



ISSN Print: 2617-4693

ISSN Online: 2617-4707

NAAS Rating (2025): 5.29

IJABR 2025; SP-9(12): 965-969

www.biochemjournal.com

Received: 16-10-2025

Accepted: 20-11-2025

Byomakesh Swain

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Sanjay Kumar

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Niraj Kumar Prajapati

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Aachal Garibdas Watke

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Soumya Pragalva Mohanty

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Corresponding Author:**Sanjay Kumar**

Department of Horticulture,
School of Agricultural Sciences
and Technology, Babasaheb
Bhimrao Ambedkar University
(A Central University),
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India

Integrated nutrient approaches for maximizing growth, yield and quality in onion (*Allium cepa L.*) cv. **Bhima Kiran**

Byomakesh Swain, Sanjay Kumar, Niraj Kumar Prajapati, Aachal Garibdas Watke and Soumya Pragalva Mohanty

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2025.v9.i12S1.6645>

Abstract

A field trial was carried out during the rabi crop cycle of 2023 to assess the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa L.*) cv. Bhima Kiran at Horticulture Research Farm-1 of the Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (U.P.). The experiment was implemented using a randomized block design (RBD) at a spacing of 20 cm × 10 cm with three replications in sandy loam soil with an alkaline pH of 8.5. The evaluation encompassed ten blends of inorganic (N, P and K), organic (FYM) and bio-based fertilizers (phosphorous solubilizing bacteria). From the experiment, it was witnessed that the implementation of 75% RDF + 25% FYM (T₇) yielded significantly loftier growth characteristics like tallness of the plant (cm), leaf count, foliage length (cm) and neck diameter of the plant (mm) as compared to other treatments, while the minimum values were obtained from treatment T₀ (control). The yield attributes like number of bulbs harvested per plot, bulb output per plot (Kg), bulb size (cm), bulb neck thickness (cm), mean bulb weight (g), fresh bulb production (q/ha) and scale count per bulb were at their maximum in treatment T₇ (75% RDF + 25% FYM), while the minimum values were obtained in treatment T₀ (control). Similar trends were followed for quality attributes like T.S.S. (°Brix), ascorbic acid (mg/100g) and pH estimation, respectively.

Keywords: Onion, nutrient management, yield attributes, RDF, FYM and PSB

Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa L.*), with a chromosome number of 2n = 16, widely recognizes as the “Queen of the Kitchen,” is a crucial commercial vegetable and spice crop produced extensively worldwide. It belongs to the family Alliaceae and is utilized in various culinary forms, including as a cooked vegetable, a salad ingredient and in the preparation of sauces, soaps and pickles. Raw onion is known for its medicinal properties, attributed to its phenolic compounds like catechol, which have antifungal properties (Santas *et al.*, 2010)^[14]. Onion juice serves as an insect repellent and is also used in fabric dyes. The sharp flavor of onion bulbs is attributed to the aromatic compound allyl propyl disulphide (C₆H₁₂O₂), an organic molecule rich in sulfur. Onions contain 11 amino acids. In a 100-gram portion of a raw onion bulb, the nutritional composition includes approximately 86.8 grams of water content, 11 grams of saccharides, 1.2 grams of dietary protein, 0.6 grams of fiber, 0.4 grams of minerals, 0.08 milligrams of thiamine, 1 milligram of vitamin C, 180 milligrams of calcium, 50 milligrams of phosphorus and 0.01 milligrams of riboflavin (Boss *et al.*, 2003)^[3].

India is ranked second in the world for onion cultivation, following China and holds the third position in onion exports, following the Netherlands and China. In India, onions are cultivated over an area of 1,222.0 thousand hectares, achieving an annual yield of 22,819 thousand metric tonnes (Anonymous 2018-19)^[1]. Onion bulb formation is primarily regulated by day length, but other factors such as planting schedule, growing temperature, nutrition and irrigation also play significant roles. Onions require ample manure for optimal growth. Numerous fertilizer experiments have shown that to achieve high onion yields, a combination of all three primary nutrients—nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK)—is essential, alongside secondary elements. The continuous decline in soil health and the existence of nutrient imbalances in onion fields have highlighted soil nutrient management as

a critical aspect of environmentally conscious onion farming. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) has been recognized as an effective approach (Dimri and Singh, 2005) [5]. There is a growing acceptance of transitioning from exclusively using organic sources to incorporating some inorganic fertilization. This transition underpins the concept of INM, which typically involves the use of both inorganic fertilizers and manures. INM advocates for the careful and balanced use of selected nutrient inputs to ensure access to all important nutrients, thereby supporting sustained crop production.

