International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research

ISSN Print: 2617-4693
ISSN Online: 2617-4707
IJABR 2024; 8(9): 22-27
www.biochemjournal.com
Received: 04-07-2024
Accepted: 02-08-2024

Narayanaswamy Jeevan
Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Surla Pradeep Kumar
Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Yerradoddi Sindhu Sree
Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Nunavath Umil Singh
Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author:
Narayanaswamy Jeevan
Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Advancements in drone technology for weed management: A comprehensive review

Narayanaswamy Jeevan, Surla Pradeep Kumar, Yerradoddi Sindhu Sree and Nunavath Umil Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i9a.2058

Abstract
Weed management is a critical challenge in modern agriculture, directly affecting crop productivity and quality. Traditional weed management techniques, such as manual weeding, mechanical tillage, and chemical herbicides, have significant drawbacks, including environmental impact, labor intensity, and the emergence of herbicide-resistant weeds. Recent advancements in drone technology offer new opportunities for precision weed management. Drones provide a cost-effective, efficient, and sustainable approach by enabling site-specific weed detection, monitoring, and control. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the use of drones in weed management, discussing their technological capabilities, applications, benefits, and challenges. It also explores the integration of drones with other precision agriculture technologies and highlights future research directions to enhance the adoption and effectiveness of drone-based weed management strategies.

Keywords: Weeds, drones, precision agriculture, herbicides

1. Introduction

Weeds represent one of the most significant biotic stress factors in global agriculture, leading to considerable yield reductions and posing a substantial threat to crop productivity and quality. By competing with crops for vital resources such as nutrients, water, and light, weeds directly impact the growth and yield potential of cultivated plants. Furthermore, weeds can serve as reservoirs for pests and diseases, further exacerbating their negative impact on agricultural systems [1]. Effective weed management is, therefore, essential to safeguard crop health and maximize agricultural output.

Traditionally, weed control has relied on a combination of manual weeding, mechanical tillage, and the application of chemical herbicides. While these methods have been effective to some extent, they also have notable drawbacks. Manual weeding is labor-intensive and time-consuming, mechanical tillage can lead to soil degradation and erosion, and the extensive use of chemical herbicides poses environmental risks, including soil and water pollution, as well as harm to non-target organisms [2-4]. Moreover, the overreliance on herbicides has driven the evolution of herbicide-resistant weed species, complicating management efforts and necessitating the development of more sustainable weed control methods.

Recent advancements in drone technology offer a promising alternative for precision weed management. Drones, or unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), provide a versatile platform for both remote sensing and the targeted application of herbicides, enabling precise and efficient weed control [5]. By leveraging high-resolution imaging and advanced sensor technologies, drones can accurately identify weed infestations and selectively apply treatments, minimizing chemical use and mitigating environmental impact. This review aims to explore the current state of drone-based weed management, focusing on its technological capabilities, practical applications, benefits, challenges, and future research directions, thereby highlighting its potential to revolutionize sustainable agricultural practices.

2. Traditional Weed Management Approaches

Traditional weed management methods can be broadly categorized into mechanical, chemical, and biological approaches, each with its advantages and limitations.

2.1 Mechanical Methods

Mechanical weed control involves physically removing or destroying weeds through manual labor or machinery, including methods like hand weeding, hoeing, and tillage [6]. While these techniques are effective for managing weeds in small-scale agricultural settings, they are often labor-intensive and time-consuming, making them less practical for large-scale operations [7]. Mechanical tillage disrupts weed growth by uprooting or burying weed seeds, providing an immediate solution to weed infestations. However, frequent and excessive tillage can have detrimental effects on soil structure, leading to erosion, compaction, and degradation, which in turn adversely impact soil health and crop productivity [8]. These limitations underscore the need for more sustainable weed management strategies.

