

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; 8(8): 852-857
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 22-05-2024
 Accepted: 27-06-2024

Astha Verma
 M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Horticulture
 and Forestry, Jhalawar,
 Agriculture University, Kota,
 Rajasthan, India

Kavita Aravindakshan
 Associate Professor,
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Horticulture
 and Forestry, Jhalawar,
 Agriculture University, Kota,
 Rajasthan, India

Ajit Tippannavar
 M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Fruit Science,
 College of Horticulture and
 Forestry, Jhalawar,
 Agriculture University, Kota,
 Rajasthan, India

Pranecta Rathore
 Ph.D. Research Scholar,
 Department of Horticulture,
 College of Agriculture, Swami
 Keshwanand Rajasthan
 Agricultural University,
 Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Nisha Pandwala
 Ph.D. Research Scholar,
 Department of Horticulture,
 College of Agriculture, Swami
 Keshwanand Rajasthan
 Agricultural University,
 Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Nikita Kumari
 M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Silviculture and
 Agroforestry, College of
 Horticulture and Forestry,
 Jhalawar, Agriculture
 University, Kota, Rajasthan,
 India

Corresponding Author:
Astha Verma
 M.Sc. Research Scholar,
 Department of Vegetable
 Science, College of Horticulture
 and Forestry, Jhalawar,
 Agriculture University, Kota,
 Rajasthan, India

Impact of vermicompost and pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) based intercropping system on soil fertility and quality attributes of pea and garlic

Astha Verma, Kavita Aravindakshan, Ajit Tippannavar, Pranecta Rathore, Nisha Pandwala and Nikita Kumari

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i8k.1880>

Abstract

An experiment entitled “Impact of vermicompost on growth and production potential of pea-based intercropping system (*Pisum sativum* L.)” was conducted at the vegetable farm, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Jhalrapatan City, Jhalawar during rabi season 2022-23. The variety used in experiment was Pea- AP 1 (Azad Pea 1) and Garlic G282. The experiment consisted of fifteen treatment combinations having three levels each of vermicompost (0, 2.5, and 5 ton/ha) and five levels of different intercropping system (Sole Pea, Sole Garlic, Pea + Garlic 1:1, 2:1, 2:2) in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The interaction effect of Vermicompost and intercropping V₂I₁ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha + Sole Pea) system on pea was found significant on maximum total soluble solids (19.93) in pea, Ascorbic acid (26.21 mg /100 gm) and Crude protein (24.13%) as compared to other treatment, respectively. However, the interaction impact of vermicompost and intercropping system V₂I₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha + Sole Garlic) was found suitable for growth, yield, and quality attributes of garlic. The maximum TSS (35.97 °Brix), ascorbic acid (12.86 mg/100 g) and crude protein (8.44%) as compared to other treatment. The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on soil after harvest had non-significant effect on the soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, soil P and K after harvesting respectively. The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on soil after harvest increases significant affected the soil maximum N (341.44 kg ha⁻¹) with treatment V₂I₁ (Vermicompost @5ton/ha + Sole Pea) as compared to V₀I₂ (Vermicompost @0ton/ha+Sole Garlic), respectively.

Keywords: Crude protein, electrical conductivity, intercropping, organic carbon, vermicompost

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a member of the Legume family. This herbaceous annual plant can grow up to 20 cm in dwarf size and up to 1.25 meters in height. It is a crop that self-pollinates and produces pods, or edible fruit. Peas are a major crop that is cultivated all over the world.

As a member of the Alliaceae family, garlic (*Allium sativum* L) is one of the most aromatic herbaceous annual spices. With a distinct strong aroma, it is the second most extensively grown spice crop among allium crops after onion. Originating in central Asia, garlic was later brought to the Mediterranean region during prehistoric times (Thompson and Kelly, 1957) [1].

Vermicompost is an amazing natural fertilizer that contains growth regulators and hormones that boost plant output. It also makes a substantial contribution to the enhancement of soil structure and is a rich source of minerals, such as potassium, phosphate, and nitrogen that are essential for plant growth (Mona *et al.* 2011) [2]. The best way to stabilize crop production is by intercropping, particularly when it comes to vegetable production. Farmers use intercropping because it lowers the incidence of pests and diseases, helps enhance total crop production per unit area, and offers insurance against crop failure (Lyocks *et al.* 2013) [3].

