

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2023; SP-7(2): 564-567  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 16-10-2023  
 Accepted: 22-11-2023

**Neha Chaudhary**  
 Senior Research Fellow,  
 Bio Science Research Centre,  
 SD Agricultural University,  
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,  
 India

**Sweta A Patel**  
 Research Associate, CP College  
 of Agriculture, SD Agricultural  
 University, Sardarkrushinagar,  
 Gujarat, India

**BT Patel**  
 RTD. Professor,  
 Department of Agril. Chem.  
 and Soil Science, CPCA, SD  
 Agricultural University,  
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,  
 India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Neha Chaudhary**  
 Senior Research Fellow,  
 Bio Science Research Centre,  
 SD Agricultural University,  
 Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,  
 India

## Effect of iron and zinc enriched organics on available nutrient status of soil after harvest of potato in loamy sand

**Neha Chaudhary, Sweta A Patel and BT Patel**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2023.v7.i2Sh.267>

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar to study the effect of Fe and Zn enriched organics on growth, yield attributes and yield of potato in loamy sand (Typic *Ustipsamments*) during the *Rabi* seasons of 2016-17 and 2017-18. The results revealed that an application of 5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content, DTPA-Fe and DTPA-Zn as compared to 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. The organic carbon and available nitrogen content were recorded higher with 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. An application of organics 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn (N<sub>5</sub>) recorded significantly higher organic carbon, available nitrogen, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, available K<sub>2</sub>O, DTPA-Fe and DTPA-Zn concentration compared to no application of Fe and Zn.

**Keywords:** FYM, micronutrient deficiencies, iron, zinc, vermicompost, potato

### Introduction

Micronutrient deficiencies in Indian soils and crops have been on the increase since the adoption of modern agricultural technology with increased use of NPK fertilizers generally free from micronutrients, intensive cultivation with fertilizer responsive improved varieties of crops with more irrigation facilities, limited use of organic manure and restricted recycling of crop residues (Prasad, 1999) [8]. The reports in literature indicate that combination of organics with inorganic fertilizers is helped in decreasing the use of chemical fertilizers under integrated plant nutritional system (IPNS). Supplementation of deficient nutrients is necessary for higher crop yields. Potato is an important crop of North Gujarat particularly in Banaskantha district. The process of enrichment of organics with Fe and Zn not only increase crop yield but also helps in reducing the load of inorganic chemicals as well as quantity of organics to a considerable extent (Meena *et al.* 2006) [5]. The information on Fe and Zn enriched organics (FYM/Vermicompost) is lacking on Fe and Zn deficient soils of Banaskantha of North Gujarat where potato crop is grown. Present study was conducted to assess the Fe and Zn enriched organics on growth, yield attributes and yield of potato crop.

### Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during the *Rabi* seasons of 2016-17 and 2017-18 to study the effect of Fe and Zn enriched organics on growth, yield attributed and yield of potato. Twelve treatment combinations comprising of two organics *viz.*, 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (M<sub>1</sub>) and 5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> (M<sub>2</sub>) and six treatments of Fe and Zn supplementation *viz.*, No Fe and Zn (N<sub>1</sub>), 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> (Inorganic) (N<sub>2</sub>), organics 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 3 kg Fe (N<sub>3</sub>), organics 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 2 kg Zn (N<sub>4</sub>), organics 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn (N<sub>5</sub>) and organics 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 3 kg Fe and 2 kg Zn (N<sub>6</sub>) were laid out under factorial randomized block design with four replications. The enrichment process was started 45 days before their use in *Rabi* experiment on potato. The required quantities of organics (FYM and vermicompost) were filled in the pre-dug pit of 1.0 × 1.0 × 1.0 m<sup>3</sup> size.

The FYM and vermicompost were thoroughly mixed with the solution of  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  having required concentration as per treatments *viz.*, 3 kg Fe, 2 kg Zn, 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn and 3 kg Fe and 2 kg Zn through 2 tonnes of organics (FYM and vermicompost) per hectare. The moisture percentage of FYM and vermicompost after mixing with  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  were kept at about 75 to 80. The representative dry samples of potato tuber and haulm were analyzed for ascertaining the nutrient (N, P, K, Fe and Zn) content and uptake. The N, P, K, Fe and Zn contents were analyzed by micro-Kjeldahl, Vanadomolydo phosphoric acid yellow-colour, and flame Photometric method and DTPA extraction methods respectively. Available N,  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ , Fe and Zn content in soil were analyzed by alkaline permanganate, Olsen's method, Flame photometric method and DTPA extraction methods, respectively.

