

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; SP-8(1): 631-635
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 01-10-2023
 Accepted: 05-11-2023

Sachin Saini
 Department of Veterinary
 Gynaecology and Obstetrics,
 College of Veterinary Science
 and Animal Husbandry,
 Kamdhenu University,
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
 India

Haresh C Nakhshi
 Department of Veterinary
 Gynaecology and Obstetrics,
 College of Veterinary Science
 and Animal Husbandry,
 Kamdhenu University,
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
 India

Raj V Desai
 Department of Veterinary
 Gynaecology and Obstetrics,
 College of Veterinary Science
 and Animal Husbandry,
 Kamdhenu University,
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
 India

Suresh K Dabas
 Principal Scientist., Animal
 Physiology and Reproduction
 I/C Semen quality control
 Lab(ICAR) Meerut, Uttar
 Pradesh, India

Bharat S Rathod
 Assistant Scientist at LRS,
 Department of Veterinary
 Science and Animal
 Husbandry, Kamdhenu
 University, Sardarkrushinagar,
 Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author:
Sachin Saini
 Department of Veterinary
 Gynaecology and Obstetrics,
 College of Veterinary Science
 and Animal Husbandry,
 Kamdhenu University,
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,
 India

Superovulatory response and embryo recovery in Kankrej cattle

Sachin Saini, Haresh C Nakhshi, Raj V Desai, Suresh K Dabas and Bharat S Rathod

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i1Si.384>

Abstract

Superovulation is a reproductive technology used in the dairy industry to enhance the reproductive rate of superior female animals. The experiment was conducted to investigate the superovulatory response and embryo recovery in twelve donor Kankrej cattle which were divided in to two groups (A and B) and synchronized with single dose (625 µg, im) of cloprostenol. Superovulation was induced with pFSH (25 mg) in tapering dose started on 8th day of estrus for 4 days. Group-A cows (n=6) were given 10 µg GnRH While, Group-B cows (n=6) did not receive GnRH at the time of breeding. Estrus following superovulation (53±2.15) took less time in hours than induced estrus after synchronization (61.2±5.01). Of the 12 cows, 8 (66.67%) were responding to the superovulation treatment (>2 CL), while 4 (33.33%) were not responding (≤ 2 CL). Using rectal palpation and ultrasonography, total mean CLs were found in Group A to be 4.33±1.27 and 5.67±1.62, and in Group B to be 3.16±.94 and 4.17±.74 respectively. Furthermore, compared to the number of CLs in Group B, there was a substantial ($p<0.01$) decrease in embryo recovery. Superovulatory response and embryo recovery were non significantly higher using 25mg pFSH in Group-A compared to Group-B.

Keywords: Superovulation, synchronization, pFSH, GnRH

Introduction

Superovulation (SOV) followed by artificial insemination (AI) can be utilised to harvest many embryos from prominent donors. These strategies, which are connected to the embryo transfer (ET) to recipients, are effective tools for sharing top-notch genetics. Embryo transfer is one step in the process of removing one or more embryos from the reproductive tract of a donor female and transferring them to one or more recipient females.

However, the use of embryo transfer still has some limitations, i.e. high cost of embryo production, poor freezability, abnormal fetuses and calves with altered sex ratios. By collecting embryos from genetically elite females and transferring the harvested embryos into females of lesser genetic merit, it is possible to produce more calves from genetically superior females and fewer calves from genetically less valuable females (Youngs, 2007) [31]. Therefore, to achieve this goal, the cattle female ovulate multiple, matured, and viable oocytes, which are capable of being fertilized in-vivo, and which can then continue to develop into embryos. Although early embryo transfer techniques utilized surgical approaches for embryo collection, now all commercial embryo collections are non-surgical procedures requiring trans-cervical catheterization of uterine horns.

In spite of the foregoing limitations in practicing MOET technology in India, many scientists (Patel *et al.*, 2004; Babu Rao *et al.*, 2005; Mathur *et al.*, 2006) [17, 4, 13] have conducted research work on indigenous and crossbred cattle to investigate the response of multiple ovulation treatment and embryo recovery in terms of their quality and quantity. So, under Indian conditions, embryo transfer technology should be adopted to preserve pure Indian breeds which are becoming extinct due to indiscriminate cross-breeding. This is the first study as per our knowledge to study the superovulatory response and embryo recovery in kankrej cattle.