2.2 Chemical Methods

Chemical herbicides have become the dominant approach for weed control in modern agriculture due to their high efficacy, simplicity of application, and broad-spectrum action against diverse weed species [9]. Despite their advantages, the extensive use of herbicides has resulted in numerous ecological and agronomic concerns. Herbicides can leach into water bodies, contaminating aquatic ecosystems and drinking water sources, and reduce soil fertility by disrupting soil microbial communities and nutrient cycles [10, 11]. Additionally, herbicides can adversely affect non-target organisms, including beneficial insects and plants, thereby impacting biodiversity. Overuse and improper application have also accelerated the emergence of herbicide-resistant weed species, complicating management efforts and threatening agricultural sustainability [12, 13].

2.3 Biological Methods

Biological weed control involves using natural enemies, such as insects, pathogens, or grazing animals, to suppress weed populations [14]. This method is environmentally friendly and sustainable but often requires a deep understanding of ecological interactions and may not provide immediate results. Additionally, biological control agents can sometimes fail to establish or may cause unintended ecological impacts [15, 16].

3. Drone Technology in Agriculture

Drones have transformed agriculture by facilitating precision farming techniques that improve crop monitoring, pest and disease management, and weed control. Outfitted with sophisticated sensors, cameras, and specialized software, drones can capture high-resolution imagery and multispectral data, offering detailed information on crop health, soil conditions, and weed infestations [17, 18]. These technological capabilities allow for early detection of weeds and precise mapping of their distribution, enabling targeted interventions. Drones can also monitor large areas quickly and accurately, reducing labor costs and improving the efficiency of weed management strategies. This section explores the various technological features of drones and their specific applications in advancing sustainable weed management practices.

3.1 Technological Capabilities of Drones

Modern agricultural drones are highly advanced tools equipped with a variety of sensors and cameras that significantly enhance their capability for weed detection, identification, and management. Among the most commonly used sensors are multispectral, hyperspectral, and thermal sensors, each offering unique advantages in the monitoring and management of weed populations [19]. Multispectral sensors capture images across several wavelengths, including both visible and near-infrared (NIR) light. This capability allows for distinguishing between crops and weeds based on their distinct spectral signatures. For instance, healthy crops and weeds reflect light differently in the NIR spectrum, enabling the accurate identification of weed-infested areas within a field [20]. Hyperspectral sensors, on the other hand, go a step further by capturing hundreds of narrow spectral bands, providing even more detailed spectral information. This granularity allows for the identification of specific weed species based on their unique spectral fingerprints, facilitating targeted weed management practices [21]. Thermal sensors detect variations in surface temperature, which can be indicative of different physiological conditions such as water stress, disease presence, or areas with high weed density. Weeds often create micro environmental changes, such as increased transpiration, which can lead to detectable temperature differences. This data helps in identifying potential problem areas that require intervention [22].

In addition to sensor technology, agricultural drones are equipped with Global Positioning System (GPS) and Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) positioning systems. These systems provide enhanced positional accuracy, allowing drones to fly along predetermined paths with high precision. This ensures consistent coverage and data collection, which is crucial for effective weed mapping and management [23]. Moreover, drones can be fitted with precision sprayers to apply herbicides or biological agents specifically to weed-infested areas, significantly reducing the volume of chemicals used and minimizing the environmental impact associated with conventional blanket spraying methods [24, 25]. These technological advancements make drones an invaluable tool in modern agriculture, enabling more sustainable and efficient weed management practices.

3.2 Applications of Drones in Weed Management

Drones have been employed in various aspects of weed management, including weed mapping and monitoring, precision herbicide application, and detection of herbicide resistance.

3.2.1 Weed Mapping and Monitoring

Drones offer a significant advantage in weed management by capturing high-resolution images of fields to identify and map weed-infested areas precisely [26, 27]. This process, known as weed mapping, utilizes drone-acquired imagery to detect the presence and distribution of weeds across the field, enabling farmers to implement targeted control measures. By focusing on specific areas with high weed density, this method minimizes the use of herbicides, reduces input costs, and enhances the overall effectiveness of weed management strategies [28]. Furthermore, drones provide real-time data on weed dynamics, allowing for timely interventions and adjustments in management practices, ensuring more responsive and adaptive weed control [29].