Growing two or more crops concurrently on the same field for a duration long enough to encompass the vegetative stage is known as intercropping (Gomez and Gomez, 1983) [4]. Among the top 10 vegetable crops in the world, peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) are in the top 10. Peas are used in human diets all over the world and are high in the amino acids tryptophan

and lysin, as well as rich in carbs, vitamin A and C, calcium, phosphorus, and protein (21-25%) (Bhat *et al.* 2013) [5].

In India and Rajasthan, garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) and peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) are two of the most significant vegetable crops. They are grown as garlic bulbs, dry seeds, or pea green pods for both domestic and international markets. Small farmers are the ones who use intercropping systems the most since they maximize unit production and are widely used in third-world nations. Intercropping with legume crops is also a great way to reduce soil erosion and maintain crop productivity. The current study, "Impact of Vermicompost and Pea (*Pisum Sativum* L.) Based Intercropping System on Soil Fertility and Quality Attributes of Pea and Garlic" was conducted in light of the previously mentioned facts and in recognition of the significance of vermicompost and intercropping systems.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site

The field experiment was carried out at Vegetable farm of Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture & Forestry, Jhalrapatan City, Jhalawar. The district is situated between 23°45" and 24°52" North latitudes and 75°27" and 76°56". East longitudes at 131.14 m above mean sea level.

Experimental details

Treatments were arranged in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experiment was carried out in Total of 45 plots and area of each plot was 12 m² (3 m × 4 m). The experiment consisted of two factors and with 3 level of each factors Vermicompost 3 level (0, 2.5, 5 t/ha) and factors two Intercropping system 5 level (Sole Pea, Sole Garlic, Pea+ Garlic 1:1, 2:1, 2:2) with a total of 15 number of treatments. The spacing followed in the pea and garlic R×P (3 m × 4 m).

Method analysis

pH

Using a glass electrode pH metre and 1:2 soil water suspensions, the pH of the soil was measured. (Jackson, 1973) [6].

Electrical conductivity (dS m⁻¹)

Electrical conductivity of soil water suspensions (1:2.5) calculated using EC meter (Model Elico CL 180) as elaborated by Jackson (1973) [6].

Organic carbon (%)

The chromic acid wet oxidation method developed by Walkley and Black (1934) [7] serves as the foundation for the calculation of soil organic carbon.

$$\text{Organic carbon (\%)} = \frac{10(B - T) \times 0.003 \times 100}{B \times \text{Weight of soil (g)}}$$

Where,

B = Volume (ml) of ferrous ammonium sulphate solution required for blank titration.

T = Volume of ferrous ammonium sulphate solution needed for titration of soil sample.

Available Nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹)

Utilising the alkaline potassium permanganate method as recommended by Subbiah and Asija (1956) [8], available nitrogen was ascertained.

$$\text{Available N (kg/ha)} = R \times 31.36$$

Where,

R = Volume of 0.02 N H₂SO₄ required for titration

Available Phosphorus in soil (kg ha⁻¹)

Available phosphorus was determined with extraction by 0.5 M NaHCO₃ solution adjusted at pH 8.5 as suggested by Olsen *et al.* (1954) [9].

$$\text{Available P (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{R \times \text{Volume of extract} \times 2.24 \times 10^6}{\text{Volume of aliquot} \times \text{Weight of soil} \times 10^6}$$

Where,

$$R = \text{ppm P in the aliquot} = \frac{R \times 100}{5} \times \frac{2.24}{5}$$

(Obtained from standard curve) = (ppm P) R × 8.96 × 2.29

Available Potassium (kg ha⁻¹)

Available potassium was determined by extracting the soil by shaking with 1 N neutral ammonium acetate solution by flame photometer as suggested by Metson (1956) [10].

$$\text{Available K (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{R \times \text{Volume of extract} \times 2.24 \times 10^6}{\text{Weight of soil taken} \times 10^6}$$

Where,

R = ppm of K in the extract (obtained from the standard curve)

Total soluble solids (⁰Brix)

The total soluble solids (TSS) content was determined with the help of 'Zeiss' Hand Refractometer at the time of harvesting of Bulb.