Materials and Methods

This research experiment was carried out at the Department of Veterinary Gynecology and

Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, Sardarkrushinagar with the Collaboration of Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar

Animal selection and management

A total of 12 Kankrej cows selected from the herd were kept

in the same nutritional, sanitary, and managemental conditions at Livestock Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar. The animals were subdivided into two equal groups, each of 6 cows, as Group A and Group B were managed as under to evaluate the Superovulatory response and embryo recovery.

Estrus synchronization and superovulation

Table 1: Details of synchronization and superovulatory protocol used in the study

Days	Group-A (N=6)		Group-B (N=6)	
-2(d)	Cloprostenol (@ 625 µg, IM)		Cloprostenol (@ 625 µg, IM)	
0(d)	(ESTRUS)		(ESTRUS)	
6 th (d)	GnRH (@ 10 µg, IM)		GnRH (@ 10 µg, IM)	
8 th (d)	Morning	Evening	Morning	Evening
	pFSH (@ 50 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 50 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 50 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 50 µg; IM)
9 th (d)	pFSH (@ 35 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 35 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 35 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 35 µg; IM)
10 th (d)	pFSH (@ 25 µg; IM) + Cloprostenol (@ 625 µg, IM)	pFSH (@ 25 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 25 µg; IM) + Cloprostenol (@ 625 µg, IM)	pFSH (@ 25 µg; IM)
11 th (d)	pFSH (@ 15 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 15 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 15 µg; IM)	pFSH (@ 15 µg; IM)
12 th (d)	Breeding (1 st) + GnRH (@ 10 µg, IM)	Breeding (2 nd)	Breeding (1 st)	Breeding (2 nd)
13 th (d)	Breeding (3 rd)		Breeding (3 rd)	
20 th (d)	Flushing (Embryo recovery)		Flushing (Embryo recovery)	

Embryo recovery and transfer

The donors were examined per rectally on day 7 after the first insemination to identify the various ovulatory responses post pFSH treatment. Donors were considered to have reacted to pFSH treatment if they had two or more corpora lutea (CLs) on one or both ovaries, as opposed to those who had fewer than two CLs. Both responding as well as non-responding were subjected to uterine flushing for embryo recovery by non-surgical technique with Embryo flushing media (emXcell, IMV, India) and a 18 gauge embryo flushing catheter (Minitub, Germany). Foley catheter was passed through the cervix and the tip was placed in the uterine body passage, caudal to the external bifurcation of the uterus. The balloon was inflated with passing sufficient air and about 500 ml of flushing medium was used in each uterine horn by using foley catheters, 'Y' junction tubing and flushing bottles.

Donors were allowed to rest in a holding pen and the remaining DPBS was recovered by manipulation of the uterus. The inlet and outlet valve was opened alternatively so the Flushing media went inside the uterus and came out after the milking procedure of horns. Outcoming media was passed through a pre-sterilized embryo filter (Milex®-GS, Tullagreen) (0.22 µm pore size). Embryo flushing was immediately followed by intrauterine flushing with 20ml Gentamicin (Gentamor-vet, Morvel Laboratories, India) and 2 ml Cloprostenol (Pragma @ 500 µg) was also injected intramuscularly for the lysis of multiple corpora lutea. The recovered fluid in the embryo filter after flushing of the uterus was immediately transferred to the laboratory and searched the embryo with the help of stereo zoom microscope.

Ultrasonography

The transrectal ultrasonography was performed using a real-time B-mode ultrasound scanner (Titan, Sonosite Ltd; Hitchin, UK) equipped with a 5-10 MHz linear array transducer designed for intra-rectal placement before the starting of FSH protocol to know the ovarian status and before flushing to know the superovulatory response in donor animals. Superovulation response was determined

based on total CL present on ovary and no. of embryo collected.

Statistical analysis

The estrus characteristics parameters were recorded as Mean ± S.E. in an excel sheet and ovarian response between respondent and non-respondent, No. of CL count, Embryo recovery in Group A and B, were analyzed using an independent t-test.

Results

The estrus synchronization response of 83.33 percent in Kankrej cows observed in the present study (Table 1).

Table 2: Characteristics of the estrus in Kankrej donor cows after synchronization

S. No.	Parameters	Characteristics of the estrus
1.	Estrus response (percent cows)	83.33%
2.	Interval between treatment and onset of estrus (hrs)	61.2±5.01 (36 to 96 hrs)
3.	Duration of estrus (hrs)	17.1±1.29 (12 to 24 hrs)
4.	Intensity of estrus (percent cows)	
A	Intense %	33.33
B	Intermediate %	41.67
C	Weak %	8.33
D	No response	16.67

Estrus expression was observed to occur within the range of 36 to 96hrs after synchronization. The overall mean estrus induction interval in cows synchronized with single PGF2 α was 61.2±5.01 hrs.