## Results and Discussion

### Post-harvest availability of soil nutrients

#### Effect of organics

The data given in Table 1 showed that an application of 20 t FYM  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $M_1$ ) registered significantly higher organic carbon content and available nitrogen in soil at harvest than that of application of 5 t vermicompost  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $M_2$ ). The improvement in organic carbon status of soil at harvest due to 20 t FYM  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $M_1$ ) was to the extent of 2.43 per cent over 5 t vermicompost  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $M_2$ ) on pooled data basis. wherein treatment  $M_2$  (5 t vermicompost  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) registered significantly higher value of (Table 1) available  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ , DTPA-Fe and DTPA-Zn content in soil as compared to  $M_1$  treatment (20 t FYM  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) during both the years of study and in pooled data. The available K content in soil after harvest of potato crop was found to be non-significant due to effect of organics during both the years of study as well as in pooled analysis.

An increase in available N content and organic carbon content in soil under FYM treatment was expected due to direct addition of nitrogen as well as indirect effect resulting from the better decomposition of organic matter which causes the mineralization of organically bound nitrogen and thereby improvement in available status of nitrogen. Another reason may be due to higher yield of tuber under vermicompost treatment which caused higher removal of nitrogen from the soil. The results are in closed conformity with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2014) [14] and Parmar (2016) [2].

The significant increase in available  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  content and DTPA-Fe in soil after harvest of potato crop may be ascribed to the beneficial role of vermicompost in mineralization of native as well as its own nutrient content by creating favourable conditions for microbial as well as chemical activities which enhanced the available nutrient pool of the soil. The biological properties of soil and also transformation of their solid phase form to soluble matalo-complexes may be due to mineralization of native as well as own nutrient content by creating favourable conditions for microbes. The results are in agreement with those of Sutaria *et al.* (2011) [11], Kumari *et al.* (2012) [3] and Sharma (2016) [9].

#### Effect of Fe and Zn supplementation

A perusal of data presented in Table 1 revealed that the organic carbon content in soil after harvest of potato crop was significantly the higher value was obtained with treatment of organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $N_5$ ) as compared to  $N_1$  treatment (control) as well as straight application of 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $N_2$ ), but was on par with treatments of  $N_3$ ,  $N_4$  and  $N_6$  treatments during both the years as well as in pooled data. The results further revealed that the value of organic carbon content in soil under all the enriched treatments did not differed significantly. Supplementation of either Fe and Zn alone or its combination after enrichment with organics ( $N_3$ ,  $N_4$ ,  $N_5$  and  $N_6$ ) significantly improved organic carbon status over control as observed in present study could be due to fact that organics (FYM/vermicompost) add organic matter to the soil which in turn increases organic carbon content in soil. The results are in agreement with those reported by Gurjar (2012) [11], Patel *et al.* (2016) [7] and Parmar (2016) [2].

The highest value of available N content (Table 1) in soil was obtained with application of organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn ( $N_5$ ) as compared to other treatments, but proved significantly superior to control ( $N_1$  treatment) and straight application of 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  ( $N_2$ ) during both the years as well as in pooled analysis. The beneficial effect of various Zn-enriched organics to improve soil properties and thereby increase in N availability has been reported by Latha *et al.* (2001) [4]. These results are in close agreement with those reported by Singh *et al.* (2014) [10], Parmar (2016) [6] and Sharma (2016) [9]. Non-significant variation in available  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  content and available K content (Table 2) in soil were seen due to Fe and Zn supplementation during both years and in pooled analysis.

Among different treatments, an application of organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn ( $N_5$ ) being on par with organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 3 kg Fe and 2 kg Zn ( $N_6$ ) registered significantly higher DTPA-Fe content (Table 2) in soil after harvest of crop as compared to other treatments during 2016-17. DTPA-Zn content (Table 2) in soil after harvest of potato crop significantly the highest recorded under application of organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn ( $N_5$ ) in 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled analysis, respectively. The DTPA-Fe and Zn content of soil was significantly improved due to application of organics 2 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn ( $N_5$ ) might be due to enrichment of organics with Fe and Zn regulates its supply to the crop through mineralization and prevent them from leaching and other loses besides mobilizing and supplying native Fe and Zn. Another reason is fact that Fe and Zn availability in soil expected to be enhanced through complexation or chelation and thereby prevented fixation in soil which provided better nutrition of Fe and Zn in soil. The positive influence of Fe and Zn enriched organics on soil DTPA-Fe and Zn have also reported by Gurjar (2012) [11] in mustard, Patel *et al.* (2016) [7] in cumin and Parmar (2016) [6] in fenugreek.

