

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2024; 8(8): 28-32  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 25-05-2024  
 Accepted: 29-06-2024

**Srishti Singh Parihar**  
 Ph.D. Research Scholar,  
 Department of Vegetable  
 Science, College of Agriculture,  
 IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,  
 India

**Annu Verma**  
 Professor, Department of  
 Vegetable Science, College of  
 Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur,  
 Chhattisgarh, India

**SB Gupta**  
 Professor, Department of  
 Agricultural Microbiology,  
 College of Agriculture, IGKV,  
 Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Srishti Singh Parihar**  
 Ph.D. Research Scholar,  
 Department of Vegetable  
 Science, College of Agriculture,  
 IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,  
 India

## Response of foliar application of cow-urine and nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants on quality of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) cv. White crystal grown under naturally ventilated polyhouse condition

Srishti Singh Parihar, Annu Verma and SB Gupta

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i8a.1700>

### Abstract

The present investigation “Response of Foliar Application of Cow-urine and Nitrogen Fixing Bacterial Inoculants on Quality of Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) cv. White Crystal Grown under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Condition” was carried out in the poly-house at the Centre of Excellence on Protected Cultivation and Precision Farming (COEPCPF), IGKV, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh) during the academic years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 in *Rabi* season. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) in three replications with nine treatments. The results of the present study showed that the quality parameters such as chlorophyll content in leaves (60.26), nitrogen content in leaves (2.23%), vitamin C (60.02 mg /100 g),  $\beta$ - carotene content (13.02  $\mu$ g/100 g), vitamin A content (21.70  $\mu$ g/100 g), protein content (15.33%), nitrogen content (2.46 %), phosphorus content (0.26%), potassium content (3.38%), reducing sugar (2.10 %), non-reducing sugar (0.24 %), total sugar (2.35%) and total soluble solids (7.83%) in curd were found superior in treatment T<sub>9</sub> - Foliar application of foliar *Azotobacter* and foliar *Azospirillum* + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses.

**Keywords:** Cow-urine, nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants, foliar application, quality, poly-house and cauliflower

### Introduction

Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) belongs to the brassicaceae family and it has chromosome number  $2n = 18$ . Cauliflower is a cold-tolerant crop that grows best in a moist, cool climate. This crop originated in Cyprus in the Mediterranean region and was introduced in India from England in 1822 by Dr. Jemson (Swarup and Chatterjee, 1972) [18].

Cauliflower has high protein content and is exceptional for retaining vitamin C after cooking. When consumed uncooked, cauliflower is a good source of vitamins and minerals. In 100 g of cauliflower protein (2.60 g), calcium (33.0mg), carbohydrates (4.0 g), vitamin C (56.0 mg), vitamin K (16  $\mu$ g), folate (57.0  $\mu$ g), vitamin B6 (0.2 mg), and fiber (2.5 g) are all present (Kapusta-Duch *et al.*, 2019) [10].

In India, cauliflower is cultivated in an area of 473000 hectare with a production of 9283000 tonnes and productivity 19.62 T/ha (Anonymous, 2021-22) [1]. In Chhattisgarh it is cultivated in about 24260 hectare with a production of 484250 tonnes and productivity 19.96 T/ha (Anonymous, 2022-23) [2].

Polyhouse cultivation of vegetables offers distinct advantages of quality, productivity and favourable market prices to the growers. It allows precision farming and overcomes limitations of space and disadvantages of climate change. In these systems various factors of the environment are controlled and to minimize or eliminate insects and diseases problems so that plants can be grown pesticide free under covered conditions.

Bio fertilizers are eco-friendly and cheapest source of nutrients. Among the nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Azotobacter* is a bio-inoculants that works in a non-symbiotic relationship with non-leguminous crops to fix nitrogen in the environment. *Azotobacter* inoculation saves roughly 20 to 40 kg nitrogen per hectare (Ashokan *et al.*, 2000) [3]. The beneficial effects of *A. chroococcum* are attributed to production of plant growth hormones such as IAA and GA,

improved nutrient uptake and antagonistic effect on plant pathogens (Parmar and Dadarwal, 1997) [14]. It can also provide protection against drought and some soil-borne diseases.