Estrus duration was observed to occur within the range of 12 to 24 hrs after synchronization. The mean estrus duration (hrs) in cows synchronized with single PGF2 α was 17.1±1.29. (Table.2) Cows exhibiting the estrus showed weak, intermediate and intense estrus responses in 8.33, 41.67 and 33.33 percent respectively, after synchronization of donors with single PGF2 α (Table 2). The estrus response of 100 percent in Kankrej cows (n=12) was observed after

the superovulation. The mean estrus induction (hrs) and estrus duration (hrs) were 53 ± 2.15 and 20.67 ± 1.07 , respectively. The intensity of estrus after superovulation comprised weak, intermediate, and intense estrus as 25, 41.67 and 33.33 percent, respectively.

The mean time required for induced estrus (hrs.) was higher after synchronization (61.2 ± 5.01) as compared to estrus after superovulation (53 ± 2.15). The mean estrus duration (hrs) in cows after synchronization was lower (17.1 ± 1.29) as compared to estrus duration after superovulation (20.67 ± 1.07).

Table 3: Characteristics of the estrus in Kankrej donor cows after superovulation

S. No.	Parameters	Estrus after superovulation
1.	Estrus response (%)	100%
2.	Interval between treatment and onset of estrus (hrs)	53 ± 2.15 (36 to 60 hrs.)
3.	Duration of estrus (hrs)	20.67 ± 1.07 (15 to 24 hrs.)
4.	Intensity of estrus	
A	Intense %	33.33
B	Intermediate %	41.67
C	Weak %	25

The superovulatory response was recorded based on the number of corpora lutea palpated at the time of embryo recovery in the cows of different groups which was then validated by transrectal ultrasonography. The cows were considered as the respondent when the ovulatory response was of more than two corpora lutea, while the cows with ≤ 2 corpora lutea were marked as non-respondents. Out of all the 12 cows, 8(66.67%) responded to the superovulation treatment whereas, 4 cows (33.33%) did not show the superovulatory response. Ultrasonographically, an equal superovulatory response was observed as 4 (66.67%) respondents in each group with nonsignificant differences between the groups of donors.

Table 4: Ovarian response to superovulation in Kankrej donors of different groups

Group	No. of cows		Total	X2 (Pvalue)
	Respondents	Non-respondents		
A	4 (66.67%)	2 (33.3%)	6	1.000 (df 1)
B	4 (66.67%)	2 (33.3%)	6	
Total	8	4	12	

Total numbers of corpora lutea were higher on the right ovary as compared to the left ovary in both groups of cows. A similar finding was observed by Stevenson (2019) [28] in dairy cattle. The variation in the total number of CL in the results might be due to the fact that the right side ovary is more functional as compared to the left side ovary in the cattle.

Table 5: Numbers of corpora lutea in the donor cows at various stages using ultrasonography

Periods No. of CL	Group A (n=6)		Group B (n=6)	
	Right ovary	Left ovary	Right ovary	Left ovary
8 th day (At the initiation of FSH)	4	1	4	1
Flushing day	20	14	15	10

The total mean number of corpora lutea found through rectal palpation and ultrasonographically were 4.33 ± 1.27 and 5.67 ± 1.62 in Group A and 3.16 ± 0.94 and 4.17 ± 0.74 in Group B, respectively. The statistical difference was found to be non-significant between and within the groups.

Table 6: Number of CLs (Mean \pm SE) on the day of flushing in different groups of Kankrej cows

Method No. of CLs	Group A (n=6)	Group B (n=6)	P value
RCL	4.33 ± 1.27	3.16 ± 0.94	0.475
UCL	5.67 ± 1.62	4.17 ± 0.74	0.430
P value	0.531	0.426	

*RCL = CL count through rectal palpation

*UCL = CL count through ultrasonography

All the treated cows of both groups were subjected to flushing for embryo collection irrespective of the number of corpora lutea present. The overall total means number of corpus lutea and mean recovery of total embryos were 5.67 ± 1.62 and 2.50 ± 1.12 in Group A and the corresponding values were found to be 4.17 ± 0.75 and 1.17 ± 0.48 in Group B, respectively. Whereas, the non-respondent donor cows with less than two CLs in both the groups yield no embryo upon flushing. Embryo recovery was found to be significantly ($p < 0.01$) lesser when compared with the number of CLs within Group B. However, it was found to be non-significantly higher in Group A as compared to Group B. These findings are in accordance with Mishra *et al.* (2002) [33] who reported a mean total embryo as 2.5 ± 0.68 in Sahiwal cows.