Materials and Methods

The research was conducted at the Horticulture Research Farm 1 within the Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (U.P.) during the Rabi season of 2023-24. The experimental plot's soil was texturally classified as sandy loam and exhibited a slightly alkaline pH of 8.5, E.C. 0.24 dSm⁻¹ and E.S.P. 13.50. The seeds were sown in a 15-cm-high, 3 meters long and 1-meter-wide nursery bed on October 19, 2023. The seedlings were replanted at a distance of 20 cm × 10 cm, with spacing between and within rows. The experiment consisted of 10 treatments [T₀ (control), T₁ (100% RDF), T₂ (100% FYM), T₃ (100% PSB), T₄ (50% RDF + 50% FYM), T₅ (50% RDF + 50% PSB), T₆ (50% FYM + 50% PSB), T₇ (75% RDF + 25% FYM), T₈ (75% RDF + 25% PSB) and T₉ (75% FYM + 25% PSB)], which were laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. The treatments featured ten distinct combinations of inorganic (N, P and K), organic (FYM), and biofertilizers (phosphorous solubilizing bacteria).

Influence of organic manures, i.e., FYM, manufactured fertilizers containing N, P and K combined with PSB, on the growth, yield and quality of onion (Cultivar Bhima Kiran). The soil within the research plot consisted of available N₂ (115.50 kg/ha), P₂O₅ (45 kg/ha) and accessible K₂O (193.40 kg/ha). The recommended dose for the crop onion is 100 kg of nitrogen, 50 kg of phosphorus, and 80 kg of potash per hectare. At the moment of transplanting, fifty percentage of the nitrogen dose, the whole phosphorous dose, and the complete potash dose were applied as recommended. The rest of the nitrogen dose was administered in two portions, i.e., the first 30 DAT and the second 45 DAT. Growth benchmarks like plant height (cm), leaf length (cm), number of leaves per plant and neck thickness of the plant (mm) were measured at 30, 60 and 90 DAT. The neck thickness was measured using a Vernier caliper. Yield parameters like bulb size in cm (polar diameter and equatorial diameter), neck thickness of bulb (cm), average weight of bulb (g), bulb yield (kg/plot) and bulb yield (q/ha) were recorded at the time of harvest of the onion crop. Quality parameters like total soluble solids (°B) were calibrated using a hand refractometer, ascorbic acid (Vitamin-C) was assessed using 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye and pH was monitored employing a digital pH meter. The bulbs were harvested at maturity, when the neck fell 50-70%.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameter

Plant height is a crucial morphological trait influencing crop yield. It has a direct relationship with biomass production and photosynthetic activity, resulting in higher yields and productivity (table 1). Measurements of plant height were

taken at 30, 60 and 90 days post- transplant. Notable variations were observed across different treatments at these time intervals. Maximum plant height (34.78 cm, 63.38 cm, 65.50 cm) at 30th, 60th and 90th DAT was recorded with treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while minimum plant height (21.22 cm, 32.92 cm, 37.90 cm) was observed in combination T₀ (control). The highest plant height was observed with treatment T₇ at different growth stages; this could be the result of integrated utilization of natural fertilizer (FYM) and synthetic fertilizers (Urea, DAP and MOP). The same results were also found by Kumar (2021) [8]. Organic manures provide a variety of essential macro- and micronutrients to plants, which are crucial for their proper growth and development. Their slow decomposition gradually releases these nutrients, promoting plant growth. Additionally, sufficient nitrogen application positively impacts plant height. These findings are in conformity with Nandal and Bedi (2010) [11] and Khandelwal (2010) [7].

Leaves are directly associated with the synthesis of food reserves and chlorophyll content. Increased leaf density per plant leads to increased food reserves and, consequently, a higher bulb yield. The leaf count per plant was noted at 30, 60 and 90 DAT. At 30th, 60th and 90th DAT, the maximum number of leaves per plant (3.86, 11.86 and 13.21) were recorded with treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the least number of leaves (3.41, 6.98 and 9.12) were recorded with treatment T₀ (control). The same results also found by Kumar (2021) [8].

Leaf length regulates the photosynthesis activity, which directly affects the bulb, yield and quality of the onion. The length of the leaves was recorded at 30, 60 and 90 DAT. Notable variations were observed for different treatments. The maximum length of leaves (28.16 cm, 46.72 cm and 63.66 cm) was observed in treatment T₇ (75% RDF + 25% FYM), while the minimum value (10.58 cm, 20.60 cm and 30.60 cm) was seen in treatment T₀ (control). Similar results were notified by Brinjh *et al.* (2014) [4], Rabari *et al.* (2014) [13] and Mohanty *et al.* (2015) [10].