*Azospirillum* biofertilizer is an associative symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria. One main property of *Azospirillum* relies on the synthesis of phytohormones and other compounds, including auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, abscisic acid, ethylene, and salicylic acid. Phytohormones increases root growth, resulting in improvements in uptake of moisture and nutrients. Some *Azospirillum* strains can solubilize inorganic phosphorus, making it more readily available to the plants and resulting in higher yields (Fukami *et al.*, 2018) [7].

Cow urine is a source to improve soil fertility, productivity, and the quality of vegetables. The use of cow urine corrects micronutrient deficiency and makes phytohormones available to plants (Ghosh *et al.*, 2018) [8]. Therefore, cow urine is an effective tool to correct nutritional deficiencies.

The nutrient content in cow urine, especially nitrogen, is much higher than other locally available manures. Cow urine contains 95% water, 2.5% urea and 2.5% minerals, 24

types of salts, hormones and 2.5% enzymes (Mandavgane & Kulkarni, 2020) [13]. It is rich source of macro & micro nutrients, disinfectant and prophylactic properties thus purify the atmosphere and improve soil fertility. To maintain long term soil health and productivity there is a need for nutrient management through cow urine and bio-fertilizers apart from costly chemical fertilizers for better yield of the crop (Dikshit, 2015) [6].

### Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during *Rabi* season of 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 at the Centre of Excellence on Protected Cultivation and Precision Farming (COEPCPF), IGKV, Raipur, (C. G.). The soil of experimental field is clayey in texture, with soil pH 7.12, low in availability of nitrogen (220.5 kg/ha), medium in Phosphorus (10.72 kg/ha) and high in potassium status (315 kg/ha). The experiment was conducted in a CRD with 09 treatments and replicated thrice. The net plot size was 3.24 m<sup>2</sup> (2.4 m × 1.35 m). Cauliflower seed rate is 380 g/ha with a spacing of 60 cm (Row to Row) X45 cm (Plant to Plant).

**Table 1:** Quantity of solution applied as per treatments in per hectare

Treatment		First foliar spray (400 Litre solution) – 15 DAT Second foliar spray (400 Litre solution)- 30 DAT Third foliar spray (400 Litre solution)- 45 DAT
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	-
T <sub>2</sub>	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i>	400 ml <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml <i>Azospirillum</i> + 399 litre water
T <sub>3</sub>	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i>	400 ml foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + 399 litre water + 80 gm CMC
T <sub>4</sub>	Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine	40 litre cow-urine + 360 litre water
T <sub>5</sub>	Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	40 litre cow-urine + 400 gm molasses + 360 litre water
T <sub>6</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine	400 ml <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml <i>Azospirillum</i> + 40 litre cow-urine + 360 litre water
T <sub>7</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine	400 ml foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + 80 gm CMC + 40 litre cow-urine + 360 litre water
T <sub>8</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	400 ml <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml <i>Azospirillum</i> + 40 litre cow-urine + 400 gm molasses + 360 litre water.
T <sub>9</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + Foliar Spray of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	400 ml foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> +400 ml foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + 80 gm CMC + 40 litre cow-urine + 400 gm molasses + 360 litre water.

## Results and Discussion

### Quality attributes

The data on quality attributes were presented in Table 1(a, b, c).

### Chlorophyll content in leaves (SPAD Value)

The statistical data revealed that the application of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine was significantly increased the chlorophyll content in leaves. In the case of pooled mean, the maximum chlorophyll content in leaves was measured under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (60.26), which was statistically superior as compared to all treatments but statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (59.89), T<sub>7</sub> (59.66), T<sub>6</sub> (59.25) and T<sub>5</sub> (58.78). However, the minimum chlorophyll content in leaves was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (53.69).