Table 7: Total number of corpora lutea and Embryo recovery (Mean \pm S.E.) in different groups of Kankrej donors

	Group A (n=6)	Group B (n=6)	P value
Number of CL	5.67 ± 1.62	4.17 ± 0.75^A	0.430
Embryo recovery	2.50 ± 1.12	1.17 ± 0.48^B	0.298
P Value	0.139	0.007	

Means bearing different superscripts within the columns (A-B) differ highly significantly ($p < 0.01$)

Discussion

The estrus synchronization response observed in the present study corroborated well with the findings in Arab zebu cattle (Zeuh *et al.* 2014) [32]; HF X Local crossbred (Mekonnin, 2016) [14], in dairy cattle (Chanylew *et al.*, 2018) [6] and crossbred cattle (Ratnaparkhi *et al.*, 2020) [19] using single PGF2 α . Whereas, estrus response was higher in the present study than observed in previous studies including Friesian cows (Abdelkhalek *et al.*, 2014) [1], non-descript cows using double PGFs in (Sahatpure and Patil 2008) [20], and crossbred cattle using double PGFs in (Ratnaparkhi *et al.*, 2020) [19]. Nearly similar intensity of estrus have been reported in crossbred cattle (Ratnaparkhi *et al.* 2020) [19] and in Kangayam donor cows (Mani *et al.*, 2021) [12] using double PGF2 α protocol.

The higher proportion of intense and intermediate estrus observed in the present study might be due to the fact that in regular estrus the progesterone concentration obtains a peak level on the 15th day and start to reduce gradually and reaches the basal level on the day of subsequent estrus. A low level of progesterone in the synchronized group during the pro-estrus might be the reason for a higher percent of intense estrus (Chauhan *et al.*, 1983) [7]. Higher normal and intense estrus and lower weak estrus in synchronized cows

as compared to control cows observed in the present study is in accordance with the findings of Senthilkumar and Chandrahasan (2015) [22]. The total mean number of corpora lutea found through rectal palpation and ultrasonographically in the two groups were statistically non-significant and these findings corroborate well with that of the in Sahiwal x Jersey crossbred cows (Ullah *et al.*, 1998) [30]; in Ongole cows (Babu Rao *et al.*, 2005) [4]; in Kamphaeng sean beef cows (Nilchuen *et al.*, 2011) [16]; in Gir crossbred cows (Deopurkaretal., 2003) [8]; in crossbred cows (Arosh *et al.*, 2000) [3] and in Pesisir cattle using FSH (Afriani *et al.*, 2021) [2].

However, a significantly higher average yield of corpus luteum (CL) in Sahiwal cows (Mishra *et al.*, 2002) [33]; in *Bos indicus* cattle (Tribulo *et al.*, 1991) [29]; in Jersey cows (Sarvaiya *et al.*, 2003) [21]; and in North Omani cattle (Hussein *et al.*, 2017) [9] have been reported.

A lower superovulatory response as compared to the present findings, 50.00 percent in Sahiwal cattle (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2011) [26], and 57.00 percent in crossbred cattle (Ranjan *et al.*, 2004) [18] has been reported. Whereas, a much higher 82.60 percent in Ongole cows (Babu Rao *et al.*, 2005) [4], 82.00-91.00 percent in Holstein cows (Sharma *et al.*, 2002) [23], 100 percent in crossbred cattle (Arosh *et al.*, 2000; Kharche *et al.*, 2001 and Bhuyan *et al.*, 2012) [3, 10, 5] have been reported. These variations observed in the research studies can be ascribed to numerous extrinsic factors, such as dose and type of gonadotrophins, superovulation protocol, seasonal variation and mostly innate individual variation.

