

ISSN Print: 2617-4693 ISSN Online: 2617-4707 NAAS Rating (2025): 5.29 IJABR 2025; SP-9(11): 942-945 www.biochemjournal.com Received: 02-10-2025 Accepted: 05-11-2025

Chate RJ

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Nainwad RV

Assistant Horticulturist, Fruit Research Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, Maharashtra, India

Patil SG

O/I, Sweet Orange Research Station, Badnapur, Jalna, Maharashtra, India

Mahale SB

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Bhate AV

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Yadav Patil VM

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Shinde PC

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Chate RJ

M.Sc. (Hort.) Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parabhani, Maharashtra, India

Studies on pruning and time of application of paclobutrazol on growth and yield of mango (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Kesar

Chate RJ, Nainwad RV, Patil SG, Mahale SB, Bhate AV, Yadav Patil VM and Shinde PC

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2025.v9.i11Sl.6349

Abstract

The investigation entitled "Studies on Pruning and Time of Application of Paclobutrazol on Growth and Yield of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Kesar" was carried out during 2024-25 at the Fruit Research Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar. The experiment consisted of three pruning treatments (P₁: pruning of all terminal shoots at 2.5 cm, P₂: pruning of unwanted/diseased branches, P₃: pruning of unwanted/diseased branches + terminal shoots at 2.5 cm) and three timings of paclobutrazol application (T₁: 15 July, T₂: 30 July, T₃: 15 August), arranged in FRBD with nine treatment combinations. Observations were recorded on growth, flowering, fruit drop, fruit retention and yield.

The results showed that P_3T_2 (Pruning of unwanted/diseased branches along with terminal shoots at 2.5cm + application of paclobutrazol on 30^{th} July) recorded the earliest flowering, lowest fruit drop at all stages, highest fruit retention at all stages and maximum yield. P_3 and T_2 individually also performed better than other treatments. Paclobutrazol application on 30 July with combined pruning was most effective. The study concluded that P_3T_2 was the best treatment for improving growth and yield of mango cv. Kesar.

Keywords: Mango, Mangifera indica, paclobutrazol, pruning, flowering, fruit retention

Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is an important tropical and subtropical fruit crop of India belonging to the family Anacardiaceae. India is the largest producer of mango, and cultivars such as Alphonso, Kesar, Dashehari and Langra are commercially important. Mango productivity is influenced by canopy management, pruning intensity and growth-regulating chemicals. Excessive vegetative growth suppresses flowering, while regulated pruning improves canopy structure, light penetration and fruiting wood development. Paclobutrazol is a growth retardant that inhibits gibberellin synthesis, reduces vegetative vigour and induces flowering. Its effectiveness depends on correct timing and dosage. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of pruning and time of paclobutrazol application on growth, flowering and yield of mango cv. Kesar.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the Fruit Research Station, Himayat Bagh, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, during 2024-25 on nine-year-old Kesar mango trees spaced at 5×4 m. The experiment was laid out in FRBD with three pruning treatments (P_1, P_2, P_3) and three paclobutrazol timings (T_1, T_2, T_3) , forming nine treatment combinations replicated thrice. Paclobutrazol @ 3 ml/m canopy was applied through soil drenching. Observations were recorded on flowering parameters, fruit drop, fruit retention and yield. Data were statistically analyzed using standard procedures for FRBD.

Results and Discussion Flowering Parameters

Minimum days required for flower initiation were recorded in P_3 (55.05 days), followed by P_1 (56.95 days), while maximum days were recorded in P_2 (58.20 days). Among paclobutrazol timings, minimum days were recorded in T_2 (54.01 days), followed by T_3

(56.12 days), and maximum in T_1 (60.08 days). The earliest flowering was recorded in P_3T_2 (53.00 days). This indicates that combined pruning and paclobutrazol application on 30^{th} July enhanced early floral induction. Similar findings were reported by Kulkarni (1988) [2].