3.2.2 Precision Herbicide Application

Drones equipped with precision sprayers offer a transformative approach to herbicide application by targeting specific weed patches rather than applying chemicals across entire fields. This method significantly reduces the volume of herbicides needed, leading to lower input costs and minimizing the environmental footprint associated with chemical runoff [30, 31]. By precisely applying herbicides only where weeds are present, drones help preserve soil and water quality, reducing the risk of contamination and promoting healthier ecosystems [32]. Additionally, precision spraying minimizes the risk of herbicide drift and damage to non-target crops, supporting crop health and enhancing biodiversity by protecting beneficial organisms and maintaining ecological balance [33].

3.2.3 Detection of Herbicide Resistance
The continuous and repeated use of herbicides has accelerated the development of herbicide-resistant weed species, which presents a growing challenge for conventional weed management methods [34]. Drones offer a powerful solution for monitoring these resistant weed populations by capturing high-resolution imagery and multispectral data that can reveal early signs of resistance, such as changes in weed growth patterns or survival after herbicide application [35]. By analyzing this drone-collected data, farmers can accurately identify patches of resistant weeds, enabling them to adapt their management strategies accordingly. This could include switching to mechanical control methods, rotating different herbicides, or integrating alternative, non-chemical approaches to effectively manage resistant weeds [36].

4. Advantages of drone-based weed management
Drone technology offers several advantages over traditional weed management methods, including precision, cost-effectiveness, environmental sustainability, and adaptability.

4.1 Precision and Accuracy
Drones enhance weed management by enabling precise detection and site-specific treatments, significantly reducing the need for widespread herbicide applications and minimizing environmental impacts [37, 38]. This precision not only lowers the volume of chemicals used but also protects surrounding crops and non-target species from exposure, thereby reducing the risks associated with herbicide drift and contamination. By targeting only infested areas, drones help maintain ecological balance, promote biodiversity, and safeguard soil and water quality, making weed control more sustainable and effective [39].

4.2 Cost-Effectiveness
Drones can significantly reduce the costs associated with weed management by minimizing the need for manual labor and broad-spectrum herbicide applications [40]. While the initial investment in drone technology may be substantial, these costs are offset over time through savings on labor and chemicals [41]. Additionally, drones can rapidly cover extensive areas with precision, making weed management more efficient and less time-consuming, ultimately enhancing overall farm productivity [42].

4.3 Environmental Sustainability
Drones offer a precise method for applying herbicides and biological agents directly to weed-infested areas, significantly reducing chemical runoff and thereby protecting soil and water quality from contamination [43, 44]. This targeted application minimizes the exposure of non-target organisms, such as beneficial insects, soil microbes, and surrounding crops, preserving biodiversity and supporting more sustainable farming practices [45]. By focusing treatments only where they are needed, drones prevent the widespread environmental damage associated with traditional blanket spraying methods. Additionally, the use of drones in weed management eliminates the need for heavy machinery to enter the fields, which reduces soil compaction and erosion. This is particularly beneficial in maintaining soil structure and health, further enhancing the sustainability and resilience of agricultural systems [46].

4.4 Adaptability and Flexibility
Drones are incredibly versatile tools that can be employed across a wide range of agricultural settings, from small-scale farms to expansive commercial operations [47]. Their ability to maneuver over challenging terrains, such as uneven fields, slopes, and hard-to-reach areas, allows for effective weed management even in difficult environments. Drones can also operate under various weather conditions, offering farmers the flexibility to conduct weed monitoring and control activities as needed, regardless of seasonal changes or weather constraints [48]. Moreover, drones can be seamlessly integrated with other precision agriculture technologies, such as GPS, GIS, and data analytics platforms, enhancing their overall utility. This integration allows for more comprehensive data collection and analysis, improving decision-making processes and optimizing weed management strategies for greater efficiency and effectiveness [49].

