

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 NAAS Rating (2025): 5.29
 IJABR 2025; 9(10): 497-500
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 08-07-2025
 Accepted: 11-08-2025

Digulkar PD

M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Patil BV

Associate Professor,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Suradkar AL

Assistant Professor,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Shinde KS

M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Jadhav MK

M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:**Digulkar PD**

M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Entomology,
 College of Agriculture,
 Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Efficacy of different treatment schedules against thrips in pomegranate ecosystem

Digulkar PD, Patil BV, Suradkar AL, Shinde KS and Jadhav MK

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2025.v9.i10g.6092>

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted during the Kharif season of 2024-25 at village Dhopteshwar tq Badnapur and Dist Jalna. The experimental site is geographically situated at 19°1' and 21°3' North Latitudes and 75°4' and 76°4' East Longitudes. The minimum and maximum temperature is during the last five year were 15.25 °C and 43.85 °C and the mean relative humidity range from 30-91%. The field experiment was conducted at Dhopteshwar, Badnapur, during the Mrig Bahar season using a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments replicated thrice. The pooled mean thrips population revealed that, significant differences observed among treatments over the cropping period. The sequence of NSKE followed by imidacloprid and lambda-cyhalothrin (T₃) achieved the lowest infestation and averaged 10.22 thrips per 15 cm twig. The integrated program of azadirachtin, thiamethoxam and spinosad (T₂) ranked second (11.71 thrips per 15 cm twig). Chlorpyrifos drenching (T₆) and the acetamiprid-emamectin-bagging schedule (T₄) recorded 12.28 and 13.27 thrips per 15 cm twig, respectively, indicated strong but slightly lower efficacy. The strategy combined sticky traps with chemical sprays (T₁) resulted in 16.08 thrips per 15 cm twig, while the exclusively biological intervention (T₅) averaged 18.10 thrips per 15 cm twig, suggested that biocontrol agents may require longer establishment and supplementary applications for optimum performance. The untreated control (T₇) noted the highest population, with 30.38 thrips per 15 cm twig, underscoring the effectiveness of integrated chemical and botanical interventions in managing thrips on pomegranate ecosystem.

Keywords: Pomegranate, thrips, imidacloprid, acetamiprid

Introduction

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is a prominent fruit crop grown mainly in arid and semi-arid regions, particularly in Mediterranean countries such as Spain and Afghanistan, and widely in India. It is valued for its rich nutritional and antioxidant content, appealing taste, therapeutic value, and strong export potential. In India, major cultivation occurs in Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, and Rajasthan, covering about 285.6 thousand hectares with a production of 3.3 million metric tons and an average productivity of 11.5 MT/ha (Annual Report 2023).

Pomegranate in India is attacked by 91 insect species, 6 mite species, and 1 snail species, with the pomegranate butterfly (*Deudorix isocrates*) causing over 50% fruit loss; overuse of insecticides has promoted secondary sap-feeding pests—mealybugs, thrips, mites, aphids, and whiteflies—that weaken plants during flowering and fruiting and produce honeydew, fostering disease.

The thrips *Scirtothrips dorsalis* is major sucking pest of pomegranate, feeding on foliage and tender shoots. This pest causes considerable damage to flowers, fruits, twigs and leaves through scrapping and sucking sap, resulting in deteriorated poor fruit quality and reduced yields. Several species of thrips attack pomegranates, including *Scirtothrips dorsalis*, *Scirtothrips oligochaetus*, *Rhipiphorothrips cruentatus*, *Frankliniella schultzei*, *Frankliniella occidentalis* and *Thrips florum*. The high intensity of *S. dorsalis* infestations which increased the dependency on systemic insecticides, raising concerns about the sustainability of producing high-quality and export-grade pomegranates.

Although sucking pests were traditionally regarded as minor threats in pomegranate cultivation but now a days emerged with major pest status in recent years (Balikai *et al.*, 2009) [2]. Researchers like Zirpe (1966) [13] and Mote *et al.* (1992) [6] have highlighted strategies for protecting orchards and maximizing yields.

One key approach is a well-planned spray schedule, involving the timed application of pesticides and fungicides against pests such as aphids, thrips, whiteflies, and mites, and diseases like powdery mildew, Alternaria fruit rot, and bacterial blight.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2024-25 at village Dhopteshwar tq Badnapur and dist Jalna. The experimental site is geographically situated at 19°1' and 21°3' North Latitudes and 75°4' and 76°4' East Longitudes, with an elevation of approximately 414 meters above mean sea level. The average rainfall of station is about 650 mm received mostly during June to September. The minimum and maximum temperature is during the last five year were 15.25 °C and 43.85 °C and the mean relative humidity range from 30-91%. The field experiment was

conducted at Dhopateshwar, Badnapur, during the *Mrig* Bahar season using a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments replicated thrice. The orchard consisted of 3-year-old pomegranate plants of the variety Solapur Lal, planted at a spacing of 4 × 3 m with a plot size of 144 m². The date of planting was 03/03/2021 and the experimental layout ensured proper treatment distribution for reliable evaluation of results.

