

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 NAAS Rating (2025): 5.29  
 IJABR 2025; 9(8): 771-774  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 13-06-2025  
 Accepted: 16-07-2025

**LN Verma**  
 Subject Matter Specialist,  
 Animal Science, Krishi Vigyan  
 Kendra, Jaipur, Rajasthan,  
 India

**SP Tailor**  
 Professor, Department of LPM  
 Sangam University, Bhilwara,  
 Rajasthan, India

## Evaluation of crossbred cattle for production and reproduction traits in Jaipur district, Rajasthan

**LN Verma and SP Tailor**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2025.v9.i8j.5313>

### Abstract

Crossbred cattle significantly enhance milk production in Rajasthan, especially in Jaipur district. However, in-depth insights into their productive and reproductive performance remain limited and underexplored. Therefore, a study was conducted in Chomu and Amer tehsils of Jaipur district during 2023-24 to evaluate performance parameters of crossbred (H.F.) cattle. Data were collected from 887 cows (416 from Chomu and 471 from Amer) through structured interviews with 200 dairy farmers from twenty selected villages using a pre-tested interview schedule. The productive parameters assessed included lactation milk yield (LMY), lactation length (LL), average daily milk yield (DMY), and peak yield (PY). The mean LMY, LL, DMY, and PY were 3786.64±37.13 kg, 305.11±0.55 days, 12.37±0.11 kg/day, and 15.62±0.12 kg, respectively, in Chomu tehsil, while in Amer tehsil, the corresponding values were 3866.75±36.54 kg, 306.15±0.38 days, 12.60±0.11 kg/day, and 15.84±0.12 kg. The reproductive performance was assessed using age at first conception (AFCon), age at first calving (AFC), service period (SP), dry period (DP), calving interval (CI), and gestation period (GP). The reproductive mean values for these parameters in Chomu were 20.38±0.14 months, 29.45±0.14 months, 2.91±0.05 months, 1.99±0.03 months, 12.15±0.04 months, and 277.35±1.04 days and in Amer 20.94±0.13 months, 29.97±0.13 months, 2.87±0.03 months, 1.98±0.03 months, 12.18±0.03 months, and 279.08±0.08 days. The effect of location was significant on AFCon and AFC only. While its effect on other production and reproduction parameters included in the study was non-significant. The findings provide critical benchmarks for improving reproductive and production efficiency in crossbred dairy cattle. The study emphasizes the need to educate farmers on scientific management practices and highlights key areas for strengthening livestock support services in the region.

**Keywords:** Crossbred cattle, milk production, reproductive performance, lactation yield, daily milk yield, peak yield, lactation length, age at first calving, service period, dry period, calving interval, gestation period, Jaipur

### Introduction

Dairy farming plays a pivotal role in India's rural economy, and Rajasthan stands out as a major contributor to the sector's growth. In 2023-24, India produced 239.30 million tonnes of milk, with a per capita availability of 471 grams per day. In contrast, Rajasthan alone accounted for 14.51% of national milk production with significantly higher per capita milk availability of 1, 171 grams per day which is more than double the national average. This remarkable performance is largely attributed to the widespread adoption of crossbred cattle, which offer higher milk yields (8.43 kg/day) compared to indigenous breeds (3.54 kg/day). Jaipur district exemplifies this trend, with crossbred cows producing an average of 9.784 kg per day. For further improvement of dairy enterprises based on crossbred cattle, the basic data of actual field conditions is required. Considering these facts, this study was planned to evaluate the productive and reproductive performance of crossbred cattle.

### Materials and Methods

The study was conducted during 2023-24 in Jaipur district, Rajasthan, focusing on two tehsils Chomu and Amer selected due to high population of crossbred cattle. From each tehsil, 10 villages were chosen, and 10 farmers from each village were randomly selected, totaling 200 respondents. Data on production and reproduction performance of crossbred cattle were collected through personal interviews using a pre-tested structured schedule and direct observations.

**Corresponding Author:**  
**LN Verma**  
 Subject Matter Specialist,  
 Animal Science, Krishi Vigyan  
 Kendra, Jaipur, Rajasthan,  
 India

As farmers lacked written records, information was based on recall. Statistical analysis was performed using mean, standard deviation, standard error, t-test, chi-square test, and p-value to interpret the results.

## Results and Discussion

The results of production performance of crossbred cattle in two tehsils are presented in Table 1.