5. Challenges and Limitations
Despite the numerous advantages, drone-based weed management also faces several challenges, including regulatory issues, technical limitations, weather dependency, and high initial costs.

5.1 Regulatory Issues
Drone use in agriculture is regulated by diverse laws that differ by country, imposing restrictions on flight paths, altitude, and proximity to populated areas [50, 51]. These regulations can constrain the operational flexibility of drones, often necessitating special permits or certifications for farmers [52]. Furthermore, concerns about privacy and safety such as potential data breaches or collisions pose additional challenges to widespread drone adoption. Addressing these regulatory and safety issues is crucial for maximizing the benefits of drone technology in agriculture [53].

5.2 Technical Limitations
The success of drone-based weed management is heavily influenced by the quality of the sensors and cameras onboard, as well as the system's capability to swiftly process and analyze substantial volumes of data [54, 55]. High-resolution imaging and advanced data processing are crucial for precise weed detection and mapping, but these features often elevate the cost and complexity of drone systems [56]. Additionally, limited battery life can restrict the operational range and duration of drones, posing challenges for their use in extensive agricultural fields [57].

5.3 Weather Dependency
Drone operations are highly dependent on weather conditions, with strong winds, rain, and fog potentially hindering flight performance and data accuracy [58].

Unfavorable weather conditions can delay weed management activities, affecting the timely application of herbicides or other control measures [59]. This weather dependency poses a challenge for the consistent and reliable use of drones in weed management [60].

5.4 High Initial Costs

The initial investment required for drone technology, including the cost of drones, sensors, software, and training, can be a barrier for many farmers, particularly in developing regions [61, 62]. While the long-term benefits and cost savings may outweigh the initial costs, the upfront expenditure can limit adoption, especially for small-scale farmers with limited resources [63].

6. Integration with Other Precision Agriculture Technologies

The integration of drones with other precision agriculture technologies, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), remote sensing, and machine learning, can enhance their effectiveness in weed management [64]. GIS and remote sensing provide spatial data and imagery that can be used in conjunction with drone data to improve weed detection and mapping accuracy [65]. Machine learning algorithms can analyze large datasets from drones to identify weed species, monitor growth patterns, and predict infestations, enabling more precise and proactive weed management strategies [66].

7. Future Research Directions

While drone-based weed management offers significant potential, several areas require further research to fully realize its benefits and encourage broader adoption. One key area is the development of cost-effective and user-friendly drone systems equipped with advanced sensors and data processing capabilities, making this technology accessible to a wider range of farmers, including those with limited technical expertise [67]. Research should also explore the integration of drones with autonomous ground vehicles and robotics, which could revolutionize weed management by enabling fully automated systems that combine aerial and ground-based interventions for comprehensive, efficient weed control [68]. Another critical area for future research is the use of drones in monitoring and managing herbicide-resistant weed populations. Drones can be pivotal in early detection and mapping of resistant weeds, allowing for timely and targeted management strategies that could mitigate the spread of resistance [69]. Moreover, developing robust frameworks for assessing the economic, environmental, and social impacts of drone-based weed management will be essential. These frameworks can guide farmers, stakeholders, and policymakers in making informed decisions, ensuring that the adoption of drone technology aligns with sustainability goals and contributes to more resilient agricultural systems [70].

8. Conclusion

Drone technology represents a significant advancement in precision agriculture, offering new opportunities for sustainable and effective weed management. By providing precise, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly weed control, drones have the potential to revolutionize agricultural practices and enhance crop productivity. However, to fully realize the benefits of drone-based weed management, challenges such as regulatory issues, technical limitations, and high initial costs must be addressed. Future research should focus on developing integrated, user-friendly systems and exploring innovative applications of drone technology in weed management. As drone technology continues to evolve, it is likely to become an integral part of modern agricultural practices, contributing to sustainable and efficient weed management strategies.