Ascorbic acid content (mg/100 g)

Ascorbic acid determined by volumetric method by using 2, 6- dichlorophenol- indophenols dye (Ranganna, 1999) [11].

Crude protein content (%)

Estimation of nitrogen was done by colorimetric method as suggested by Snell and Snell (1949) [12] using the Spectronic-20 (MSLP UV-1200). The formula used for calculating the protein is as.

$$\text{Protein \%} = \text{Nitrogen \%} \times 6.25^*$$

Statistical Analysis

The appropriate standard error (S.E.m. ±) was calculated in each case and critical difference (CD) at 5 percent level of probability was operated to compare the treatment means, where the treatment effects were significant (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967) [13].

Results and Discussion

Effect of vermicompost on quality attributes of Pea

The result of present investigation reveals that total soluble solid (⁰Brix) in pea, Ascorbic acid (mg /100 gm), Crude protein (%) significantly increased with increasing levels of vermicompost as compared to control. The highest dose of vermicompost i.e., V₂ (Vermicompost @5ton/ha) recorded maximum TSS (19.08 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid (25.36 mg/100 g) and crude protein (23.35%) respectively, in pea pod as compared to lowest qualitative value that total soluble solids (17.32 ⁰Brix) in pea, Ascorbic acid (23.08 mg /100 gm) and

Crude protein (21.67%) in treatment V₂ (Vermicompost@0ton/ha). Increase in ascorbic acid might be due to the availability of nitrogen leading to balanced C: N ratio enhancing the vegetative growth resulting in high photosynthetic activity (Gayathri and Krishnaveni, 2015)^[14]. The vermicompost play a crucial role in protein synthesis by improving the availability of N and S through mineralization which helps in formation of sulphur containing amino acids and maximum total soluble solids may be due to attributed to greater movement and availability of essential nutrients that might have accelerated the breakdown of complex polysaccharides into simple sugars and directs their accumulation in developing heads (Mal *et al.* 2014)^[15].

Effect of Intercropping on quality attributes of Pea

The result of present investigation revealed that total soluble solid (⁰Brix) in pea, ascorbic acid (mg /100 g) and crude protein (%) significantly increased with increasing different intercropping system. The maximum qualitative attributes were recorded under treatment I₁ (sole Pea) i.e., TSS (18.63), ascorbic acid (24.72 mg/100 g) and crude protein (23.01%) as compared minimum qualitative attributes were recorded under treatment I₄ (Pea +Garlic 2:1) i.e., TSS (17.87 ⁰Brix), crude protein (22.10%) and ascorbic acid (23.98 mg/100 g). However, the treatment I₃ (Pea + Garlic 1:1) was found at par with I₁ (Sole Pea) under TSS. While the treatment (Pea + Garlic 1:1) and I₅ (Pea + Garlic 2:2) was found at par with I₁ (Sole Pea) under crude protein. The possible reason for significant higher value may be due to its reason that pea is a leguminous crop that reflected its benefits on garlic yield *via* absorption of more nitrogen from the soil by the pea nodules as compared to sole crop (Adhikary *et al.* 2015)^[16].

Interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on pea qualitative attributes

The maximum qualitative attributes were recorded under treatment V₂I₁ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha+ Sole Pea) i.e., TSS (19.93 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid (26.21 mg/100 g), crude protein (24.13%). The minimum qualitative attribute was recorded under treatment V₀I₁ (Vermicompost @0ton/ha+ Sole Pea) i.e., TSS (16.77 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid (22.29 mg/100 g), crude protein (21.30%), was found in treatment (Vermicompost @0ton/ha+ Sole Garlic). It might be due to varying competition between intercrops for light, moisture, nutrient and space with increasing in plant density more reduction in yield attributes of pea were found when it was intercropped with garlic (Patil and Padmani, 2007)^[17].