### Lactation Milk Yield (LMY)

The average lactation milk yield of crossbred cattle in Chomu was  $3786.64 \pm 37.13$  kg, while in Amer it was  $3866.75 \pm 36.54$  kg. The difference in yield was not statistically significant, indicating no effect of location on milk yield in the study. The milk yields observed in the study were slightly lower than those reported by Japheth *et al.* (2015) [10] but considerably higher than the findings of Meena *et al.* (2015) [14], Das *et al.* (2024) [5], and Sai *et al.* (2023) [19]. The results reflect good productivity of crossbred cows in both tehsils.

### Lactation Length (LL)

The mean lactation length of crossbred cattle in Chomu and Amer was  $305.11 \pm 0.548$  and  $306.15 \pm 0.378$  days respectively. The difference between the two tehsils was not statistically significant. The present result align well with the optimum range reported by Beneberu (2023) [4]. These findings confirm that the lactation length of crossbred cows in both Chomu and Amer tehsils are within the normal

range, indicating satisfactory management practices.

### Average Daily Milk Yield (ADMY)

The mean daily milk yield of crossbred cattle in Chomu was  $12.37 \pm 0.11$  kg, while in Amer it was  $12.60 \pm 0.11$  kg. The difference between the two tehsils was not statistically significant ( $t = -1.432$ ,  $df = 885$ ,  $p = 0.153$ ). The results are in consonance with the reports of Japheth *et al.* (2015) [10] and Kidane *et al.* (2019) [11], who reported ADMY of  $12.93 \pm 0.99$  kg and  $12.57 \pm 0.08$  kg, respectively. On the contrary, Getachew *et al.* (2020) [9] noted a higher yield of  $14.33 \pm 4.59$  liters/day, while Bekuma *et al.* (2020) [3] recorded lower average daily yields. The results indicate that the average daily milk yield performance of crossbred cows in both tehsils is within the desirable range, suggesting farmers maintain good genetic merit and followed optimum management practices.

### Peak Yield (PY)

The average peak milk yield of crossbred cattle in Chomu was  $15.62 \pm 0.12$  kg, while in Amer it was  $15.84 \pm 0.12$  kg. The difference was not statistically significant. The peak yields observed in the present study are higher than those reported by Gautam and Khadka (2022) [8], who documented  $14.9 \pm 4.7$  L/day in Holstein crosses, as well as Dev and Dahiya (2018) [7] and Desai *et al.* (2017) [6], who reported lower values ranging from  $3.14 \pm 0.18$  kg to  $13.3$  kg and  $8.61 \pm 0.05$  liters, respectively.

**Table 1:** Productive performance of Crossbred cattle

S. N.	Parameter	Chomu	Mean $\pm$ SE	SD	Amer	Mean $\pm$ SE	SD	Difference	SEd	t-Test	df	P Value
1	Lactation milk yield (kg)	416	$3786.64 \pm 37.127$	757.245	471	$3866.75 \pm 36.538$	792.973	-80.108	52.24	-1.533	885	0.126
2	Lactation length (in days)	416	$305.11 \pm 0.548$	11.178	471	$306.15 \pm 0.378$	8.209	-1.038	0.654	-1.589	885	0.113
3	Av. milk yield (kg/day)	416	$12.37 \pm 0.10925$	2.22825	471	$12.60 \pm 0.10963$	2.37916	-0.2225	0.1554	-1.432	885	0.153
4	Peak yield (kg)	416	$15.62 \pm 0.123$	2.5	471	$15.84 \pm 0.118$	2.566	-0.218	0.171	-1.28	885	0.201

The results of reproduction performance of crossbred cattle in two tehsils are presented in Table 2.

### Age of First Conception (AFCon)

The mean age at first conception in crossbred cattle was significantly lower at  $20.38 \pm 0.14$  months in Chomu as compare to  $20.94 \pm 0.13$  months in Amer. Considerably lower age at first conception was reported by Petrovic *et al.* (2007) [15] who observed first conception at a much earlier age of 16.15 and 16.22 months, respectively in HF crossbred and HF cows. The higher AFCon may be attributed to suboptimal feeding, health care, or heat detection, highlighting the need of improved heifer management for early maturity.