Neck thickness is an essential qualitative trait that indicates plant vigor and influences the shelf life of onion bulbs. Bulbs with thinner necks can be stored for longer periods and maintain better quality. Maximum neck thickness (6.22 mm, 8.10 mm and 11.08 mm) was observed at 30, 60 and 90 DAT in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the lowest neck thickness (1.72 mm, 2.34 mm and 4.18 mm) was observed in T₀ (control). Comparable results were revealed by Sedera *et al.* (2012) [15] and Mandal *et al.* (2013) [9].

Yield Parameters

The yield parameters of onions are crucial for optimizing production and ensuring a high-quality, marketable crop. Yield parameters provide valuable insights into various aspects of onion cultivation, from growth characteristics to post-harvest qualities. Key yield parameters of onions include the quantity of bulbs per plot after harvest, bulb harvest per plot (Kg), bulb size (cm), neck thickness of the bulb, average weight of the bulb (g), fresh bulb yield (q/ha), and number of scales per bulb, as detailed in Table 2.

Among these parameters, the utmost number of bulbs per plot was found (44.33) in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the lowest number of bulbs per plot (34.63) was noticed in treatment T₀ (control), which may be due to a deficit of nutrition. The topmost bulb yield per plot was

recorded at 5.34 kg in T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimal bulb yield per plot (3.32 kg) was recorded in T₀ (control). Similar studies were filed by Yohannes *et al.* (2013)^[18] and Prabhakar *et al.* (2017)^[11].

Similarly, INM had a considerable effect on bulb size, i.e., polar and equatorial diameters. The maximum bulb width (6.74 cm and 7.98 cm) was recorded in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimum bulb diameter (3.81 cm and 4.58 cm) was spotted in treatment T₀ (control). In the case of neck thickness, the maximum mean value (1.88 cm) was recorded in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), and its minimum mean value (1.23 cm) was recorded in treatment T₀ (control). Also, the maximum mean value of average bulb weight (119.88 g) was recorded in T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), and the minimum value (90.65 g) was obtained in treatment T₀ (control). Such types of studies were reported by Shedeed *et al.* (2014)^[16].

The average maximum average value of fresh bulb yields (401.38 q/ha) was recorded in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimum value (226.67 q/ha) was found in T₀ (control). Likewise, INM had a notable effect on the number of scales per bulb. The maximum mean value (11.24) was registered in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimum value was found in T₀ (control).

These outcomes correspond with Verma *et al.* (2014)^[17] and Banjare *et al.* (2015)^[2].

Quality parameters

An adequate, proper and equitable access to nutrition during the entire growth stage to harvesting is a key condition for the caliber of bulb products (Jamir *et al.*, 2013)^[6]. Bulb quality also depends on environmental conditions, field preparation, cultural practices, diseases and pest management, appropriate time harvesting of crops, and post-harvest management practices.

Total soluble solids, ascorbic acid content and pH value are major quality parameters in the current study (table 3). The maximum total soluble solids (T.S.S.) were seen (14.35 °B) in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimum T.S.S. (10.56 °B) was found in T₀ (control). The maximum ascorbic acid content (10.68 mg/100 g) was observed in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM) and the minimum value of ascorbic acid (8.00 mg/100 g) was witnessed in treatment T₀ (control). The maximum mean pH value (7.16) was observed in treatment T₇ (75% NPK + 25% FYM), while the minimum pH value was found in T₀ (control), i.e., 6.30. These insights are in compliance with Brinjh *et al.* (2014)^[4] and Mandal *et al.* (2013)^[9].

Experimental Setup:

- Location: Horticulture Research Farm-1, BBAU, Lucknow
- Soil: Sandy Loam, pH 8.5
- Spacing: 20cm × 10cm
- Design: Randomized Block Design (RBD)
- Replications: 3
- Season: Rabi 2023-24

Growth Parameters Over Time

| Plant Height (T ₇): 34.78 cm | 63.38 cm | 65.50 cm |
|---|----------|----------|
| Leaf Count (T ₇): 3.86 | 11.86 | 13.21 |
| Leaf Length (T ₇): 28.16 cm | 46.72 cm | 63.66 cm |
| Neck Thickness (T ₇): 8.22 mm | 8.10 mm | 11.08 mm |
| 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |

Yield Parameters

| | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bulbs per Plot: 44.33 | Bulb Yield/Plot: 5.34 kg | Fresh Bulb Yield: 401.38 q/ha | Avg Bulb Weight: 119.88 g |
| Bulb Dimensions: | | | |
| • Polar Diameter: 6.74 cm | • Equatorial Diameter: 7.98 cm | • Neck Thickness: 1.88 cm | • Scales per Bulb: 11.24 |