Effect of vermicompost on quality Attributes of Garlic

The result of present study clearly shows that the TSS, ascorbic acid, crude protein increased significantly due to application of different levels of vermicompost as compared to control.

In qualitative attributes the highest dose of vermicompost i.e. V₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha) recorded maximum TSS (34.89%), ascorbic acid (11.91 mg/100 g), crude protein (7.46%) as compared to minimum TSS (30.50%), ascorbic acid (10.77 mg/100 g), crude protein (5.99%) respectively, However, the highest dose (Vermicompost @5ton/ha) was found statistically superior as compared to control V₀ (vermicompost @0ton/ha). Application of vermicompost helped in vigorous vegetative growth and imparted deep

green colour to the foliage which favoured photo synthesis activity of the plants, so there was greater accumulation of carbohydrates in bulb and due to this, there was more synthesis of TSS content. (Surindra, 2009)^[18].

Effect of intercropping on quality attributes of Garlic

The maximum TSS (33.58 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid content in garlic (11.52 mg/100 g) and crude protein (7.08%) were recorded in treatment I₂ (Sole Garlic) as compared to minimum TSS (32.43 ⁰Brix) under treatment I₃, (Pea + Garlic 1:1) and minimum ascorbic acid content in garlic (11.05 mg/100 g) and crude protein (6.42%) were recorded in treatment I₅ (Pea + Garlic 2:2). However, the treatment I₄ (Pea+ Garlic 2:1) and I₅ (Pea + Garlic 2:2) was found at par with I₂ (Sole Garlic). In sole garlic the higher quality attributes was obtained due to increased availability of nutrients in the soil that might lead to synthesis and accumulation of more photosynthates (Sharma *et al.* 2009)^[19].

Interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on qualitative attributes of garlic

The maximum TSS (35.97 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid content in garlic (12.86 mg/100 g), crude protein (5.11%) were recorded in treatment V₂I₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha+Sole Garlic) as compared to minimum TSS (28.89 ⁰Brix), ascorbic acid content in garlic (9.48 mg/100 g) and crude protein (5.11%) were recorded in treatment V₀I₂ (Vermicompost@0ton/ha + Sole Garlic). However, the treatment V₁I₂ (Vermicompost@2.5ton/ha+ Sole Garlic), V₂I₃ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha + Pea + Garlic 1:1) and V₂I₄ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha + Pea + Garlic 2:1) was found at par with V₂I₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha + Pea + Garlic 2:2) under TSS. The higher content of qualitative attributes of sole garlic but highest at par was found with treatment V₂I₄ with all intercropping system might be due to the garlic crop was grow as intercrop in between two row of pea, as a result more nutrient were available to garlic as more amount of nitrogen was fixed by pea in both the rows (Choudhary and Jana, 2012)^[20].

Combined effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on soil attributes

Further, the combined effect of vermicompost and intercropping system was found significant with respect to soil attributes. The minimum soil pH (7.05) and electrical conductivity (ds m⁻¹) was found under treatment V₂ (Vermicompost @5ton/ha), maximum organic carbon (0.53%) was found in treatment V₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha). The maximum value of available nitrogen (337.37 kg/ha), phosphorus (26.60 kg/ha) and potassium (218.99 kg/ha) under treatment V₂ (Vermicompost@5ton/ha) as compared to minimum value of available nitrogen (335.06 kg/ha), phosphorus (22.84kg/ha), potassium (216.14 kg/ha) was found V₀ (vermicompost @0ton/ha). Further, the intercropping system effect on pH and electrical conductivity was found non significant, whereas sole crop I₁ (Sole Pea) recorded maximum available nitrogen (339.06 kg/ha), phosphorus (26.22 kg/ha) and potassium (221.18 kg/ha) and minimum value was found in treatment I₂ (Sole garlic) with available nitrogen (333.56 kg/ ha), phosphorus (22.67 kg/ ha) and potassium (213.39 kg/ ha). The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system was found