9. References

1. Oerke EC. Crop losses to pests. J Agric Sci. 2006;144(1):31-43.
2. Westwood JH, Charudattan R, Duke SO, *et al*. Weed management in 2050: Perspectives on the future of weed science. Weed Sci. 2018;66(3):275-85.
3. Heap I. Global perspective of herbicide-resistant weeds. Pest Manag Sci. 2014;70(9):1306-15.
4. Lutman PJ. Weeds. In: McAllister D, editor. The International Encyclopaedia of Agriculture. Oxford: Pergamon Press; c1983. p. 789-98.
5. Zhang C, Kovacs JM. The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: a review. Precis Agric. 2012;13(6):693-712.
6. Petersen J, Mortensen DA. Weed management practices for control of annual weeds in corn (*Zea mays*) and soybean (*Glycine max*). Weed Technol. 1994;8(2):207-16.
7. Swanton CJ, Shrestha A, Knezevic SZ, *et al*. Effects of tillage systems, row spacing, and weed management on corn (*Zea mays*) growth and yield. Weed Sci. 1999;47(6):502-8.
8. Lal R. Soil erosion and the global carbon budget. Environ Int. 2004;29(4):437-50.
9. Powles SB, Yu Q. Evolution in action: Plants resistant to herbicides. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2010;61:317-47.
10. Duke SO, Dayan FE, Rimando AM, *et al*. Biocontrol of weeds with natural products. Pest Manag Sci. 2002;58(8):761-4.
11. Grossmann K, Hutzler J, Tresch S, *et al*. On the mode of action of the new herbicide class, the auxin herbicides. Weed Sci. 2010;58(4):392-405.
12. Heap I. Herbicide resistant weeds in the United States. Weed Sci. 2000;48(4):356-64.
13. Tranel PJ, Wright TR. Resistance of weeds to ALS-inhibiting herbicides: What have we learned? Weed Sci. 2002;50(6):700-12.
14. Sheley RL, Petroff JK, Borman MM. Introduction to biology and ecology of invasive plants. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; c2008.
15. Anderson RC, Dhillion SS, Kelley TM. Aspects of the ecology of an invasive plant, garlic mustard (Alliaria petiolata), in Central Illinois. Restor Ecol. 1996;4(2):181-91.
16. Mulla DJ. Twenty-five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: Key advances and remaining knowledge gaps. Biosyst Eng. 2013;114(4):358-371.
17. Hunt ER Jr, Hively WD, Fujikawa SJ, *et al*. Acquisition of NIR-green-blue digital photographs from unmanned aircraft for crop monitoring. Remote Sens. 2010;2(1):290-305.
18. Ahsbahs T, Cavazos DR, Sanchez V, *et al*. Multispectral UAV imagery and machine learning for the detection and classification of weeds in carrots. Precis Agric. 2021;22(6):1594-1611.