In case of embryo recovery the present finding gets support from the those in dairy cattle (Morgan *et al.*, 1993) [15]; in Gir cow and its crossbred (Shelar *et al.*, 2002) [25] and in Jersey x Red Sindhi (Sharma *et al.*, 2006) [24] wherein, GnRH was incorporated at the time of breeding. Changes in endocrine physiology at an older age results in lower superovulatory response as observed in *Bos Taurus* (Malhi *et al.*, 2007) [11] and *Bos indicus* (Silva *et al.*, 2009) [27]. In the present study, It was observed that the donor cows supplemented with GnRH at the time of AI had a positive impact on the number of embryos recovered.

Conclusion

Looking to the overall superovulatory response using 25 mg pFSH in the present study, it is suggested to use a higher dose of Stimufol (pFSH) for further research studies in Kankrej cows. Superovulatory response and embryo recovery were non significantly higher in 25mg pFSH with the GnRH at the time of breeding as compared to without GnRH in Kankrej cattle. Ultrasonography revealed the more number of corpus luteum as compared to the rectal palpation in superovulated Kankrej donors.

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to the Research Scientist of Livestock Research Station, SK Nagar, and the authorities of Kamdhenu University for the support and facilities provided for this research work.

References

1. Abdelkhalek AE, El-Keraby FE, Shehab El-Din AM. Use of progesterone in superovulation protocols for embryo production in Friesian cows. *J Anim Poult Prod.* 2014;5(12):697-710.

- <https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/jappmu.2014.70818>
- Afriani T, Purwati E, Hellyward J, Mundana M, Rastosari A, Farhana A. Pesisir cattle superovulation with various dosage of Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) on embryo production. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* 2021;888(1):012034. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/888/1/012034>
 - Arosh JA, Sakila K, Devanathan TG, Kathiresan D. Follicle stimulating hormone profile and its correlation with ovarian response, embryo recovery and quality of embryos in prostaglandin F2 alpha and Synchronate-B with Follitropin induced superovulated crossbred cows. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2000;70(7):679-683.
 - Babu Rao K, Mutha Rao M, Raju MS. Superovulation and embryo recovery in Ongole cows using different FSH preparations. *Indian J Anim Reprod.* 2005;26(2):123-125.
 - Bhuyan S, Chakravarty P, Deka BC, Sarmah BC, Borah S. Recovery of Embryos from superovulated cows and its correlation with progesterone concentrations. *Indian Vet J.* 2012 Apr;89(4):11-13.
 - Chanyalew Y, Zewde T, Gatew H, Girma L, Kassa G, Demis C, *et al.* Evaluation of two estrus synchronization protocols in dairy cattle at North Shoa zone Ethiopia. *Anim Prod.* 2018;19(2):93-100. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20884/1.jap.2017.19.2.616>
 - Chauhan FS, Sharma RD, Singh GD. Serum Progesterone concentration in normal cycling and subestrus buffaloes. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1983;36:28-33.
 - Deopurkar V, Naik V, Raj B, Gulvane S, Chaubal S, Jadhav P. Superovulation in Gir cross breeds using follicle stimulating hormone. *Indian J Anim Reprod.* 2003;24(1):58-59.
 - Hussein AM, Al-Shakaili YO, Al-Ismaily AN, Al-Alawi HH. Effect of different doses of FSH on superovulation, production and quality of embryos in North Omani Cattle breed. *Indian J Anim Res.* 2003;51(1):8-14. DOI: 10.18805/ijar.v0iOF.7003
 - Kharche SD, Sharma GT, Agarwal SK, Majudar AC, Sanwal PC, Sharma NC. Pretreatment effect of human chorionic gonadotrophin and estradiol-17 β on ovarian response, embryo production and endocrine profile in cattle superovulated with FSH-P. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2001;71(7):644-649.
 - Malhi PS, Adams GP, Mapletoft RJ, Singh J. Oocyte developmental competence in a bovine model of reproductive aging. *Reproduction.* 2007;134:233-239.
 - Mani P, Mani S, Kaliannan R, Angamuthu R. Pattern of induced estrus during superovulatory program in Kangayam donor cows; c2007. <https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2021.v10.i4Sa.5945>
 - Mathur AK, Kumar PS, Tyagi S. Superovulatory response and embryo recovery in Frieswal Cows using FSH & PMSG. *Indian J Anim Reprod.* 2006;27(1):80-81.
 - Mekonnin AB, Tadesse G, Bitsue HK, Khar SK. Efficacy of a Modified GnRH-PGF Combination for. 2007. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31248/JASVM2021.291>
 - Morgan WF, Lean IJ. Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone treatment in cattle: a meta-analysis of the effects on conception at the time of insemination. *Aust Vet J.* 1993;70(6):205-209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1993.tb03304.x>