significant on soil attributes. The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system on pH, electrical conductivity (ds m⁻¹) and organic carbon was found non-significant. The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system with maximum available nitrogen (341.44 kg/ha) was obtained under treatment V₂I₁ (Vermicompost @5ton/ha+ Sole Pea), phosphorus, potassium found non-significant effect and minimum value was found in treatment V₀I₂ (Sole garlic) value of available nitrogen (331.38 kg/ ha). The production of NH₄⁺, CO₂ and organic acids during microbial metabolism in vermicompost may be contributed to the decrease in soil pH. The increase of the rates of vermicompost also reduced soil bulk density and particle density. The decrease in soil electrical conductivity value under V₂ (VC @ 5 t/ha) treatment could be attributed to vermicompost to soil and proton release thereby resulting in accumulation of organic anions such as malate, citrate and oxalate in plants. The increase in organic

carbon content may be attributed to addition of organic materials and better root growth (Sharma *et al.* 2005). The higher residual nitrogen content of soil in sole pea or (Pea + Garlic 1:1) plot is because pea being a legume enrich soil by fixing the atmospheric nitrogen changing it form an inorganic form to forms that are available for uptake by plants and the fixed nitrogen which perhaps became available on the sequential crops after the senescence of the legume and the decomposition of residues. The interaction effect of vermicompost and intercropping system with maximum available nitrogen (341.44 kg/ha) was obtained under treatment V₂I₁ (Vermicompost @5ton/ha+ Sole Pea) and phosphorus, potassium found non-significant effect and minimum value was found in treatment V₀I₂ (Sole garlic) value of available nitrogen (331.38 kg/ ha). It might be due to addition of organic matter through biomass of intercrops, root nodules and huge leaf fall decomposition in the system (Gopinath *et al.* 2011) ^[21].

Table 1: Effect of vermicompost and Pea based intercropping system on quality attributes

Treatment notation	Treatment combination	Pea			Garlic		
		TSS in pod(°Brix)	Ascorbic in pod acid (mg/100 g)	Crude in pod protein (%)	TSS in cloves (°Brix)	Ascorbic in cloves acid (mg/100 g)	Crude protein in cloves (%)
V ₀	(Vermicompost @0ton/ha)	17.32	23.08	21.67	30.60	10.77	5.99
V ₁	(Vermicompost @2.5ton/ha)	18.66	24.69	22.87	33.78	11.28	6.83
V ₂	(Vermicompost @5ton/ha)	19.08	25.36	23.35	34.89	11.91	7.46
Factor (Vermicompost)	SEm ±	0.06	0.00	0.13	0.24	0.09	0.05
	CD at 5%	0.18	0.02	0.39	0.73	0.27	0.15
I ₁	Sole Pea	18.63	24.72	23.01	-	-	-
I ₂	Sole Garlic	-	-	-	33.58	11.52	7.08
I ₃	Pea + Garlic (1:1)	18.52	24.40	22.77	32.43	11.21	6.74
I ₄	Pea + Garlic (2:1)	17.87	23.98	22.10	33.38	11.51	6.79
I ₅	Pea + Garlic (2:2)	18.39	24.40	22.64	32.83	11.05	6.42
Factor (Intercropping)	SEm ±	0.07	0.01	0.15	0.28	0.10	0.05
	CD at 5%	0.21	0.02	0.45	0.84	0.31	0.17
V ₀ I ₁	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Sole Pea	16.77	22.29	21.30	-	-	-
V ₀ I ₂	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Sole Garlic	-	-	-	28.89	9.48	5.11
V ₀ I ₃	Vermicompost @0t/ha + Pea + Garlic (1:1)	17.62	23.39	22.94	30.54	11.22	6.35
V ₀ I ₄	Vermicompost @0t/ha +Pea +Garlic (2:1)	17.34	23.02	21.64	31.81	11.44	6.39
V ₀ I ₅	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Pea + Garlic (2:2)	17.56	23.64	21.18	30.77	10.96	6.11
V ₁ I ₁	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha +Sole Pea	19.20	25.67	23.60	-	-	-
V ₁ I ₂	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha+ Sole Garlic	-	-	-	35.89	12.22	8.44
V ₁ I ₃	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha + Pea + Garlic (1:1)	18.74	24.40	22.97	31.80	11.02	7.23
V ₁ I ₄	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha +Pea +Garlic (2:1)	17.95	24.33	22.26	33.70	11.20	7.32
V ₁ I ₅	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha+ Pea + Garlic (2:2)	18.76	24.37	22.66	33.76	10.71	6.86
V ₂ I ₁	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Sole Pea	19.93	26.21	24.13	-	-	-
V ₂ I ₂	Vermicompost @5t/ha+ Sole Garlic	-	-	-	35.97	12.86	7.08
V ₂ I ₃	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (1:1)	19.19	25.43	23.43	34.97	11.39	6.74
V ₂ I ₄	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (2:1)	18.34	24.60	22.42	34.65	11.91	6.79
V ₂ I ₅	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (2:2)	18.87	25.20	23.45	33.98	11.49	6.42
Factor (Vx I)	SEm ±	0.12	0.01	0.26	0.49	0.18	0.10
	CD at 5%	0.36	0.05	0.78	1.46	0.55	0.30