#### Interaction effect

Interaction effect of  $M \times N$  (organics  $\times$  Fe and Zn supplementation) was found non-significant during 2016-17,

2017-18 and pooled results in respect to organic carbon, available N content, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content and available K<sub>2</sub>O content in soil after harvest of potato.

The treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub> (5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> + vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn) registered significantly the highest DTPA-Fe content (Table 3) in soil over rest of treatment combinations in individual year as well as in pooled analysis. Among all treatment combination, M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub> treatment combination registered significantly the highest available Zn content (Table 4) in soil over rest of treatment combinations in 2016-17, 2017-18 and in pooled analysis. The combined application of 5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> enriched with

6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn (M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>) increased the availability of Fe and Zn in soil might be due to addition of vermicompost to the soil which have initial higher contents of Fe and Zn in it and also it produced the organic acid which make it more available Fe and Zn in soil. Addition of Fe and Zn enriched vermicompost to the soil increased the availability of Fe and Zn in soil due to itself contains appreciable quantities of Fe and Zn after enrichment. Another reason is that Fe and Zn availability in soil expected to be enhanced through complexation or chelation and thereby prevented fixation in soil which provided better nutrition of Fe and Zn in soil. The synergistic effect of vermicompost and Fe and Zn enriched vermicompost influence on DTPA-Fe and Zn in soil.

**Table 1:** Organic carbon, available nitrogen content and available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content in soil after harvest of potato as influenced by organics and Fe and Zn supplementation

| Treatments                           | Organic carbon (%) |         |        | Available Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |        | Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> |         |        |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------|---|---------|--------|---|---------|--------|
|                                      | 2016-17            | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17                                   | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17                                 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| <b>Organics (M)</b>                  |                    |         |        |   |         |        |   |         |        |
| M <sub>1</sub>                       | 0.410              | 0.429   | 0.420  | 221.5                                     | 228.0   | 224.8  | 52.58                                   | 54.90   | 53.74  |
| M <sub>2</sub>                       | 0.402              | 0.417   | 0.410  | 214.1                                     | 218.2   | 216.2  | 55.07                                   | 57.13   | 56.10  |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 0.002              | 0.002   | 0.001  | 1.65                                      | 1.22    | 1.03   | 0.51                                    | 0.64    | 0.41   |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | 0.005              | 0.006   | 0.004  | 4.8                                       | 3.5     | 2.9    | 1.47                                    | 1.84    | 1.15   |
| <b>Fe and Zn supplementation (N)</b> |                    |         |        |   |         |        |   |         |        |
| N <sub>1</sub>                       | 0.384              | 0.403   | 0.393  | 203.1                                     | 214.3   | 208.7  | 52.50                                   | 54.71   | 53.61  |
| N <sub>2</sub>                       | 0.390              | 0.409   | 0.400  | 209.9                                     | 217.0   | 213.4  | 52.89                                   | 55.33   | 54.11  |
| N <sub>3</sub>                       | 0.412              | 0.429   | 0.420  | 220.0                                     | 224.7   | 222.4  | 53.95                                   | 55.83   | 54.89  |
| N <sub>4</sub>                       | 0.414              | 0.431   | 0.422  | 222.1                                     | 226.8   | 224.4  | 54.34                                   | 56.45   | 55.39  |
| N <sub>5</sub>                       | 0.420              | 0.434   | 0.427  | 226.2                                     | 228.5   | 227.4  | 54.83                                   | 57.21   | 56.02  |
| N <sub>6</sub>                       | 0.418              | 0.433   | 0.425  | 225.5                                     | 227.3   | 226.4  | 54.43                                   | 56.57   | 55.50  |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 0.003              | 0.004   | 0.002  | 2.86                                      | 2.11    | 1.78   | 0.88                                    | 1.11    | 0.71   |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | 0.009              | 0.011   | 0.007  | 8.2                                       | 6.1     | 5.0    | NS                                      | NS      | NS     |
| <b>Interaction (M×N)</b>             |                    |         |        |   |         |        |   |         |        |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 0.005              | 0.005   | 0.003  | 4.05                                      | 2.98    | 2.51   | 1.25                                    | 1.56    | 1.00   |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | NS                 | NS      | NS     | NS  | NS      | NS     | NS                                      | NS      | NS     |
| C.V.%                                | 2.28               | 2.45    | 2.37   | 3.72                                      | 2.67    | 3.22   | 4.64                                    | 5.59    | 5.16   |