16. Nilchuen P, Rattanatabtimtong S, Chomchai S. Superovulation with different doses of follicle stimulating hormone in KamphaengSaen beef cattle. *Songklanakarini J Sci Technol*. 2011;33(6):679-683.
17. Patel DV, Singh SP, Nikhate RV, Panchal AG, Kasiraj R. Superovulatory response, total and viable embryo recovery following reduced dose regimen of FSH in Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal crossbred with repeated flushing. Presented at XX Annual Convention and National Symposium on Advanced Reproductive Technologies for Management of Fertility in Livestock at Durg, Chattisgarh from; c2004.
18. Ranjan S, Cheema JS, Mutha Rao, Prahlad Singh. Blood plasma hormones in relation to superovulatory response in crossbred cattle. *Indian J Anim Reprod*. 2004;25(1):1-7.
19. Ratnaparkhi AR, Deshmukh SG, Birade HS, Kale VB, Harkal SB, Jadhao AD. Comparative efficacy of synchronization protocols for improving fertility in postpartum crossbred dairy cows. *Haryana Vet*. 2020;59:23-26.
20. Sahatpure SK, Patil MSS. Synchronisation of oestrus with Prostaglandin F2 alpha analogue in non-descript cow. *Vet World*. 2008;1(7):203-204.
21. Sarvaiya NP, Patel DM, Phatak MM, Patel AV, Dugwekar YG. Superovulatory response, embryo recovery and ovarian steroids in Jersey cows treated with different FSH preparations and their routes of administration. *Indian J Anim Reprod*. 2003;24(1):36-38.
22. Senthilkumar K, Chandrahasan C. Oestrus behaviour in natural and induced oestrus in dairy cattle by PGF α with GnRH and hCG. *Int J Sci Environ Technol*. 2015;4(1):243-247.
23. Sharma RK, Siddiqui MV, Gorani S. Superovulation and post superovulatory fertility of Holstein cows under tropical conditions. *Indian J Anim Sci*. 2002;72:31-34.
24. Sharma A, Singh M, Vasishta NK. Studies on the effect of gonadotropin-releasing hormone administration on conception rate following artificial insemination in cattle maintained under subtemperate climate. *Indian J Anim Reprod*. 2006;27(1):66-68.
25. Shelar RR, Deopurkar VL, Bakshi SA, Gulavane SU. Efficacy of pre insemination treatment with GnRH for improving conception rate in repeat breeder cows. *Indian J Anim Reprod*. 2002;23(1):69-70.
26. Siddiqui MU, Panchal MT, Kavani FS. Circulating ovarian steroids in relation to superovulatory response and embryo recovery in Sahiwal cows and heifers. *Indian J Anim Reproduction*. 2011;32(2):12-16.
27. Silva JCC, Alvarez RH, Zanenga CA, Pereira GT. Factors affecting embryo production in superovulated cattle. *Anim Reprod*. 2009;6(3):440-445.
28. Stevenson JS. Spatial relationships of ovarian follicles and luteal structures in dairy cows subjected to ovulation synchronization: Progesterone and risks for luteolysis, ovulation, and pregnancy. *J Dairy Sci*. 2019;102(6):5686-5698.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-16036>
29. Tribulo H, Bo GA, Jofre F, Carcedo J, Alonso A, Mapletoft RJ. The effect of LH concentration in porcine pituitary extract and season on superovulatory response of *Bos indicus* heifers. *Theriogenology*. 1991;35:286.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0093-691X\(91\)90262-C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0093-691X(91)90262-C)
30. Ullah N, Anwar M, Javed MH. Superovulatory response of Sahiwal cows to follicle stimulating hormone. *Indian J Anim Sci*. 1998;68(7):616-618.
31. Youngs CR. Embryo transfer in beef cattle. In: *Proceedings of the Applied Reproductive Strategies in Beef Cattle conference*, September; c2007. p. 11-12.
32. Zeuh V, Youssouf ML, Dingamtar N, Dezoumbe D. Evaluation of two methods of estrus synchronization of cattle in Chad. *Open J Anim Sci*. 2014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2014.41>
33. Mishra SK, Tripp J, Winkelhaus S, Tschiersch B, Theres K, Nover L, *et al*. In the complex family of heat stress transcription factors, HsfA1 has a unique role as master regulator of thermotolerance in tomato. *Genes & development*. 2002 Jun 15;16(12):1555-1567.