### Age of First Calving (AFC)

The average age at first calving in crossbred cattle was significantly lower at  $29.45 \pm 0.14$  months in Chomu than  $29.97 \pm 0.13$  months in Amer. The average age at first calving observed in this study aligns with of reports Manjusha *et al.* (2016) [13] and Kumari *et al.* (2019) [12], who reported AFC of 30.8 and 30.75 months, respectively. However, it is on the other hand, higher AFC at 36.4 and 34.7 months reported by Anilkumar *et al.* (2016) [2] and Priyadharshini *et al.* (2021) [17].

### Service Period (SP)

The average service period of crossbred cattle was  $2.91 \pm 0.05$  months in Chomu and  $2.87 \pm 0.03$  months in Amer. The difference was not statistically significant. The service periods recorded in this study are notably shorter than those reported by Dev and Dahiya (2018) [7], and Sai *et al.* (2023) [19], who observed durations ranging from 107.55 to 272 days. The service periods observed in the study reflect effective reproductive management, timely heat detection, and efficient insemination practices adopted by farmers in these regions.

### Dry Period (DP)

The mean dry period of crossbred cattle was  $1.99 \pm 0.03$  months in Chomu and  $1.98 \pm 0.03$  months in Amer. The difference was not statistically significant. The dry periods observed in this study are considerably shorter than those reported by Dev and Dahiya (2018) [7], Roy *et al.* (2020) [18], and Sangwan *et al.* (2021), who documented durations of dry ranging from 84 to 318 days. However, almost similar dry period was reported by Poudel *et al.* (2023) [16].

### Calving Interval (CI)

The average calving interval of crossbred cattle was  $12.15 \pm 0.04$  months in Chomu and  $12.18 \pm 0.03$  months in Amer. The effect of lactation was was not statistically

significant. The calving intervals observed in this study was similar to those reported by Almensh *et al.* (2017) <sup>[1]</sup>, Desai *et al.* (2017) <sup>[6]</sup> and Dev and Dahiya (2018) <sup>[7]</sup>. The CI observed in the study is almost equal to optimum. Hence, it indicate strong reproductive efficiency, timely breeding, and sound postpartum care practices in Chomu and Amer.

### Gestation Period (GP)

The mean gestation period of crossbred cattle was 277.35±1.04 days in Chomu and 279.08±0.08 days in Amer. The difference was not statistically significant. Desai *et al.* (2017) <sup>[6]</sup> and Siddika *et al.* (2024) <sup>[21]</sup> reported equal GP of 279.14±0.30 and 278.44±0.97 days, respectively in crossbred cattle.

**Table 2:** Reproductive performance of Crossbred cattle

S. N.	Parameter	Chomu	Mean ± SE	SD	Amer	Mean ± SE	SD	Difference	SEd	t-Test	df	P Value
1	Age of first conception(month)	416	20.38±0.143	2.912	471	20.94±0.134	2.900	-0.56	0.195	-2.866	885	0.004
2	Age of 1st calving(month)	416	29.45±0.143	2.926	471	29.97±0.132	2.857	-0.514	0.194	-2.644	885	0.008
3	Service period (month)	416	2.91±0.0509	1.0384	471	2.87±0.0291	1.039	0.03473	0.057	0.609	885	0.543
4	Dry period(month)	416	1.99±0.0296	0.6037	471	1.98±0.0284	0.6166	0.01119	0.0411	0.272	885	0.786
5	Calving interval (month)	416	12.15±0.0357	0.7296	471	12.18±0.0292	0.6349	-0.024	0.0458	-0.524	885	0.601
6	Gestation period (days)	416	277.35±1.038	21.168	471	279.08±0.077	1.67	-1.732	0.979	-1.77	885	0.077

### Conclusion

The results of the present study indicate that while the productive traits of crossbred cattle in both Chomu and Amer such as lactation yield, lactation length, daily milk yield, and peak milk yield were within satisfactory ranges and showed no significant differences between tehsils, the reproductive performance parameters warrant attention. Specifically, the age at first conception and age at first calving were significantly higher in Amer, and both the service period and calving interval across regions were slightly extended beyond the optimum values required for maximizing profitability in dairy farming. These extended reproductive intervals can adversely affect lifetime productivity and economic returns. Therefore, improving reproductive efficiency through better herd management, nutritional support, and timely veterinary interventions is essential to enhance the overall profitability of crossbred dairy cattle farming in the region.