Quality Parameters

Total Soluble Solids
14.35 °Brix

Ascorbic Acid
10.68 mg/100g

pH Value
7.16

Best Results Achieved with Treatment T₇: 75% Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF) + 25% Farm Yard Manure (FYM)

Comprehensive view of Integrated nutrient approaches in Onion (cv. Bhima Kiran)

Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of onion

| Treatments Details | Plant height (cm) | | | Number of leaves | | | Length of leaves (cm) | | | Neck thickness (mm) | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|
| | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |
| T ₀ | 21.22 | 32.92 | 37.90 | 3.41 | 6.98 | 9.12 | 10.58 | 20.60 | 30.60 | 1.72 | 2.34 | 4.18 |
| T ₁ | 29.72 | 59.24 | 64.00 | 3.80 | 7.17 | 9.16 | 22.24 | 34.90 | 46.72 | 3.24 | 4.70 | 6.10 |
| T ₂ | 27.40 | 58.76 | 61.30 | 3.24 | 7.92 | 9.96 | 22.96 | 35.66 | 52.94 | 4.28 | 5.42 | 7.96 |
| T ₃ | 27.68 | 56.58 | 60.08 | 3.60 | 7.38 | 9.78 | 26.18 | 39.60 | 55.10 | 4.68 | 5.98 | 8.08 |
| T ₄ | 30.36 | 56.94 | 61.56 | 3.60 | 7.42 | 10.36 | 22.48 | 34.16 | 54.56 | 4.46 | 6.06 | 7.32 |
| T ₅ | 31.20 | 57.88 | 63.28 | 3.72 | 8.56 | 11.38 | 22.32 | 35.26 | 49.34 | 4.36 | 6.24 | 9.00 |
| T ₆ | 30.42 | 57.72 | 60.66 | 3.24 | 9.32 | 10.62 | 21.30 | 37.84 | 50.96 | 4.26 | 6.00 | 8.68 |
| T ₇ | 34.78 | 63.38 | 65.50 | 3.86 | 11.86 | 13.21 | 28.16 | 46.72 | 63.66 | 6.22 | 8.10 | 11.08 |
| T ₈ | 32.92 | 62.50 | 63.84 | 3.83 | 9.26 | 12.26 | 27.20 | 45.96 | 60.38 | 6.10 | 7.90 | 10.70 |
| T ₉ | 31.44 | 59.70 | 60.60 | 3.32 | 8.58 | 11.19 | 26.50 | 41.12 | 59.84 | 6.06 | 7.86 | 11.06 |
| SE(m)± | 0.299 | 0.578 | 0.839 | 0.050 | 0.105 | 0.118 | 0.256 | 0.434 | 0.772 | 0.047 | 0.066 | 0.134 |
| CD _{5%} | 0.896 | 1.732 | 2.513 | 0.150 | 0.315 | 0.353 | 0.766 | 1.300 | 2.312 | 0.141 | 0.197 | 0.400 |

Table 2: Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters of onion

| Treatments | No. of bulbs per plot | Bulb yield per plot (Kg) | Bulb size (cm) | | Neck thickness of bulb | Average weight of bulb (g) | Fresh bulb yield (q/ha) | No. of scales/bulb |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | Polar diameter | Equatorial diameter | | | | |
| T ₀ | 34.63 | 3.32 | 3.81 | 4.58 | 1.23 | 90.65 | 226.67 | 6.34 |
| T ₁ | 40.33 | 4.36 | 6.31 | 7.35 | 1.58 | 116.76 | 330.53 | 9.43 |
| T ₂ | 39.45 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 6.23 | 1.52 | 110.25 | 314.16 | 8.40 |
| T ₃ | 37.00 | 3.86 | 4.52 | 5.90 | 1.40 | 100.16 | 293.04 | 7.92 |
| T ₄ | 38.86 | 4.66 | 5.28 | 7.26 | 1.65 | 112.65 | 325.11 | 8.56 |
| T ₅ | 39.44 | 4.31 | 5.86 | 7.32 | 1.66 | 111.36 | 320.36 | 8.36 |
| T ₆ | 38.68 | 4.21 | 5.34 | 7.10 | 1.44 | 110.20 | 318.76 | 8.32 |
| T ₇ | 44.33 | 5.34 | 6.74 | 7.98 | 1.88 | 119.88 | 401.38 | 11.24 |
| T ₈ | 43.21 | 5.30 | 6.31 | 7.44 | 1.68 | 117.56 | 400.42 | 11.00 |
| T ₉ | 42.56 | 4.92 | 5.90 | 7.00 | 1.62 | 116.25 | 399.76 | 10.92 |
| SE(m)± | 0.448 | 0.049 | 0.086 | 0.094 | 0.015 | 1.217 | 3.613 | 0.109 |
| CD _{5%} | 1.343 | 0.147 | 0.258 | 0.283 | 0.046 | 3.643 | 10.819 | 0.325 |