**Table 2:** Available K<sub>2</sub>O content and DTPA-extractable Fe and Zn in soil after harvest of potato as influenced by organics and Fe and Zn supplementation

| Treatments                           | Available K <sub>2</sub> O |         |        | DTPA-Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |        | DTPA-Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |        |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|
|                                      | 2016-17                    | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17                        | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17                        | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| <b>Organics (M)</b>                  |                            |         |        |                                |         |        |                                |         |        |
| M <sub>1</sub>                       | 245.5                      | 250.4   | 248.0  | 4.34                           | 4.44    | 4.39   | 0.409                          | 0.437   | 0.423  |
| M <sub>2</sub>                       | 250.7                      | 254.5   | 252.6  | 4.46                           | 4.57    | 4.51   | 0.437                          | 0.449   | 0.443  |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 3.01                       | 2.76    | 2.04   | 0.02                           | 0.01    | 0.01   | 0.002                          | 0.003   | 0.002  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | NS                         | NS      | NS     | 0.05                           | 0.03    | 0.03   | 0.005                          | 0.007   | 0.005  |
| <b>Fe and Zn supplementation (N)</b> |                            |         |        |                                |         |        |                                |         |        |
| N <sub>1</sub>                       | 242.4                      | 247.1   | 244.8  | 4.21                           | 4.32    | 4.26   | 0.384                          | 0.402   | 0.393  |
| N <sub>2</sub>                       | 247.5                      | 251.5   | 249.5  | 4.37                           | 4.44    | 4.44   | 0.429                          | 0.447   | 0.438  |
| N <sub>3</sub>                       | 251.1                      | 254.6   | 252.9  | 4.42                           | 4.51    | 4.46   | 0.399                          | 0.419   | 0.409  |
| N <sub>4</sub>                       | 254.2                      | 257.2   | 255.7  | 4.33                           | 4.39    | 4.36   | 0.434                          | 0.452   | 0.443  |
| N <sub>5</sub>                       | 246.6                      | 251.3   | 249.0  | 4.57                           | 4.71    | 4.64   | 0.454                          | 0.480   | 0.467  |
| N <sub>6</sub>                       | 247.0                      | 252.9   | 249.9  | 4.49                           | 4.65    | 4.57   | 0.436                          | 0.455   | 0.446  |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 5.21                       | 4.77    | 3.53   | 0.03                           | 0.02    | 0.02   | 0.003                          | 0.004   | 0.003  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | NS                         | NS      | NS     | 0.08                           | 0.05    | 0.05   | 0.010                          | 0.013   | 0.008  |
| <b>Interaction (M×N)</b>             |                            |         |        |                                |         |        |                                |         |        |
| S.E.M. <sub>±</sub>                  | 7.37                       | 6.75    | 5.00   | 0.04                           | 0.02    | 0.02   | 0.005                          | 0.006   | 0.004  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                        | NS                         | NS      | NS     | 0.11                           | 0.07    | 0.07   | 0.013                          | 0.018   | 0.011  |
| C.V.%                                | 5.94                       | 5.35    | 5.65   | 2.50                           | 3.25    | 2.89   | 2.21                           | 2.83    | 2.55   |