Fruit Drop (%)

Fruit Drop at Pea Stage

Pruning had a non-significant effect on fruit drop at pea stage, with values 79.21% (P₁), 79.28% (P₂) and 79.03% (P₃). Paclobutrazol timings showed significant effect, with minimum fruit drop in T₂ (78.69%), followed by T₃ (79.21%) and maximum in T₁ (79.62%). Minimum fruit drop was recorded in P₃T₂ (78.65%), followed by P₁T₂ (78.68%) and P₂T₂ (78.73%). Maximum fruit drop occurred in P₂T₁ (79.74%).

Fruit Drop at Marble Stage

Pruning significantly affected fruit drop at marble stage, with minimum fruit drop in P_3 (58.93%), followed by P_1 (63.76%) and maximum in P_2 (65.32%). Paclobutrazol timings showed minimum fruit drop in T_2 (55.50%), followed by T_3 (62.61%) and maximum in T_1 (69.90%). Minimum fruit drop was recorded in P_3T_2 (50.90%), followed by P_1T_2 (57.76%) and P_2T_2 (57.85%). Maximum fruit drop occurred in P_2T_1 (73.55%).

Fruit Drop at Maturity Stage

Pruning significantly influenced fruit drop at maturity stage, with minimum fruit drop in P_3 (58.59%), followed by P_1 (60.92%) and maximum in P_2 (65.75%). Paclobutrazol timing T_2 recorded minimum fruit drop (53.75%), followed by T_3 (61.20%) and maximum in T_1 (70.30%). The minimum fruit drop was observed in P_3T_2 (50.41%), followed by P_1T_2 (53.36%). Maximum fruit drop occurred in P_2T_1 (75.48%). Similar observations were reported by Karuna *et al.* (2007) [1].

Fruit Retention (%)

Fruit Retention at Pea Stage

Pruning was non-significant at pea stage with values P_3 (21.27%), P_1 (20.95%) and P_2 (20.74%). Paclobutrazol timing T_2 recorded the highest retention (21.78%), followed by T_3 (21.00%) and lowest in T_1 (20.38%). Maximum retention occurred in P_3T_2 (22.08%) and minimum in P_2T_1 (20.26%).

Fruit Retention at Marble Stage

Pruning significantly affected retention, with maximum retention in P_3 (41.07%), followed by P_1 (33.47%) and minimum in P_2 (32.62%). Paclobutrazol timing P_2 recorded the maximum retention (44.50%), followed by P_3 (37.39%) and minimum in P_3 (25.27%). Maximum retention occurred in P_3 (49.10%), followed by P_1 (42.24%) and P_2 (42.15%). Minimum was in P_2 (120.26%).

Fruit Retention at Maturity Stage

Pruning significantly affected retention at maturity, with maximum retention in P_3 (41.41%), followed by P_1 (39.08%) and minimum in P_2 (34.25%). Paclobutrazol timing T_2 recorded maximum (46.25%), followed by T_3 (38.80%) and minimum in T_1 (29.70%). Maximum retention occurred in P_3T_2 (49.59%), followed by P_1T_2 (46.64%). Minimum was in P_2T_1 (24.52%). Similar findings were reported by Singh and Saini (2001) [4] and Narvariya *et al.* (2014) [3].

Yield Parameters

Number of Fruits/Plants

Pruning significantly influenced yield. Maximum number of fruits per plant was observed in P_3 (96.01), followed by P_1 (86.51) and P_2 (82.34). Among paclobutrazol timings, T_2 recorded the highest number of fruits/plant (116.79), Followed by T_3 (80.33) and minimum was in (67.75). Highest fruits per plant was recorded in P_3T_2 (122.65), followed by P_1T_2 (116.93). Minimum yield occurred in P_2T_1 (63.51).