Table 3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality parameters of onion

| Treatments | T.S.S. (°B) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | pH |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------|
| T ₀ | 10.56 | 8.00 | 6.30 |
| T ₁ | 13.64 | 10.36 | 6.74 |
| T ₂ | 13.32 | 8.68 | 6.88 |
| T ₃ | 11.38 | 8.72 | 6.81 |
| T ₄ | 13.54 | 9.56 | 6.54 |
| T ₅ | 11.67 | 8.62 | 6.72 |
| T ₆ | 12.00 | 8.14 | 6.65 |
| T ₇ | 14.35 | 10.68 | 7.16 |
| T ₈ | 13.85 | 10.46 | 6.90 |
| T ₉ | 13.10 | 10.22 | 6.42 |
| SE(m)± | 0.139 | 0.097 | 0.073 |
| CD _{5%} | 0.415 | 0.290 | 0.219 |

Conclusion

Drawing from the results of this study, it can be concluded that the combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers, especially 75% RDF and 25% FYM, resulted in the maximum non-reproductive growth and bulb weight of onions grown under Lucknow conditions.

References

- Anonymous. National Horticulture Board. Gurgaon (Haryana), India; 2018-2019.
- Banjare C, Shukla N, Sharma PK, Patanwar M, Chandravanshi D. Effect of organic substances on yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Int J Farm Sci. 2015;5:30-35.
- Boss TK, Kabir J. Vegetable crops. Maity TK, editor. West Bengal: Department of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya; Kolkata: Naya Udyog Publication; 2003. Vol. 3:5-118.
- Brinjh S, Kumar S, Kumar D, Kumar M. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality in onion cv. Pusa Madhvi. Plant Arch. 2014;14(1):557-559.
- Dimri DC, Singh VP. Studies on integrated nutrient management in onion. Prog Hortic. 2005;37(1):185-187.
- Jamir S, Singh VB, Kanaujia SP, Singh AK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Prog Hortic. 2013;45(2):373-380.
- Khandelwal RB. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. N-2-4-1. Durgapur (Rajasthan): ARS; 2010. Annual report:50-54.

8. Kumar N. Effect of integrated nutrient management on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Lucknow: BBAU; 2021.
9. Mandal J, Ghosh C, Chattopadhyay GN. Proportional substitution of chemical fertilizers with vermicompost on growth and production potential of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Int J Bioresour Stress Manag. 2013;4(2):356-357.
10. Mohanty A, Behera P, Harichandan S. Effect of nutrient management on the growth and productivity of onion. Agric Sci Dig. 2015;35:241-243.
11. Nandal TR, Bedi MK. Integrated nutrient management studies in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under low hills sub-tropical conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Crop Res. 2010;40:113-116.
12. Prabhakar M, Hebbar SS, Nair AK, Selvam PP, Rajeshwari RS, Kumar P. Growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as influenced by organic farming practices. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6:144-149.
13. Rabari KV, Patel MV, Kadu SP, Umale AA. Combined effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield attributes, bulb yield and soil nutrient status after harvest of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Trends Biosci. 2014;7(23):3861-3864.
14. Santas J, Almajano MP, Carbo R. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of crude onion (*Allium cepa* L.) extract. Int J Food Sci Technol. 2010;45:403-409.
15. Sedera FA, Merghany MM, Mashraky JF, Sharawy MA. Effect of bio and mineral fertilizers on vegetative growth and productivity of spring onion. Ann Agric Sci. 2012;50(1):51-58.
16. Shedeed SI, Sayed SAE, Bash DMA. Effect of biofertilizers with organic matter on the growth, yield and nutrient content of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants. Eur Int J Sci Technol. 2014;3(9):115-122.
17. Verma D, Singh H, Singh N, Sharma YK. Effect of inorganic fertilizers and FYM on onion productivity and soil fertility. Ann Plant Soil Res. 2014;16:117-120.
18. Yohannes KW, Belew D, Debella A. Effect of FYM and nitrogen fertilizer rates on growth, yield and yield components of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at Jimma, southwest Ethiopia. Asian J Plant Sci. 2013;10:1-7.