The maximum chlorophyll content may be due to the increase in nitrogen content in the plant by nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants because nitrogen is a major component of chlorophyll. A similar finding has been reported by Chandra *et al.* (2022) [4] in broccoli, Kaur *et al.* (2020) [11] in cauliflower and Chaudhary *et al.* (2017) [5] in knolkhol.

### Nitrogen content in leaves (%)

The nitrogen content in leaves was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Pooled analysis of the data showed highest nitrogen content in leaves was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (2.23 %), which was statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (2.21%) and T<sub>7</sub> (2.18%), where as it was found significantly differ with rest of the other treatment. However, the nitrogen content in leaves was observed lowest in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (2.08 %) and this was statistically inferior to all other treatments.

The maximum value of N content might be due to increased supply of N through Cow-urine and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*. A similar finding has been reported by Chaudhary *et al.* (2017) [5] in knolkhol, Zahraa *et al.* (2020) [20] in cauliflower

### Total soluble solid (%)

In the case of pooled mean, the maximum TSS of curd was measured under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (7.83%), which was statistically superior as compared to all treatments but statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (7.70%) and T<sub>7</sub>

7.53%). However, the minimum TSS of curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (7.17%).

This enhancement in TSS content by bio-fertilizers may be due to higher mobility and availability of essential nutrients that might have hastened the breakdown of complex polysaccharides into simple sugars and destined their accumulation in developing curds. These findings are in resemblance Shivran *et al.* (2017)<sup>[16]</sup> in broccoli and Kaur *et al.* (2020)<sup>[11]</sup> in cauliflower

#### Sugar content in curd (%)

Non-significant differences were exhibited among different treatments with respect to the total sugar, reducing sugar and non reducing sugar in curd. Pooled analysis of the data showed maximum total sugar, reducing sugar and non reducing sugar in the curd was recorded with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (2.35%, 2.10 %, and 0.24 %, respectively) followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (2.33%, 2.09 % and 0.24 %, respectively), while minimum total sugar, reducing sugar and non reducing sugar in the curd was measured under control treatment T<sub>1</sub> (2.17%, 1.96 % and 0.22 %, respectively).

#### β- Carotene content (μg/100 g)

The β- carotene content (μg/100 g) in curd was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Pooled analysis of the data showed maximum β- carotene content (μg/100 g) in curd was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (13.02 μg), which was statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (12.84 μg), T<sub>7</sub> (12.70 μg) and T<sub>6</sub> (12.60 μg), where as it was found significantly differ with rest of the other treatment. However, the β- carotene content (μg/100 g) in curd was observed lowest in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (12.04 μg) and this was statistically inferior to all other treatments.

#### Vitamin A (μg/100 g) in curd

The statistical data revealed that the significant difference exhibited among the treatments with respect to the vitamin A content (μg/100 g) in curd. In case of pooled data mean,

the maximum vitamin A content (μg/100 g) in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (21.70 μg), which was statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (21.40 μg), T<sub>7</sub> (21.16 μg) and T<sub>6</sub> (21.00 μg), where as it was found significantly differ with rest of the other treatment. However, the vitamin A content (μg/100 g) in curd was observed lowest in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (20.06 μg) and this was statistically inferior.

Talat *et al.* (2014)<sup>[19]</sup> reported that use of bio-fertilizers increases vitamin A content in cole crop, which may be attributed to better mobilization and supply of available phosphorus and nitrogen; as it is associated with several vital functions, such as the proper distribution of sugar and starch and its consumption, better photosynthesis activity and root growth is achieved. A similar finding was reported by Kachari and Korla (2012)<sup>[9]</sup> in cauliflower. The higher availability and uptake of different essential micro-nutrients may be one of the reasons for improved vitamin A content in sprouting broccoli (Kumar *et al.*, 2017)<sup>[12]</sup>.

#### Vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd

The statistical data revealed that the application of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine was significantly increased the vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd. Pooled analysis of the data showed maximum vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (60.02 mg), which was statistically superior as compared to all treatments but statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (59.53 mg), T<sub>7</sub> (59.12 mg), T<sub>6</sub> (58.78 mg) and T<sub>5</sub> (58.38 mg). However, the minimum vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (54.55 mg).