19. Torres-Sánchez J, Peña JM, de Castro AI, *et al*. Multi-temporal mapping of the vegetation fraction in early-season wheat fields using images from UAV. Comput Electron Agric. 2014;103:104-13.
20. Xue J, Su B. Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: a review of developments and applications. J Sens. 2017;2017:1-17.
21. Zaman QU, Schumann AW, Hostler K, *et al*. Precision agriculture applications of aerial and ground-based sensors in citrus. Comput Electron Agric. 2020;167:105062.
22. McGlynn E, Thorp KR, Bronson KF, *et al*. Evaluation of drone and satellite imagery for mapping Palmer amaranth in cotton. Weed Technol. 2020;34(6):852-861.
23. Reyes M, Condori I, Perucci S, *et al*. UAV-based phenotyping of early vigor in quinoa for weed suppression. Plant Methods. 2020;16:1-11.
24. Rojas D, Meneguzzi CP, Pinto FA, *et al*. UAV-based detection and chemical control of weeds in sugarcane fields. Biosyst Eng. 2021;203:79-92.
25. France J, Garland KC, Godsey C, *et al*. Evaluation of UAV and ground-based spray application systems in wheat. J Appl Remote Sens. 2019;13(3):1-14.
26. Bagheri N, Baethgen WE, Moshiri H, *et al*. UAV hyperspectral imaging for precision agriculture and weed management. Agronomy. 2021;11(5):1-21.
27. Mahlein AK, Rumpf T, Welke P, *et al*. Development of spectral indices for detecting and identifying plant diseases. Remote Sens Environ. 2013;128:21-30.
28. López-Granados F. Weed detection for site-specific weed management: mapping and real-time approaches. Weed Res. 2011;51(1):1-11.
29. West JD, Kumar K, Thomas JT, *et al*. Unmanned aerial systems for precision weed management: current trends and future directions. Adv Agron. 2017;145:239-278.
30. Sishodia RP, Ray RL, Singh SK. Applications of remote sensing in precision agriculture: A review. Remote Sens. 2020;12(19):3136.
31. Swain KC, Thomson SJ, Jayasuriya HPW. Adoption of an unmanned helicopter for low-altitude remote sensing to estimate yield and total biomass of a rice crop. Trans ASABE. 2010;53(1):21-27.
32. Matese A, Di Gennaro SF. Technology in precision viticulture: A state of the art review. Int J Wine Res. 2015;7:69-81.
33. Filho RB, Bastiaans L, Teixeira de Melo Filho PA, *et al*. Smart technologies for sustainable agriculture: the case of a UAV sprayer in Brazil. J Clean Prod. 2017;167:774-85.
34. Drone regulations by country: updated list for 2024. UAV Coach; c2024.
35. Gómez-Candón D, De Castro AI, López-Granados F. Assessing the accuracy of mosaics from unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery for precision agriculture purposes in wheat. Precis Agric. 2014;15(1):44-56.
36. Puri V, Nayyar A, Raja L. Agriculture drones: a modern breakthrough in precision agriculture. J Stat Manag Syst. 2017;20(4):507-18.
37. Shi Y, Thomasson JA, Murray SC, *et al*. Unmanned aerial vehicles for high-throughput phenotyping and agronomic research. PLoS One. 2016;11(7).
38. Khasha R, Erke MA, Zande VDJC, *et al*. Small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS) for precision agriculture: Performance and operational challenges. Biosyst Eng. 2021;202:75-92.
39. Rembold F, Jiménez LA, Iacono M, *et al*. Crop and rangeland monitoring in eastern Africa using vegetation indices and field data. Int J Remote Sens. 2013;34(18):6425-6454.
40. Yuan H, Ge H, Liu S, *et al*. Aerial application of chemical herbicides using a small unmanned aerial vehicle for weed management. Crop Prot. 2018;112:102-109.
41. Zhang H, Yang L, Yao X, *et al*. Assessment of UAV-based multispectral imaging for mapping weed density and distribution in wheat fields. Remote Sens. 2021;13(6):1-20.
42. Lu B, Dao PD, Liu J, *et al*. Recent advances of hyperspectral imaging technology and applications in agriculture. Remote Sens. 2020;12(17):2659.
43. Palazzari C, Milani M, Bisaglia C, *et al*. Precision farming approach with drone remote sensing and ground sensors for site-specific nitrogen management in winter wheat. Agronomy. 2020;10(12):1955.
44. Basso B, Fiorentino C, Cammarano D, *et al*. An approach to precision weed management using UAV multispectral imagery. Ital J Agron. 2020;15(1):47-53.
45. McNeill S, Young S, Christy C, *et al*. A UAV-based multispectral sensor platform for precision agriculture: the case of cotton mapping. Int J Appl Earth Obs Geoinf. 2017;61:119-130.
46. Zhao Y, *et al*. Research progress of crop growth monitoring and yield estimation based on UAVs. J Integr Agric. 2019;18(4):833-845.
47. Burgos NR, Tranel PJ, Streibig JC, *et al*. Confirmation of resistance to PPO-inhibiting herbicides in populations of waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) and Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in the US. Weed Sci. 2020;68(1):37-44.
48. Benjamin LR, Phelps K. The development and growth of weeds in competition with cereals: the effect of time of emergence. Weed Res. 1989;29(4):207-213.
49. Franco D, Paradelo R, Raviña DM, *et al*. Metagenomic analysis of soil microbial communities: unraveling the effects of cultivation on soil biodiversity. Appl Soil Ecol. 2021;166:103973.
50. Zhang S, Xue L, Shao Y, *et al*. Application of hyperspectral technology in crop pest and disease detection. IEEE Access. 2020;8:102867-81.
51. Upadhyay D, Tiwari R, Chandra H. Prospects and challenges of using UAVs for agricultural applications in India. Adv Agron. 2019;155:93-123.
52. Santra P, Panigrahi N. Application of remote sensing and GIS in precision farming: the case of India. Remote Sens Appl Soc Environ. 2021;23:100555.
53. Kim S, Kim H, Kim HJ, *et al*. Effect of spectral reflectance and vegetation indices on detection of weedy species using UAVs. Remote Sens. 2021;13(9):1-17.
54. Grisso RD, Perumpral JV, Vaughan DH, *et al*. Precision farming: a comprehensive approach. J Plant Nutr. 2020;15(3):395-414.
55. Gianessi LP, Reigner NP. The importance of herbicides for natural resource conservation in agricultural crop production. Crop Prot. 2007;26(10):1549-1559.
56. Abid M, Scheffran J, Schneider UA, *et al*. Farmers' perceptions of climate change, observational evidence,