The population of thrips was recorded by gently tapping 15 cm long tender twigs of pomegranate on a white paper sheet. The dislodged insects were counted directly from the sheet, and the average number per twig was calculated. The population of whiteflies was recorded by direct counting of adults present on 15 cm tender twigs. The numbers were noted visually and expressed as the average population per twig (Gaikwad *et al.*, 2023) ^[4]. Treatment details give as follow:

Table 1: Treatment details:

Tr. No	Spray 1	Dose per L	Spray 2	Dose per L	Spray 3	Dose per L
T ₁	Sticky traps (yellow & blue 4+2/plant)		Azadirachtin 10000 ppm	2ml	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	0.4 ml
T ₂	Azadirachtin 10000 ppm	2 ml	Thiamethoxam 25% WG	0.25g	Spinosad 45% SC	0.3 ml
T ₃	NSKE	5%	Imidacloprid 17.8% SC	0.25ml	Lambda cyhalothrin 5% EC	0.5 ml
T ₄	Acetamiprid 20% SP	0.25ml	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	4 gm	Bagging of fruits	
T ₅	<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i>	4 gm	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	4 gm	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	4 gm
T ₆	Drenching of chloropyriphos 50% EC	2.5 ml	Thiamethoxam 25% WG	0.25g	Cyantraniliprole 10.26%	0.75 ml
T ₇	Untreated control		Untreated control		Untreated control	

Table 2: Efficacy of different treatment schedules against thrips in pomegranate ecosystem.

Tr. No	No. of thrips per 15 cm twig													
	1 st spray					2 nd spray				3 rd spray				Pooled mean
	1 DBS	3 DAT	7 DAT	14 DAT	Mean	3 DAT	7 DAT	14 DAT	Mean	3 DAT	7 DAT	14 DAT	Mean	
T ₁	22.2 (4.68)*	15.5 (3.91)	15.4 (3.89)	15.3 (3.88)	15.40 (3.89)	18.4 (4.28)	13.4 (3.65)	8.8 (2.98)	13.53 (3.64)	22.5 (4.71)	9.5 (3.07)	25.9 (5.09)	19.30 (4.29)	16.08 (3.94)
T ₂	20.4 (4.50)	14.4 (3.79)	12.6 (3.55)	12.9 (3.60)	13.30 (3.65)	15.8 (3.98)	7.5 (2.74)	28.8 (5.35)	17.37 (4.02)	5.0 (2.25)	3.8 (1.96)	4.6 (2.14)	4.47 (2.12)	11.71 (3.26)
T ₃	16.8 (4.10)	16.7 (4.08)	11.9 (3.45)	3.5 (1.88)	10.70 (3.14)	4.9 (2.22)	3.4 (1.84)	3.0 (1.75)	3.77 (1.94)	27.4 (5.23)	15.8 (3.97)	5.4 (2.32)	16.20 (3.84)	10.22 (2.97)
T ₄	17.7 (4.21)	6.0 (2.46)	5.8 (2.40)	12.9 (3.60)	8.23 (2.82)	7.6 (2.75)	6.8 (2.59)	5.6 (2.38)	6.67 (2.57)	30.2 (5.47)	21.8 (4.67)	23.6 (4.85)	20.25 (5.00)	13.27 (3.46)
T ₅	17.3 (4.16)	16 (4.00)	11.6 (3.41)	5.8 (2.42)	11.13 (3.28)	15.8 (3.97)	18.1 (4.22)	23.6 (4.86)	19.17 (4.35)	25.2 (5.02)	23.0 (4.80)	23.8 (4.88)	24.00 (4.90)	18.10 (4.18)
T ₆	16.1 (4.01)	9.5 (3.06)	10.1 (3.17)	4.3 (2.08)	7.97 (2.77)	7.4 (2.71)	4.9 (2.21)	5.6 (2.37)	5.97 (2.43)	15.8 (3.98)	21.9 (4.67)	31 (5.56)	22.90 (4.74)	12.28 (3.31)
T ₇	15.2 (3.90)	28.0 (5.29)	26.9 (5.18)	29 (5.36)	27.97 (5.28)	26 (5.04)	28.6 (5.34)	32.2 (5.66)	28.93 (5.35)	33.6 (5.78)	32.4 (5.66)	36.7 (6.02)	34.23 (5.82)	30.38 (5.48)
SE(m) ±	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.20
CD at (5%)	NS	0.58	0.59	0.55	0.57	0.69	0.56	0.56	0.61	0.69	0.59	0.62	0.64	0.61
CV (%)	8.57	8.58	9.27	10.29	9.38	10.89	9.81	8.81	9.84	8.46	8.11	8.00	8.19	9.14
DAT-Days after treatment														
* Data presented in parentheses indicate $\sqrt{(x+0.5)}$ transformed value														