More vitamin C content in this treatment combination might be due to the biosynthesis of auxin and gibberellins by bacteria. Further, the gibberellins could either augment the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid or block oxidation of synthesized ascorbic acid content. A similar finding has been reported by Sindhu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[17]</sup> in sprouting broccoli, Kachari and Korla 2012<sup>[9]</sup> and Shivran *et al.* (2017)<sup>[16]</sup> in cauliflower.

**Table 1(a):** Effect of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine on quality parameters of cauliflower

Treatments	Chlorophyll content in leaves (SPAD Value)			Nitrogen content in leaves (%)			pH			TSS (%)			Total sugar (%)					
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled			
T <sub>1</sub>	Control			54.81	52.57	53.69	2.10	2.07	2.08	6.27	6.29	6.28	7.20	7.13	7.17	2.19	2.16	2.17
T <sub>2</sub>	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i>			57.19	55.73	56.46	2.12	2.10	2.11	6.38	6.34	6.36	7.23	7.20	7.22	2.23	2.21	2.22
T <sub>3</sub>	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i>			58.81	58.03	58.42	2.13	2.11	2.12	6.43	6.38	6.40	7.27	7.27	7.27	2.24	2.22	2.23
T <sub>4</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine			56.60	54.33	55.47	2.15	2.13	2.14	6.33	6.31	6.32	7.30	7.33	7.32	2.21	2.19	2.20
T <sub>5</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses			59.16	58.41	58.78	2.16	2.14	2.15	6.47	6.41	6.44	7.40	7.33	7.37	2.27	2.26	2.27
T <sub>6</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> + T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine			59.83	58.67	59.25	2.16	2.15	2.15	6.50	6.48	6.49	7.47	7.43	7.45	2.28	2.29	2.28
T <sub>7</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine			60.00	59.33	59.66	2.19	2.17	2.18	6.52	6.51	6.51	7.60	7.47	7.53	2.31	2.30	2.31
T <sub>8</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> + T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses			60.37	59.41	59.89	2.21	2.20	2.21	6.56	6.55	6.55	7.73	7.67	7.70	2.34	2.32	2.33
T <sub>9</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses			60.83	59.70	60.26	2.23	2.22	2.23	6.58	6.56	6.57	7.87	7.80	7.83	2.35	2.34	2.35
S.Em (±)				1.11	1.17	1.11	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.03
CD (5%)				3.20	3.48	3.20	0.07	0.07	0.07	NS	NS	NS	0.36	0.38	0.36	NS	NS	NS

**Table 1(b):** Effect of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine on quality parameters of cauliflower

Treatments		Reducing sugar (%)			Non reducing sugar (%)			β- Carotene content in curd (µg/100 g)			Vitamin A (µg/100 g) in curd			Vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd		
		2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	1.97	1.95	1.96	0.22	0.21	0.22	12.07	12.01	12.04	20.11	20.02	20.06	54.92	54.18	54.55
T <sub>2</sub>	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.99	1.97	1.98	0.24	0.23	0.24	12.30	12.28	12.29	20.49	20.46	20.48	57.67	55.90	56.78
T <sub>3</sub>	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i>	2.02	2.00	2.01	0.22	0.21	0.22	12.41	12.38	12.39	20.68	20.63	20.65	58.17	56.83	57.50
T <sub>4</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine	1.99	1.97	1.98	0.22	0.22	0.22	12.17	12.20	12.18	20.28	20.33	20.31	56.33	55.33	55.83
T <sub>5</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	2.03	2.05	2.04	0.23	0.22	0.22	12.52	12.44	12.48	20.87	20.74	20.80	59.00	57.75	58.38
T <sub>6</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine	2.04	2.07	2.05	0.24	0.22	0.23	12.62	12.59	12.60	21.03	20.98	21.00	59.23	58.33	58.78
T <sub>7</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine	2.06	2.07	2.06	0.25	0.24	0.25	12.71	12.69	12.70	21.18	21.14	21.16	59.40	58.83	59.12
T <sub>8</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	2.08	2.09	2.09	0.25	0.23	0.24	12.86	12.82	12.84	21.43	21.36	21.40	59.73	59.33	59.53
T <sub>9</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	2.10	2.10	2.10	0.26	0.24	0.24	13.07	12.97	13.02	21.78	21.61	21.70	60.07	59.97	60.02
S.Em (±)		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.008	0.009	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.29	0.26	0.27	0.82	0.82	0.82
CD (5%)		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.51	0.47	0.48	0.86	0.79	0.80	2.46	2.45	2.37

