

In conclusion, all chemical treatments demonstrated significant efficacy in managing the larval incidence of gram pod borer, with Flubendiamide consistently exhibiting the highest potency throughout the observation period. Conversely, Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) treatment consistently displayed relatively lower effectiveness compared to other treatments comparatively in second spray.

Table 2: Efficacy of chemical insecticides along with biopesticides on *Helicoverpa armigera*. Population of larvae/meter row length after 2nd spray during 2022-23

| S.N. | Treatments | After 2 nd Spray | | | | Mean |
|------|--|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | 0 DBT | 3 DAT | 7 DAT | 14 DAT | |
| 1. | Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 10 gm, ai/ha | 1.33(1.15) | 0.87(0.93) | 0.73(0.85) | 0.60(0.77) | 0.88(0.94) |
| 2. | Indoxacarb 14.5% SC @ 500 ml/ha | 1.27(1.13) | 0.93(0.96) | 0.80(0.89) | 0.67(0.82) | 0.92(0.96) |
| 3. | Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 200 ml/ha | 1.07(1.03) | 0.60(0.77) | 0.47(0.69) | 0.40(0.63) | 0.64(0.80) |
| 4. | Cypermethrin 25 EC 600 ml/ha | 1.40(1.18) | 1.00(1.00) | 0.93(0.96) | 0.73(0.85) | 1.02(1.01) |
| 5. | Quinalphos 25 EC 1000 ml /ha | 1.47(1.21) | 1.27(1.13) | 1.07(1.03) | 0.93(0.96) | 1.19(1.09) |
| 6. | NSKE 3 lit/ha | 1.80(1.34) | 1.67(1.29) | 1.20(1.10) | 1.00(1.00) | 1.42(1.19) |
| 7. | Bt (<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>) 1 lit /ha | 2.03(1.42) | 1.47(1.21) | 1.27(1.13) | 1.07(1.03) | 1.46(1.21) |
| 8. | Control | 3.33(1.82) | 2.67(1.63) | 1.80(1.34) | 1.47(1.21) | 2.32(1.52) |
| | S.Em + | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| | CD at 5% | NS | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.45 | 0.28 |

Values in parenthesis are calculated by \sqrt{n}

*DAT Days after treatment **DBT Days before treatment

Comprehensive Analysis of Spray Treatments

A comprehensive analysis of spray treatments or combined mean of larval population results reveals the efficacy of various modules in effectively managing the incidence of *Helicoverpa armigera*, excluding the plot without control (2.45 larvae/meter row length). Collectively, Flubendiamide 480 SC emerges as a high-potential chemical, demonstrating superior effectiveness in controlling the population of gram pod borer, with only 0.88 larvae/mrl. Consequently, Flubendiamide takes the lead over other treatments. The remaining treatments also exhibit significant control on gram pod borer, with Emamectin Benzoate 5 SG recording 1.07 larvae/meter row length, Indoxacarb 14.5 SC with 1.11 larvae/mrl, Cypermethrin 25 EC with 1.21 larvae/mrl, Quinalphos 25 EC with 1.38 larvae/mrl, Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) 1lit/ha with 1.60 larvae/mrl, and NSKE with the least effectiveness at 1.67 larvae/mrl.

Comparisons with previous studies conducted by Deshmukh *et al.* (2010) [4] indicate similarities in findings, highlighting the effectiveness of Flubendiamide at 0.007% and Indoxacarb at 0.0075% in reducing the incidence of gram

pod borer. Similar results are reported by Babar *et al.* (2012a) [3], showcasing significant reductions in larvae occurrence with various insecticides.

Additional studies by Ameta and Kumar (2008) [1] reveal that applying Flubendiamide at 60 g a.i./ha (125 ml/ha) three times led to a substantial decline in *H. armigera* larvae on chili plants, resulting in increased yield. Similarly, for chickpea crops, Gowda *et al.* (2007) [7] found that Indoxacarb at 25 g a.i./ha and Emamectin Benzoate also demonstrated the highest efficiency in controlling the gram pod borer. In contrast, Dhawan *et al.* (2006) [6] discovered that on cotton crops, Flubendiamide at 50 g a.i./ha outperformed Indoxacarb at 75 g a.i./ha in managing *H. armigera* in chickpea.

These collective findings provide valuable insights into effective insecticides for controlling the gram pod borer in various crops, suggesting that Flubendiamide, Emamectin Benzoate, and Indoxacarb demonstrate promising results, contingent on the specific crop and application methods. Further research is encouraged to explore their long-term effects on pest populations and the environment, aiming to

develop sustainable pest management strategies for agricultural practices.