and local adaptation strategies in Punjab, Pakistan. Clim Change. 2017;145(3-4):319-335.
57. Song Y, Li L, He W, *et al*. Precision agriculture based on drone technology: Concepts and progress. J Sci Food Agric. 2021;101(5):1789-1797.
58. Shao J, Su B, Zhang W, *et al*. Monitoring of wheat powdery mildew using drone-based remote sensing. Remote Sens. 2019;11(14):1-13.
59. Mulla DJ. Twenty-five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: Key advances and remaining knowledge gaps. Biosyst Eng. 2013;114(4):358-371.
60. Hamdullahpur F, Wang K, Zhou X, *et al*. Evaluation of UAV and ground-based remote sensing systems for biomass estimation in wheat. Agric For Meteorol. 2021;307:108484.
61. Thompson NM, Dobbins CL, McClure KE, *et al*. Economic feasibility of precision weed management in corn production using unmanned aerial vehicles. Precis Agric. 2021;22(3):827-842.
62. Shi Y, Thomasson JA, Murray SC, *et al*. Unmanned aerial vehicles for high-throughput phenotyping and agronomic research. PLOS One. 2016;11(7).
63. Xue J, Su B. Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: a review of developments and applications. J Sens. 2017;2017:1-17.
64. Daughtry CST, Doraiswamy PC, Hunt ER Jr, *et al*. Remote sensing of crop residue cover and tillage practices. Soil Till Res. 2006;91(1-2):101-108.
65. Javadinasab A, Moshiri H, Bahrami M, *et al*. UAV-based remote sensing for precision agriculture: applications and challenges. Precis Agric. 2020;21(6):1290-1305.
66. Beckie HJ, Harker KN, Hall LM, *et al*. A decade of herbicide-resistant weeds in Canada. Can J Plant Sci. 2006;86(4):1049-62.
67. Cordeiro MC, Brito RD, Berni JAJ, *et al*. UAV thermal imaging for nitrogen status assessment in maize. Comput Electron Agric. 2021;181:105954.
68. Ishimaru T, Eto T, Tsuchiya M, *et al*. Weed detection from aerial images captured by a drone with a multispectral sensor using machine learning. Plant Prod Sci. 2021;24(4):506-516.
69. Noguchi N, Saito S. Development of an autonomous robot for precision agriculture: UAV-UGV cooperation system. J Terramechanics. 2021;93:61-70.
70. Mahlein AK. Plant disease detection by imaging sensors–parallels and specific demands for precision agriculture and plant phenotyping. Plant Dis. 2016;100(2):241-251.