**Table 1(c):** Effect of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine on quality parameters of cauliflower

Treatments		Protein content (%) in curd			Nitrogen content (%) in curd			Phosphorus content (%) in curd			Potassium content (%) in curd		
		2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	13.98	13.92	13.95	2.24	2.23	2.23	0.21	0.20	0.21	3.09	3.05	3.07
T <sub>2</sub>	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i>	14.06	13.98	14.02	2.25	2.24	2.24	0.22	0.21	0.22	3.19	3.17	3.18
T <sub>3</sub>	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i>	14.27	14.33	14.30	2.28	2.29	2.29	0.22	0.23	0.23	3.21	3.20	3.20
T <sub>4</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine	14.10	14.04	14.07	2.26	2.25	2.25	0.23	0.24	0.23	3.24	3.23	3.24
T <sub>5</sub>	FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	14.67	14.58	14.63	2.35	2.33	2.34	0.24	0.24	0.24	3.27	3.25	3.26
T <sub>6</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine	14.92	14.75	14.83	2.39	2.36	2.37	0.25	0.25	0.25	3.29	3.28	3.28
T <sub>7</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>4</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine	15.08	15.00	15.04	2.41	2.40	2.41	0.25	0.25	0.25	3.30	3.31	3.31
T <sub>8</sub> (T <sub>2</sub> +T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of <i>Azotobacter</i> and <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	15.29	15.21	15.25	2.45	2.43	2.44	0.26	0.25	0.25	3.34	3.33	3.34
T <sub>9</sub> (T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>5</sub> )	FS of foliar <i>Azotobacter</i> and foliar <i>Azospirillum</i> + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses	15.35	15.33	15.34	2.46	2.45	2.46	0.26	0.26	0.26	3.39	3.37	3.38
S.Em (±)		0.33	0.31	0.32	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.05	0.06	0.05
CD (5%)		0.98	0.92	0.92	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.18	0.16

**Protein content (%)**

Significant differences were exhibited among different treatments with respect to the protein content in curd. In the case of pooled mean, the maximum protein content in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (15.34 %), which was statistically superior as compared to all treatments but statistically *at par* with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (15.25 %), T<sub>7</sub> (15.04 %), T<sub>6</sub> (14.83 %) and T<sub>5</sub> (14.63 %). However, the minimum protein content in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (13.95 %).

Higher protein is due to the improved nutritional environment in the phyllosphere as well as faster utilization of nutrients by the plant system. A similar finding has been reported by Sable and Bhamare (2007)<sup>[15]</sup> in cauliflower.

**NPK content (%) in curd**

The statistical data revealed that the application of nitrogen fixing bacterial inoculants and cow-urine was significantly increased the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in curd. In the case of pooled mean, the maximum nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in curd was recorded under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (2.46 %, 0.26 % and 3.38 %, respectively) followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (2.44 %, 0.25 % and 3.34 %, respectively). However, the minimum nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in curd were recorded

under treatment T<sub>1</sub> (2.23 %, 0.21 % and 3.07 %, respectively).

