

ISSN Print: 2617-4693
ISSN Online: 2617-4707
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.29
IJABR 2025; SP-9(10): 825-827
www.biochemjournal.com
Received: 15-08-2025
Accepted: 20-09-2025

NM Chaudhari
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

KG Patel
Professor and Head, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

PK Dubey
Associate Professor, Department of Natural Resource Management, ASPEE College of Horticulture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

ND Baria
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

Harsh N Patel
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

Corresponding Author:
NM Chaudhari
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat India

Effect of different forms of farming system on yield and economics of banana

NM Chaudhari, KG Patel, PK Dubey, ND Baria and Harsh N Patel

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2025.v9.i10Sj.5945

Abstract
To achieve objective *i.e*. to compare the performance of different forms of farming systems on yield and economics banana experiment was carried out during the year 2023-24. The field experiment was conducted at Organic Farm, ASPEE College of Horticulture, NAU, Navsari using large plot technique with five treatments and six sampling points (repetition). The treatments were T_1: Natural farming (Jivamrut), T_2: Panchgavya krushi, T_3: Rishi krushi (Amrutpani), T_4: Organic manure @ 12 t/ha and T_5: Conventional farming. Conventional farming practice recorded significantly higher yield as well as net return in banana as compared to organic farming practices. However, among the organic farming systems, use of organic manure @ 12 t/ha (3.78 kg/plant) for banana production is the better option as it's cost of cultivation is less as well as less labour is required for preparation and application of manure in the field.

Keywords: Banana, natural farming, Panchgavya krushi, Rishi krushi and conventional farming

Introduction
Agriculture is the backbone of the economy, providing food security, raw materials, and livelihoods to a significant portion of the global population (Dodiya and Barad, 2022) [3]. Banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) is a large herbaceous perennial monocotyledonous and monocarpic plant. Banana belongs to the family *Musaceae* in order *Scitamineae*. Banana is known as "Apple of Paradise". Its origin is the tropical region of South-East Asia. Banana crop has nutritional, medicinal and industrial values. Banana has been associated with man as food and is used for religious work. In addition, banana is one of the most important fruit crops of the world. Indeed, many consider banana one of man's first food. Banana is rich source of easily digestible carbohydrates with a calorific value of 67-137 per 100 g fruit. It is a good source of vitamin A and vitamin C (100 mg/100 g) and fair source of vitamin B and B_2. Fruits are also rich source of minerals like Mg, Na, K, P and a fair source of Ca and Fe. In India, Banana occupies an area of about 948 thousand hectares producing 37614 thousand MT with the productivity 39.7 t/ha, whereas in Gujarat it is grown over 59.68 thousand hectares with production of 4010.7 thousand MT with the productivity of 67.2 t/ha during the 2024-25 (Anon., 2025a) [1]. South Gujarat is the main banana producing hub in Gujarat. In South Gujarat, Banana occupies an area of about 26.33 thousand hectares producing 1983 thousand MT with the productivity of 75.3 t/ha during the 2024-25 (Anon., 2025b) [2].
Due to the conventional farming system crop yield is increased but simultaneously it deteriorates soil and produce quality due to the over and unscientific use of chemical fertilizers, pesticide, fungicides, *etc* (Dodiya *et al*., 2024) [4]. The use of different pesticides and fungicides for the crop protection their traces are found in food which is consumed by humans and is badly affecting the human health. Area under organic farming is increasing day by day due to the increasing demand for organic foods as well as government effort for the promotion of organic farming. Farmers are practicing different forms of organic farming *viz*., Rishi krushi, Panchgavya krishi, Gau-Krupa Amritam Krushi, Bio-dynamic farming, Natural farming *etc* (Dodiya *et al*., 2025) [5]. However, limited scientific information is available related to the feasibility of different forms of organic farming. Organic and natural farming is promoted by the government and launching many schemes to support to those directly and indirectly involved in this farming system such as Mission Organic Value Chain Development for North Eastern Region (MOVCDNER), National Mission on Sustainable