Table 2: Effect of vermicompost and Pea based intercropping system on soil attributes

Treatment notation	Treatment combination	Soil pH after harvest	EC (dSm ⁻¹) after harvest	organic carbon (%) after harvest	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹) after harvest	Available P ₀₅ (kg ha ⁻¹) after harvest	Available K ₀ (kg ha ⁻¹) after harvest
V ₀	(Vermicompost @0ton/ha)	7.60	0.66	0.47	335.06	22.84	216.14
V ₁	(Vermicompost @2.5ton/ha)	7.19	0.62	0.51	337.09	24.20	217.33
V ₂	(Vermicompost @5ton/ha)	7.05	0.61	0.53	337.37	26.60	218.99
Factor (Vermicompost)	SEm ±	0.04	0.00	0.003	0.296	0.186	0.401
	CD at 5%	0.13	0.01	0.009	0.862	0.540	1.168
I ₁	Sole Pea	7.22	0.62	0.51	339.06	26.22	221.18
I ₂	Sole Garlic	7.37	0.64	0.49	333.56	22.67	213.39
I ₃	Pea + Garlic (1:1)	7.30	0.63	0.51	336.89	25.00	218.36
I ₄	Pea + Garlic (2:1)	7.22	0.63	0.51	336.18	23.95	216.34
I ₅	Pea + Garlic (2:2)	7.30	0.63	0.50	336.84	24.88	217.55
Factor (Intercropping)	SEm ±	0.05	0.00	0.004	0.382	0.240	0.518
	CD at 5%	N/S	N/S	0.012	1.113	0.698	1.508
V ₀ I ₁	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Sole Pea	7.54	0.65	0.48	336.27	24.21	219.35
V ₀ I ₂	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Sole Garlic	7.67	0.67	0.45	331.38	21.31	211.85
V ₀ I ₃	Vermicompost @0t/ha + Pea + Garlic (1:1)	7.65	0.67	0.47	336.29	23.25	217.37
V ₀ I ₄	Vermicompost @0t/ha +Pea +Garlic (2:1)	7.57	0.66	0.47	335.19	22.27	215.39
V ₀ I ₅	Vermicompost @0t/ha+ Pea + Garlic (2:2)	7.60	0.67	0.46	336.20	23.15	216.74
V ₁ I ₁	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha +Sole Pea	7.15	0.62	0.52	339.49	26.21	221.85
V ₁ I ₂	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha+ Sole Garlic	7.25	0.63	0.50	334.93	22.45	213.51
V ₁ I ₃	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha + Pea + Garlic (1:1)	7.20	0.62	0.51	336.84	24.56	217.78
V ₁ I ₄	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha +Pea +Garlic (2:1)	7.12	0.62	0.52	337.08	23.44	216.25
V ₁ I ₅	Vermicompost @2.5t/ha+ Pea + Garlic (2:2)	7.22	0.63	0.50	337.10	24.34	217.25
V ₂ I ₁	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Sole Pea	6.97	0.60	0.54	341.44	28.25	224.19
V ₂ I ₂	Vermicompost @5t/ha+ Sole Garlic	7.21	0.63	0.51	334.37	24.26	214.81
V ₂ I ₃	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (1:1)	7.05	0.61	0.54	337.55	27.21	219.92
V ₂ I ₄	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (2:1)	6.97	0.60	0.54	336.28	26.15	217.39
V ₂ I ₅	Vermicompost @5t/ha +Pea+ Garlic (2:2)	7.07	0.61	0.53	337.21	27.16	218.65
Factor (Vx I)	SEm ±	0.10	0.00	0.007	0.661	0.415	0.897
	CD at 5%	N/S	N/S	N/S	1.927	N/S	N/S