Yield/Plant (Kg/Plant)

Maximum yield (kg/plant) was recorded in P_3 (20.33), followed by P_1 (19.14) and P_2 (18.48). Paclobutrazol timing T_2 recorded maximum yield (kg/plant) (23.97) followed by T_3 (18.39) and minimum in T_1 (15.59). Maximum yield was recorded in P_3T_2 (24.10 kg/plant), followed by P_1T_2 (24.01 kg/plant). Minimum yield occurred in P_2T_1 (15.32 kg/plant).

Yield/Plant (t/ha)

In pruning yield was highest in P_3 (10.15 t/ha) followed by P_1 (9.57 t/ha) and P_2 (9.24 t/ha). Among paclobutrazol timings T_2 recorded maximum yield (11.98 t/ha) followed by T_3 (9.19 t/ha) And minimum in T_1 (7.79 t/ha). Highest yield per hectare was recorded in P_3T_2 (12.05 t/ha) followed by P_1T_2 (12.00t/ha). Minimum yield occurred in P_2T_1 (7.66 t/ha).

Table 1: Effect of pruning & time of application of paclobutrazol on number of days required for flower initiation, Number of days required for 50% flowering, Number of days taken for 100% flowering of mango cv. Kesar

Treatments	Number of days required for flower initiation	Number of days required for 50% flowering	Number of days taken for 100% flowering		
	Factor A: Pruning (P)				
P ₁	56.95	72.17	100.28		
P_2	58.20	73.39	102.06		
P ₃	55.05	70.37	89.61		
SE m±	0.29	0.16	0.35		
CD at 5%	0.88	0.49	1.05		
Factor B: Time of application of Paclobutrazol (T)					
T_1	60.08	75.37	106.28		
T_2	54.01	68.89	85.22		
T ₃	56.12	71.67	100.44		
SE m±	0.29	0.16	0.35		
CD at 5%	0.88	0.49	1.05		
Interaction: Pruning × Time of application of Paclobutrazol (P×T)					

P_1T_1	60.33	76.00	105.67
P_1T_2	54.19	68.50	93.17
P_1T_3	56.33	72.00	102.00
P_2T_1	63.11	77.34	108.83
P ₂ T ₂	54.83	70.50	94.83
P_2T_3	56.67	72.33	102.50
P_3T_1	56.80	72.77	104.33
P ₃ T ₂	53.00	67.67	67.67
P ₃ T ₃	55.36	70.67	96.83
SE m±	0.50	0.28	0.60
CD at 5%	1.51	0.85	1.82

Table 2: Effect of pruning and time of application of paclobutrazol on Fruit drop (%) at pea, marble & maturity stage of mango cv. Kesar

Tuestments	Fruit drop (%)				
Treatments	Pea stage	Marble stage	Maturity stage		
	Factor A: Pruning (P)				
P_1	79.21	63.76	60.92		
P_2	79.28	65.32	65.75		
P ₃	79.03	58.93	58.59		
SE m±	0.17	0.18	0.19		
CD at 5%	NS	0.53	0.57		
	Factor B:	Time of application of (T)			
T_1	79.62	69.90	70.30		
T_2	78.69	55.50	53.75		
Т3	79.21	62.61	61.20		
SE m±	0.17	0.18	0.19		
CD at 5%	0.51	0.53	0.57		
	Interaction: Pruning × Ti	me of application of Paclobutrazol	$(\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{T})$		
P_1T_1	79.60	71.28	69.29		
P_1T_2	78.68	57.76	53.36		
P_1T_3	79.37	62.24	60.11		
P_2T_1	79.74	73.55	75.48		
$P_2 T_2$	78.73	57.85	57.49		
P_2T_3	79.37	64.57	64.27		
P_3T_1	79.53	64.87	66.15		
P ₃ T ₂	78.65	50.90	50.41		
P_3T_3	78.90	61.01	59.22		
SE m±	0.29	0.30	0.33		
CD at 5%	0.88	0.91	1.00		

Table 3: Effect of pruning & time of application of paclobutrazol on Fruit retention (%) at pea, marble, maturity stage of mango cv. Kesar