Agriculture, *Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana* (PKVY), Sub-mission on Agro Forestry (SMAF), *Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana*, *etc*. Keeping these views in mind, the present experiment conducted.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment was conducted at Organic Farm, ASPEE College of Horticulture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during the year 2023-24, in Plot No. F-17. For the conventional farming, planting was done in on plot F-23 at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari. A large plot technique with five treatments and six sampling points (repetition) was employed for the field experiment. The treatments were T_1: Natural farming (Jivamrut), T_2: Panchgavya krushi, T_3: Rishi krushi (Amrutpani), T_4: Organic manure @ 12 t/ha and T_5: Conventional farming. Following a large plot technique, statistical analysis of the experimental data was performed using analysis of variance. Calculated "F" value and tabulated "F" value were contrasted at a 5% level of significance.

- **Natural Farming treatment (T_1):** Ghanjivamrut was incorporated @ 1.5 t/ha (472 g/plant) as a basal application as well as rice straw mulch @ 5 t/ha. Jivamrut @ 500 L/ha was applied at monthly interval up to 6 months after planting.
- **Panchgavya Krushi treatment (T_2)**: 12 t/ha (3.78 kg/plant) of biocompost was applied at basal dose and Panchgavya was applied at 50 L/ha at monthly interval up to 6 months after planting.
- **Rishi Krushi treatment (T_3):** 12 t/ha (3.78 kg/plant) of biocompost was added as a basal dose as well as rice straw mulch @ 5 t/ha. Amrutpani @ 500 L/ha was applied at monthly interval up to 6 months after planting.
- **Treatment T_4:** 12 t/ha (3.78 kg/plant) of biocompost was applied as basal dose.
- **Conventional Farming treatment (T_5):** Recommended fertilizer dose (300-200-200, N-P_2O_5-K_2O g/plant) along with 5 t/ha (1.57 kg/plant) of biocompost. Nitrogen was applied in four equal splits (75 g/plant each) at the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th months after planting. Phosphorus was applied once after the 3rd month, while potash was supplied in three equal splits (67 g/plant each) during the 3rd, 4th and 5th months of crop growth.

Note: Ghanjivamrut and biocompost were applied on a dry weight basis as basal inputs. Mulching with rice straw was done after planting.

Results and Discussion

The results presented in Table 1 indicated that the yield of banana was significantly influenced by the different farming systems. The highest yield (86.1 t/ha) was recorded under conventional farming (T_5), which was significantly superior to all organic farming systems. Among the organic treatments, Panchgavya Krushi (T_2) achieved a significantly higher yield (62.0 t/ha) compared to other organic farming systems. However, it was statistically at par with Rishi Krushi (T_3) and organic manure @ 12 t/ha (T_4), which recorded yields of 60.8 and 56.8 t/ha, respectively.

The present study demonstrated that higher banana yield observed under the conventional farming system can be attributed to several interrelated factors associated with nutrient availability and plant physiological responses. In conventional farming, chemical fertilizers provided nutrients in readily soluble forms, ensuring a continuous and adequate nutrient supply throughout the crop growth period. This timely nutrient availability supported vigorous vegetative growth, efficient photosynthesis, and healthy root development, resulting in better overall plant performance. In contrast, organic treatments such as T_2 (Panchgavya Krushi), T_3 (Rish Krushi) and T_4 (organic manure @ 12 t/ha) showed moderate performance. While these systems contribute positively to soil health and microbial activity., their slower nutrient release patterns and dependency on microbial mineralization may not always coincide with the crop's peak nutrient demand. In particular, relatively lower nitrogen availability may have limited vegetative and reproductive growth, thereby reducing yield-attributing characters and overall productivity. The results are akin to those reported by Patel (2008) [10], Shaheen *et al*. (2009) [12], Kotur (2015) [8], Hema *et al*. (2016) [6], Sangeeta *et. al*. (2017) [11], Mamatha *et al*. (2021) [9] and Kavitha *et. al*. (2022) [7].

Economics of banana by applying treatments of organic and conventional farning systems is given in Table 1. It indicated that higher net return was obtained in treatment of conventional farming (₹ 853603) followed by panchgavya krushi (₹ 531909), rishi krushi (₹ 514003), organic manure (₹ 481644) and minimum net return was obtained in treatment of natural farming (₹ 421230). It further indicated that 34.6% (panchgavya krushi) to 53.3% (natural farming) with an average 42.2% higher premium price is required to make organic farming profitable to that of conventional farming.