**Conclusion**

Based on the present study it can be concluded that the foliar application of foliar *Azotobacter* and foliar *Azospirillum* + FS of 10% Cow-urine + 0.1 % Molasses showed superior performance over other treatments recording significantly higher values for all the quality parameters such as chlorophyll content in leaves, nitrogen content (%) in leaves, total soluble solids (%), nitrogen content (%), phosphorus content (%) and potassium content (%) in curd, protein content (%) in curd, vitamin C content (mg/100 g) in curd, β- carotene content (µg/100 g) and vitamin A (µg/100 g) in curd.

**References**

1. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board; c2022.
2. Anonymous. Director Horticulture and Farm Forestry, Government of Chhattisgarh; c2023.
3. Asokan R, Mohandas S, Anand Lalitha. Biofertilizers and biopesticides for horticultural crops. Indian Horticulture. 2000;2:44-52.



4. Chandra G, Dash D, Virendra. Effect of foliar spray of bio-inoculants and ammonium molybdate on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica). *Pharma Innov.* 2022;11(9):2879-2882.
5. Chaudhary M, Jat RK, Chand P, Chaudhary R. Effect of biofertilizers on growth, yield, and quality of knol khol (*Brassica caulorapa* L.). *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2017;6(6):2234-2237.
6. Dikshit A. Effect of inorganic fertilizers, biofertilizers and organics on growth, yield and economics of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. N-53. *J Plant Dev Sci.* 2015;7(4):351-354.
7. Fukami J, Cerezini P, Hungria M. Azospirillum: benefits that go far beyond biological nitrogen fixation. *AMB Express.* 2018;8:73. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0608-1>
8. Ghosh T, Biswas MK, Guin C. Efficacy of cow urine in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) production as plant growth promoter and antifungal agent. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2018;7(3):485-493.
9. Kachari M, Korla BN. Studies on influence of bio-fertilizers on quality economics of cauliflower cv. PSB K-1 production. *Indian J Hort.* 2012;69(2):215-220.
10. Kapusta-Duch J, Szeląg-Sikora A, Sikora J, Niemiec M, Gródek-Szostak Z, Kuboń M, et al. Health-promoting properties of fresh and processed purple cauliflower. *Sustainability*; c2019;11(15). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154008>
11. Kaur P, Singh H, Kaur R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L.) and soil nutrient status. *Int J Chem Stud.* 2020;8(4):3196-200.
12. Kumar G, Biradar MS. Integrated nutrient management studies for protected cultivation of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica). *Int J Chem Stud.* 2017;5(4):225-227.
13. Mandavgane SA, Kulkarni BD. Valorization of cow urine and dung: A model biorefinery. *Waste Biomass Valor.* 2020;11:1191-1204. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0406-7>
14. Parmar N, Dadarwal KR. Rhizobacteria from the rhizosphere and rhizoplane of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Indian J Microbiol.* 1997;37:205-210.
15. Sable PB, Bhamare VK. Effect of bio-fertilizers (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) alone and in combination with reduced levels of nitrogen on quality of cauliflower cv. Snowball-16. *Asian J Hort.* 2007;2:215-217.
16. Shivran BC, Meena ML, Ola AL, Chaudhary GR, Meena JK, Atal MK. Impact of biofertilizers and zinc on biochemical parameters of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica) under Lucknow conditions. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2017;6(6):2065-2067.
17. Sindhu V, Chatterjee R, Kumar S, Ramesh E. Performance of enriched organic manures on head quality, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica). *Plant Cell Biotech Mol Biol.* 2024;25(5-6):12-18.
18. Swarup V, Chatterjee SS. Origin and genetic improvement of Indian cauliflower. *Econ Bot.* 1972;26:381-393. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860710>
19. Talat MA, Tahir A, Iqbal HG, Bangroo SA, Shabir U, Rehman, Fozia. Effect of nitrogen management on quality parameters of cabbage under temperate conditions. *J Prog Agric.* 2014;5(1):69.
20. Zahraa E, Abd AL-Hseen, Ali IM. Effect of biofertilizer and organic extracts in two hybrids of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis). *Int J Agric Stat Sci.* 2020;16:1651. Available from: <https://connectjournals.com/03899.2020.16.1651>