TD 4	Fruit retention (%)			
Treatments	Pea stage	Marble stage	Maturity stage	
Factor A: Pruning (P)				
P ₁	20.95	33.47	39.08	
P_2	20.74	32.62	34.25	
P ₃	21.27	41.07	41.41	
SE m±	0.17	0.17	0.19	
CD at 5%	NS	0.51	0.57	
	Factor B: Time	e of application of Paclobutrazol (T)		
T_1	20.38	25.27	29.70	
T_2	21.78	44.50	46.25	
T ₃	21.00	37.39	38.80	
SE m±	0.17	0.17	0.19	
CD at 5%	NS	0.51	0.57	
	Interaction: Pruning ×	Time of application of Paclobutrazo	l (P×T)	
P_1T_1	20.40	20.40	30.71	
P_1T_2	21.35	42.24	46.64	
P_1T_3	21.10	37.76	39.89	
P_2T_1	20.26	20.26	24.52	
$P_2 T_2$	21.32	42.15	42.51	
P ₂ T ₃	20.63	35.43	35.73	
P ₃ T ₁	20.47	35.13	33.85	
P ₃ T ₂	22.08	49.10	49.59	
P ₃ T ₃	21.27	38.99	40.78	
SE m±	0.30	0.30	0.33	
CD at 5%	0.91	0.89	1.00	

Table 4: Effect of pruning and time of application of paclobutrazol on Number of fruits per plant, Yield/plant (kg/plant), Yield/plant (t/ha) of mango cv. Kesar

Treatment	Number of Fruits/plants	Yield/plant (kg/plant)	Yield/plant (t/ha)		
	Factor A: Pruning (P)				
P ₁	86.51	19.14	9.57		
P_2	82.34	18.48	9.24		
P ₃	96.01	20.33	10.15		
SE m±	0.59	0.37	0.18		
CD at 5%	1.77	1.11	0.55		
	Factor B: Time of application of Paclobutrazol (T)				
T_1	67.75	15.59	7.79		
T_2	116.79	23.97	11.98		
T ₃	80.33	18.39	9.19		
SE m±	0.59	0.37	0.18		
CD at 5%	1.77	1.11	0.55		
	Interaction: Pruning × Time of ap	plication of Paclobutrazol (P×T)			
P_1T_1	68.14	15.47	7.73		
P_1T_2	116.93	24.01	12.00		
P_1T_3	74.46	17.95	8.98		
P_2T_1	63.51	15.32	7.66		
$P_2 T_2$	110.80	23.80	11.90		
P_2T_3	72.72	16.32	8.16		
P ₃ T ₁	71.59	15.98	7.99		
P ₃ T ₂	122.65	24.10	12.05		
P_3T_3	93.79	20.89	10.42		
SE m±	1.02	0.64	0.32		
CD at 5%	3.07	1.92	0.96		

Conclusion

 P_3T_2 (pruning of unwanted/diseased branches and terminal shoots at 2.5 cm with paclobutrazol application on 30^{th} July) was the most effective treatment, recording earliest flowering, lowest fruit drop at pea, marble and maturity stages, highest fruit retention at all stages and maximum fruit yield. P_3 and T_2 individually were superior over P_1 and P_2 , and P_3 and P_4 and P_3 are study revealed that P_3T_2 treatment reported best for improving growth, flowering and yield of mango cv. Kesar.

References

- Karuna K, Verma AK, Singh SK. Effect of paclobutrazol on fruit drop and quality in mango cv. Langra. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007;36(1-2):32-34.
- 2. Kulkarni VJ. Chemical control of tree vigour and promotion of flowering in mango using paclobutrazol. Journal of Horticultural Science. 1988;63(3):557-566.
- 3. Narvariya SS, Singh CP, Dhami V, Sharma A. Response of cultar on flowering and yield of mango cv. Dashehari. Progressive Horticulture. 2014;46(1):60-64.
- 4. Singh S, Saini RS. Effect of paclobutrazol on fruit retention and yield in mango cv. Amrapali. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2001;58(3):222-225.