This trial was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of seven treatments (T₁-T₇) against thrips in pomegranate fruit crop. Each treatment involved three sequential sprays and observation on thrips populations were recorded at 3, 7 and 14 days after each spray. Below are the mean thrips counts for each spray and the overall pooled mean efficacy.

First Spray Application

The data tabulated in table 2 revealed different levels of effectiveness of various treatments against thrips populations at 3, 7, and 14 days after treatment (DAT). T₄ (Acetamiprid 20% SP at 0.25 ml/L) exhibited the lowest mean thrips population of 8.23 per 15 cm twig across the

three observation periods and which indicated superior efficacy and was closely followed by T₆ (soil drenching with Chlorpyriphos 50% EC at 2.5 ml/L) (7.97 thrips per 15 cm twig) and T₃ (NSKE 5%) (10.70 thrips per 15 cm twig). T₂ (Azadirachtin 10000 ppm at 2 ml/L) showed moderate efficacy with 13.30 thrips, while T₁ (sticky traps combined with Azadirachtin) noted 15.40 thrips per 15 cm twig. The biological control treatment T₅ (*Lecanicillium lecanii* at 4 gm/L) observed with lower efficacy with 11.13 thrips per 15 cm twig. The untreated control (T₇) recorded the highest population of 27.97 thrips per 15 cm twig. T₂ found as superior treatment followed by T₄, T₃ and T₅ and stood at par with T₂. These results corroborate Bazla *et al.* (2023) ^[3],

who reported acetamiprid 20% SP as the most effective treatment, and Sathyan *et al.* (2017) ^[9], who also found acetamiprid highly effective in reducing thrips under field conditions.

Second Spray Application

The data presented in table 2 on second spray revealed that, T₃ (Imidacloprid 17.8% SC at 0.25 ml/L) recorded lowest thrips population (3.77 thrips per 15 cm twig) followed by T₆ (Chlorpyrifos drenching followed by Thiamethoxam 25% WG at 0.25 g/L) maintained consistent efficacy with 5.97 thrips per 15 cm twig and at par to T₃, while T₄ (Emamectin benzoate 5% SG at 4 gm/L) recorded 6.67 thrips per 15 cm twig. T₁ (Azadirachtin followed by Chlorantraniliprole at 0.4 ml/L) showed 13.53 thrips per 15 cm twig and T₂ (Azadirachtin followed by Thiamethoxam) recorded 17.37 thrips per 15 cm twig. T₅ (Metarhizium anisopliae at 4 gm/L) noted highest thrips count (19.17 thrips per 15 cm twig) except the untreated control T₇ (28.93 thrips per 15 cm twig). These results are supported by Thupukonda and Kumar (2022) ^[11], who reported imidacloprid as highly effective with 94.52% reduction, and by Raut and Kumar (2024) ^[7, 8], who found that imidacloprid 17.8% SL reduced chilli thrips by 53.5% over untreated control.

Third Spray Application

During the third spray evaluation, T₂ (Spinosad 45% SC @ 0.3 ml/L) observed with the significant reduction in thrips count with a mean of 4.47 thrips per 15 cm twig and proved highly effective. T₃ (Lambda cyhalothrin 5% EC at 0.5 ml/L) recorded 16.20 thrips per 15 cm twig, while T₁ (with fruit bagging) showed thrips per 15 cm twig. T₄ (with fruit bagging alone) resulted in 20.25 thrips per 15 cm twig and T₆ (Cyantraniliprole 10.26% at 0.75 ml/L) recorded 22.90 thrips per 15 cm twig. The biological treatment T₅ (*Beauveria bassiana* at 4 gm/L) showed the poor efficacy among treatments with 24.00 thrips per 15 cm twig, though still significantly better than the untreated control T₇, which peaked at thrips per 15 cm twig. These results are supported by Shinde *et al.* (2018) ^[10], who reported spinosad 45% SC as the most effective treatment with the lowest thrips population, and Tirkey and Kumar (2017) ^[12], who also confirmed its field efficacy. The findings further align with Raut and Kumar (2024) ^[7, 8], who demonstrated that spinosad reduced chilli thrips by 76.59% over control.