### References

- Almensh FA, Ibrahim B, Assefa DL. Reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cows under smallholder condition in Asella town, Ethiopia. *Int J Dairy Sci Technol.* 2017;3(4):190-193.
- Anilkumar K, Radhika G, Divya P, Bimal CB, Aravindakshan TV. Evaluation of reproductive performance of indigenous and crossbred cattle in Kerala: a field level study. *Indian J Vet Anim Sci Res.* 2016;45(1):482-489.
- Bekuma A, Fita L, Galmessa U. Breeding practices, reproductive and productive performance of dairy cows: the case of West Wollega Zone, Gimbi District, Ethiopia. *J Fertil in vitro IVF Worldw Reprod Med Genet Stem Cell Biol.* 2020;8(3):1-6.
- Beneberu N. Milk production performances of crossbred dairy cattle in Ethiopia: a short communication. *J Agric Sci Food Res.* 2023;14:154.
- Das V, Hussain J, Saharia J, Haque A, Mili DC, Choudhry RR, Phookan A, Raquib M, Kaushik P. Effect of genetic and non-genetic factors on the performance of cross-bred dairy cattle under organized farm condition. *Acta Sci Agric.* 2024;8(8):5-12.
- Desai BG, Yadav RS, Kumar S, Burte RG, Mayekar AJ. Production and reproductive performance of crossbred cattle in Coastal Maharashtra. *J Livest Biodivers.* 2017;7:30-35.
- Dev K, Dahiya R. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters of production performance traits in crossbreed cattle: A review. *Pharma Innov J.* 2018;7(11):208-214.
- Gautam G, Khadka U. Reproductive and productive performance of crossbred and Terai cattle in Bardiya District of Nepal. *J Nepal Agric Res Counc.* 2022;8:64-71.
- Getachew Y, Lemma A, Fesseha H. Assessment on reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cows selected as recipient for embryo transfer in urban setup Bishoftu, Central Ethiopia. *Int J Vet Sci Res.* 2020;6(1):1-6.
- Japheth K, Puhle RK, Mehla I, Imtiwati, Bhat SA. Effect of non-genetic factors on various economic traits in Karan Fries crossbred cattle. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2015;68(2):163-70.
- Kidane D, Belay D, Nigatu A. Comparative study on milk yield performance of Holstein Friesian and crossbred dairy cows under smallholder management in Ethiopia. *J Dairy Vet Anim Res.* 2019;8(4):146-150.
- Kumari S, Narang R, Malhotra P, Sharma N, Mehrotra A. Evaluation of reproductive performance of crossbred cattle maintained at an organized farm in Punjab. *Pharma Innov J.* 2019;8(7):159-162.
- Manjusha J, Roy R, Kumar V, Gupta J. Productive and reproductive performance of dairy animals in Karnal district of Haryana. *Indian Vet J.* 2016;93(6):38-40.
- Meena BS, Verma HC, Meena HR, Singh A, Meena DK. Field level study on productive and reproductive parameters of dairy animals in Uttar Pradesh, India. *Indian J Anim Res.* 2015;49(1):118-122.
- Petrovic MM, Aleksic S, Smiljakovic T, Pantelic V, Ostojicandric D. Phenotypic and genetic parameters of reproductive traits of black and white cows with different share of HF genes. *Biotechnol Anim Husb.* 2007;5(6):193-199.
- Poudel S, Chhetri D, Barsila SR. Productive and reproductive performance of farmers managed dairy cattle in Western Chitwan, Nepal. *J Inst Agric Anim Sci.* 2023;37:160-172.
- Priyadharshini M, Anilkumar K, Jamuna V. Influence of genetic and non-genetic factors on age at first calving and first lactation milk yield of crossbred cattle in Kerala. *Pharma Innov J.* 2021;10(12):1016-1020.
- Roy SK, Meena BS. Studies on breeding and feeding practices in Karnal district of Haryana: a descriptive

- study. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2020;9(2):3026-3032.
19. Sai RR, Singh BK, Patel D. Production and reproductive traits in Sahiwal and crossbred dairy cattle: a comparative study. *Indian J Anim Res*. 2023;57(6):1768-83.
  20. Sangwan SK, Dhaka SS, Yadav AS, Jakhar V, Patil CS. Estimation of non-genetics parameters of reproduction performance traits in Hardhenu cattle. *Pharma Innov J*. 2021;10(11):563-6.
  21. Siddika MA, Asad LY, Hossain MM, Ferdous M, Nath S. Productive and reproductive performance of indigenous and crossbred cows bred artificially in rural area of Gaibandha District. *IOSR J Agric Vet Sci*. 2024;17(4):19-27.