Table 1: Yield and economics of banana as influenced by different farming system

Treatments	Yield (t/ha)	Cost of Cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross Returns (₹/ha)	Net Returns (₹/ha)	B:C Ratio	Required premium price (%)
T_1: NF	54.1	390270	811500	421230	2.08	53.3
T_2: PK	62.0	398091	930000	531909	2.34	34.6
T_3: RK	60.8	397997	912000	514003	2.29	37.2
T_4: OM	56.8	370356	852000	481644	2.30	43.7
T_5: CF	86.1	437897	1291500	853603	2.95	-
CD at 5%	6.2	-	-	-	-	-
CV (%)	8.2	-	-	-	-	-

NF: Natural Farming, PK: Panchgavya Krushi, RK: Rishi Krushi, OM: Organic manure @ 12 t/ha and
CF: Conventional Farming

Conclusion

Conventional farming practice by use of recommended dose of fertilizer (300-200-200, N-P_2O_5-K_2O g/plant with 5 t/ha of biocompost) recorded significantly higher yield as well as net return in banana as compared to organic farming practices. However, among the organic farming systems, use of organic manure @ 12 t/ha (3.78 kg/plant) for banana production is the better option as it's cost of cultivation is less as well as less labour is required for preparation and application of manure in the field.

References

1. Anonymous. Area and Production of Horticulture crops for 2023-24 (Final Estimates). Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India,

India; 2025a. Published 07 Feb 2025. Available from: https://agriwelfare.gov.in/en/StatHortEst [Accessed 2025 Jul 25].
2. Anonymous. Director of Horticulture. Government of Gujarat; 2025b. Available from: https://doh.gujarat.gov.in/Home/HorticultureCultivation [Accessed 2025 Jul 25].
3. Dodiya RD, Barad AH. Effectiveness of biopesticides against *Spodoptera litura* infesting groundnut under field condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(8):1601-1606.
4. Dodiya RD, Barad AH, Italiya JV, Prajapati HN. Impact of weather parameters on population dynamics of tobacco leaf eating caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (F.) infesting groundnut. Environment and Ecology. 2024;42(1A):301-306.
5. Dodiya RD, Patel PS, Pathan NP, Deb S. Temporal patterns of aphid infestations in coriander. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology. 2025;20:77-83.
6. Hema R, Bhagavan BVK, Sudhavani V, Umakrishna K. Effect of organic manures and bio-fertilizers on yield and fruit quality of banana cv. Grand Naine (AAA). International Journal of Bioresource and Stress Management. 2016;7(4):832-836.
7. Kavitha R, Nagesh N, Gurumurthy SB, Basavaraja N, Manu KHR, Koujalagi CB, *et al*. Studies on effect of ghana jeevamrutha and liquid jeevamrutha on yield and yield attributes of banana cv. Ney Poovan (AB). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(9):825-828.
8. Kotur SC. Direct nutrient-feeding to 'Ney Poovan' banana (*Musa* sp. AB) bunch under organic or conventional farming for yield, fruit quality and profitability. Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2015;10(1):44-47.
9. Mamatha K, Naidu MM, Nagalakshmi R, Bhagavan BVK. Studies on the response of different commercial banana cultivars of Andhra Pradesh to organic production. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2021;10(38):308-313.
10. Patel PS. Effect of different organic manures on growth, yield and quality of banana cv. Grand Naine [MSc thesis]. Navsari Agricultural University; 2008. 145 p.
11. Sangeeta BH, Shorol AM, Suresh H, Lenkennavar GS, Swamy K, Shashidhar MD, *et al*. Effect of organic manures on yield and quality of banana cv. Grand Naine. International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2017;5(5):1094-1096.
12. Shaheen MA, Eissa MA, Saad MM, Mahmoud SM. Influence of organic and biofertilization on growth, yield and fruit quality of Williams banana. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;34(7):8013-8025.