**Table 3:** Interaction effect of M×N on DTPA- extractable Fe (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil after harvest of potato (2016-17, 2017-18 and Pooled)

| Organics       | Fe and Zn supplementation |                |                |                |                |                |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | N <sub>1</sub>            | N <sub>2</sub> | N <sub>3</sub> | N <sub>4</sub> | N <sub>5</sub> | N <sub>6</sub> |
| <b>2016-17</b> |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 4.20                      | 4.35           | 4.39           | 4.29           | 4.42           | 4.40           |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 4.23                      | 4.39           | 4.44           | 4.38           | 4.73           | 4.58           |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.04                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.11                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| <b>2017-18</b> |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 4.23                      | 4.38           | 4.49           | 4.30           | 4.64           | 4.60           |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 4.41                      | 4.50           | 4.53           | 4.48           | 4.79           | 4.69           |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.02                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.07                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| <b>Pooled</b>  |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 4.21                      | 4.37           | 4.44           | 4.29           | 4.53           | 4.50           |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 4.32                      | 4.44           | 4.48           | 4.43           | 4.76           | 4.64           |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.02                      |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.07                      |                |                |                |                |                |

**Table 4:** Interaction effect of M×N on DTPA- extractable Zn (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil after harvest of potato (2016-17, 2017-18 and Pooled)

| Organics       | Fe and Zn supplementation |                |                |                |                |                |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | N <sub>1</sub>            | N <sub>2</sub> | N <sub>3</sub> | N <sub>4</sub> | N <sub>5</sub> | N <sub>6</sub> |
| <b>2016-17</b> |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 0.378                     | 0.417          | 0.387          | 0.418          | 0.432          | 0.419          |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 0.390                     | 0.440          | 0.410          | 0.451          | 0.475          | 0.453          |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.005                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.013                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| <b>2017-18</b> |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 0.399                     | 0.446          | 0.420          | 0.447          | 0.460          | 0.450          |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 0.406                     | 0.447          | 0.419          | 0.458          | 0.501          | 0.460          |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.006                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.018                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| <b>Pooled</b>  |                           |                |                |                |                |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 0.388                     | 0.432          | 0.404          | 0.432          | 0.446          | 0.435          |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 0.398                     | 0.444          | 0.414          | 0.454          | 0.488          | 0.457          |
| S.E.M.±        | 0.004                     |                |                |                |                |                |
| C.D.(P=0.05)   | 0.011                     |                |                |                |                |                |

**Conclusion**

The field experiment demonstrated that the application of 5 t vermicompost ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly higher levels of available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, DTPA-Fe, and DTPA-Zn compared to 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. While 20 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> led to higher organic carbon and available nitrogen content, the application of 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> organics enriched with 6 kg Fe and 4 kg Zn (N<sub>5</sub>) showed superior concentrations of various soil nutrients. This study highlights the potential of Fe and Zn enriched organics in enhancing soil nutrient status, providing valuable insights for sustainable agriculture practices, particularly in regions like Banaskantha, North Gujarat, where potato is a significant crop.

**References**

- Gurjar GL. Effect of iron and zinc enriched FYM on yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss) in loamy sand. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar; c2012.
- Parmar M, Nandre BM, Pawar Y. Influence of foliar supplementation of zinc and manganese on yield and quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Int J Farm Sci. 2016;6(1):69-73.
- Kumari A, Singh ON, Kumar R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, seed yield and

- economic of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and soil fertility changes. J Food Legumes. 2012;25:121-124.
- Latha MR, Savithri P, Indirani R, Kamaraj S. Influence of zinc enriched organic manures on the availability of micronutrients in soil. Madras Agric J. 2001;88(1-3):165-167.
- Meena MC, Patel KP, Rathod DD. Effect of Zn and Fe enriched FYM on mustard yield and micronutrient availability in loamy sand soil (*Typic Haplustert*) of Anand. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2006;54(4):495-499.
- Parmar SJ. Effect of iron and zinc enriched FYM on yield and quality of fenugreek. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar; c2016.
- Patel SM, Amin AU, Patel BT. Yield and quality of cumin as influenced by FYM enriched micronutrients. Int J Seed Spices. 2016;6(1):17-24.
- Prasad B. Conjoint use of fertilizers with organics, crop residues and green manuring for their efficient use in sustainable crop production. Fertilizer News. 1999;44(5):67-73.
- Sharma J. Influence of vermicompost and different nutrients on performance of Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss] in Typic Haplustert. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Maharana Pratap Agricultural University and Technology, Udaipur; c2016.
- Singh CB, Singh S, Singh H, Singh V. Direct and residual effect of nutrient management in wheat-maize cropping sequence. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2014;62(2):126-130.
- Sutaria GS, Akbari KN, Vora VD, Padmani DR. Residual effect of nutrient management on the soil fertility and yield of black gram under dry land conditions. Legume Res. 2011;34(1):62-64.