Grain yield

Observation recorded on grain yield (kg/ha) at harvest showed significantly outcome of various treatments in increasing the chickpea yield. Among the various treatments, flubendiamide 15.77 qt/ha (1.42 kg/plot) was found to be most effective followed by Emamectin Benzoate 14.88 qt/ha (1.346 kg/plot), Indoxacarb 14.73 qt/ha (1.326 kg/plot), Cypermethrin 13.83 qt/ha (1.245 kg/plot), Quinalphos 12.68 qt/ha (1.142 kg/plot), *Bt. (Bacillus thuringiensis)* 12.00qt/ha (1.080 kg/plot), NSKE 11.11qt/ha (1.00 kg/plot) respectively. The yield of untreated plot was 7.54qt/ha (0.679 kg/plot). Previous findings also gives conformity of present investigation as like Babar *et al.* (2012 b) [3], Dhaka *et al.* (2015) [5] and Deshmukh *et al.* (2010 b) [4] observed an increased Benefit: Cost B:C ratio in the treatment involving Flubendiamide. They also documented that these flubendiamide treatments yielded the highest crop output, with a production of 1850 kg/ha, followed closely by indoxacarb at 0.0075% concentration, resulting in 1805 kg/ha of chickpea. Dhawan reported that applying 60 g a.i./ha of flubendiamide led to 19.11-21.50 q/ha for seed cotton which was highest cotton yield.

Conclusion

In the assessment of seven distinct treatments applied to address gram pod borer infestation in chickpea crops, Flubendiamide emerged as the most efficacious and promising chemical for controlling *Helicoverpa armigera*. Following closely in effectiveness were Emamectin Benzoate and Indoxacarb. Analyzing grain yield across all treatments revealed that Flubendiamide exhibited the highest potential for yield enhancement, trailed by Emamectin Benzoate and Indoxacarb, which demonstrated comparable outcomes. Cypermethrin, Quinalphos, *B.t. (Bacillus thuringiensis)* and notably Neem Seed Kernel Extract (NSKE) constituted the treatments with varying degrees of efficacy, with NSKE yielding the least.

A thorough examination of the current research underscores the inference that the integration of insecticides, specifically Flubendiamide 480 SC, Emamectin Benzoate 5 SG, and Indoxacarb 14.5 SC, into a meticulously designed integrated pest management program holds substantial promise for effectively combating *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Significantly, the efficiency of these insecticides is noteworthy, attributed to their remarkably low recommended field doses.

Suggestion for further study

Propose of study focusing on optimizing insecticidal spray schedules for crop protection. Initiate the first spray only when pest incidence reaches the Economic Threshold Level (ETL). Investigate the communication and behavior patterns of insect pests to enhance understanding of their life cycles and movement. For early-matured crop varieties, evaluate the effectiveness of a two-spray schedule in suppressing pest populations. In the case of chickpeas, identify two critical susceptible stages for gram pod borer infestation—flowering and pod-filling. Implement the first spray upon ETL initiation and the second 15 to 18 days thereafter, providing a strategic approach to manage pests at their early stages and Analyze how the timing of insecticidal sprays affects crop

yield, considering factors like pest suppression, plant health, and overall productivity.

Acknowledgement

The authors express their heartfelt gratitude to the Head of the Department of Entomology and the esteemed Adviser at G.H.R.U School of Agriculture Sciences in Saikheda (M.P.) for their unwavering support and the provision of essential facilities. Their invaluable contributions were pivotal in ensuring the successful execution of this research endeavor.

References

1. Ameta OP, Kumar A. Efficacy of flubendiamide against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) in chilli. *Pestology*. 2008;17(5):26-29.
2. Anwar M, Shafique M. Integrated control of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in Sindh. In: *Proceedings of Pakistan Congress of Zoology*; c1993. p 215–222.
3. Babar KS, Bharpoda TM, Shah KD, Jhala RC. Bioefficacy of newer molecules of insecticides against chickpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.). *An International e-Journal*. 2012;1(2):134-147.
4. Deshmukh SG, Sureja BV, Jethva DM, Chatar VP. Field efficacy of different insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting chickpea. *Legume Research*. 2010;33(4):269-273.
5. Dhaka SS, Rai MK, Yadav A. Efficacy of novel insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 2015;77(4):339-344.
6. Dhawan AK, Singh K, Singh R, Kumar T. Field evaluation of flubendiamide (480 SC) against bollworm complex on upland cotton. *J Cotton Res Development*. 2006;20(2):232-235.
7. Gowda SDK, Patil BV, Suhas Y. Performance of different sprayers against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on chickpea. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2007;20(2):261-264.
8. Jadhav SN, Konda CR, Hanumanaikar RH, Kamanna BC. Eco-friendly integrated pest management strategies against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea. *Karnataka J Agric Sci*. 2010;23(1):103-105.
9. Mandal SK, Roy SP. Impact of environmental factor(s) on certain pulse crops of north-eastern Bihar (India) with reference to resource management. *The Ecoscan*. 2012;1:35-40.
10. Rahman UA, Saeed MQ, Noora J, Musharaf A, Muhammad T. Efficacy of some new insecticides against gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) in Peshawar. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2006;22(2):293-295.
11. Rahman MM, Mannan MA, Islam MA. Pest survey of major summer and winter pulses in Bangladesh. In: *Proceedings of the National Workshop on Pulses*. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Dhaka; c1982. p. 265-273.
12. Singh K, Raju SVS, Singh DK. Population succession of tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* on tomato. *Agroecosystem in eastern region of U.P. Vegetable Sciences*. 2011;38(2):152-155.
13. Verma S, Gupta S. Chickpea, a potential source of protein. *Legume Research*. 2016;39(3):361-366.