Conclusion

The experiment demonstrated that applying 5 ton/ha of vermicompost significantly enhanced the quality of both pea and garlic crops when used in the appropriate intercropping systems. For peas, the best results were achieved with Sole Pea (V₂I₁), showing higher total soluble solids, ascorbic acid, and crude protein. For garlic, the optimal conditions were found with Sole Garlic (V₂I₂), resulting in superior total soluble solids, ascorbic acid, and crude protein. Although soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, phosphorus, and potassium remained unaffected, soil nitrogen levels significantly increased under the V₂I₁ treatment.

References

- Thompson HC, Kelly WC. Vegetable crops. New York: Mc Grew Hill Book Co.; c1957. p. 368-370.
- Mona M, Asmaa RM, Desuki EM, Fatma RA. Yield and fruit quality of eggplant as affected by organic and mineral fertilizer application. Res J Agric Biol Sci. 2011;7(2):196-202.
- Lyocks SWJ, Tanimu J, Dauji LZ. Growth and yield parameters of ginger as influenced by varying populations of maize intercrop. J Agric Crop Res. 2013;1(2):24-29.
- Gomez AA, Gomez KA. Multiple cropping in the humid tropics of Asia. Ottawa; c1983. p. 32.
- Bhat TA, Gupta M, Ganai MA, Ahanger RA, Bhat HA. Yield, soil health and nutrient utilization of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) as affected by phosphorus and biofertilizers under subtropical conditions of Jammu. Int J Mod Plant Anim Sci. 2013;1(1):1-8.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.; c1973. p. 498.
- Walkey A, Black IA. An examination of degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934;37(1):29-38.
- Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr Sci. 1956;25(1):259-260.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Dep Agric Circ.; c1954. p. 939.
- Metson AJ. Methods of chemical analysis for soil survey samples. Dep Soil Sci.; c1956. p. 12.
- Ranganna S. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products. 2nd ed. India: Tata McGraw Hill Publ. Co.; c1999. p. 105.
- Snell PD, Snell GT. Colorimetric methods of analysis. 3rd ed. New York: Van Nostrand Co. Inc.; c1949.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. New Delhi: ICAR; c1967. p. 58-62.
- Gayathri K, Krishnaveni K. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on certain quality parameters of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. Arka Anamika. Adv Res J Crop Improv. 2015;6(2):116-118.
- Mal D, Chatterjee R, Nimbalkar KH. Effect of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. italica Plenck). Int J Bioresour Stress Manag. 2014;5(4):507-512.
- Adhikary S, Pandit MK, Koundinya AVV, Bairagi S, Das A. Examination of system productivity and profitability of baby corn-based vegetable intercropping systems. J Crop Weed. 2015;11(1):220-224.

17. Patil AB, Padmani DR. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). Int J Agric Sci. 2007;3(2):49-51.
18. Surindra S. Impact of vermicompost and composted farm yard manure on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) field crop. Int J Plant Prod. 2007;3(1):15-18.
19. Sharma RP, Raman KR, Singh AK. Fodder productivity and economics of pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) with legumes intercropping under various row proportions. Indian J Agron. 2009;54(3):301-305.
20. Choudhuri P, Jana JC. Effect of intercropping on yield and economics of cabbage. J Crop Weed. 2012;8(1):155-157.
21. Gopinath KA, Mina BL. Effect of organic manures on agronomic and economic performance of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and on soil properties. Indian J Agric Sci. 2011;81(3):236-239.