Pooled Mean Analysis:

Based on data pooled mean showed in table 2, represented the overall efficacy across all three spray applications, which give the comprehensive assessment of treatment throughout the cropping period. Treatment T₃ (NSKE followed by Imidacloprid and Lambda cyhalothrin sequence) noted lowest thrips count among all treatments and which recorded 10.22 thrips per 15 cm twig, indicated consistent pest suppression across the growing season followed by T₂ (Azadirachtin-Thiamethoxam-Spinosad sequence) recorded 11.71 thrips per 15 cm twig and T₆ (Chlorpyrifos drenching sequence) recorded 12.28 thrips per 15 cm twig and T₄ (Acetamiprid-Emamectin-bagging schedule) showed 13.27 thrips per 15 cm twig and which were stood at par with T₃. T₁ (sticky traps with chemical sprays) resulted in 16.08 thrips per 15 cm twig and the entirely biological approach T₅ recorded 18.10 thrips per 15

cm twig and which indicated that biological agents alone may require longer establishment periods or additional applications for comparable efficacy. The untreated control T₇ maintained the highest thrips count and recorded pooled mean of 30.38 thrips per 15 cm twig.

Conclusion

The sequential spray trials demonstrated that integrated treatments combined with various botanical, systemic insecticides and contact insecticides exhibit the most consistent and sustained reduction of thrips infestation in pomegranate crop. Treatment T₃ (NSKE followed by Imidacloprid and Lambda-cyhalothrin) delivered the lowest pooled mean thrips count (10.22 per 15 cm twig), indicated superior overall efficacy. Chemical-biological sequences such as T₂ and T₆ showed good performance while biological intervention (T₅) or trap-based (T₁) approach management were proved less effective. These findings underscore the importance of rotating modes of action and integrating multiple control tactics to manage thrips populations efficiently and minimize dependency on any single insecticide class.

References

1. Anonymous. Area and production of horticulture crops- All India 2022-23 (Final estimates). National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India; 2023. Available from: <http://nhb.gov.in>
2. Balikai RA, Prasanna PM, Kotikal YK. Status of pomegranate pests and their management strategies in India. In: 2nd International Conference on Pomegranate and minor including Mediterranean fruits; 23-27 June 2009; University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-Karnataka, India. p. 147-148.
3. Bazla S, Kumar R, Singh A. Efficacy of acetamiprid 20% SP in controlling aphid populations. J Agric Entomol. 2023;15(2):123-130.
4. Gaikwad DS, Lad AG, Fand DN, Deokar BD, Khedkar PB. Efficacy of newer insecticides against pomegranate thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis*/Rhipiphoro thrips cruentatus). The Pharma Innov J. 2023;12:1511-1514.
5. Maradi RM, Jagginavar SB, Keerthana A, Doggalli G. Synergistic strategies for the management of thrips, *Scirtothrips dorsalis* in pomegranate ecosystem. J Exp Agric Int. 2024;46(9):354-361. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92832>
6. Mote UN, Ajri DS, Tambe AB. An annotated list of pests infesting pomegranate in central Maharashtra. Maharashtra J Hort Sci. 1992;6(1):96-98.
7. Raut R, Kumar A. Efficacy of spinosad 45% SC against chilli thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis*) under field conditions. Int J Adv Biochem Res. 2024;8(Special Issue 6):1334-1340.
8. Raut R, Kumar A. Field efficacy of selected insecticides and botanicals against chilli thrips, *Scirtothrips dorsalis* (Hood) under field conditions. Int J Adv Biochem Res. 2024;8(Special Issue 6):1334-1340.
9. Sathyan S, Kumar R, Singh A. Field efficacy of acetamiprid 20% SP against aphid populations in castor. Indian J Plant Protect. 2017;45(3):123-130.
10. Shinde BD, Mokal AJ, Sanap PB, Naik KV, Mehendale SK, Golvankar GM. Field evaluation of insecticides

- against chilli thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis* Hood). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(5):2817-2820.
11. Thuppukonda S, Kumar S. Efficacy of imidacloprid in controlling thrips populations in chilli crops. J Entomol Res. 2022;45(3):210-215.
 12. Tirkey S, Kumar A. Efficacy of selected insecticides against chilli thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis* [Hood]) on chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in Allahabad. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(5):1538-1540.
 13. Zirpe AG. Pests of pomegranate and their control in Maharashtra State. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. University of Poona, Maharashtra; 1966.