

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; SP-8(2): 47-50
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 02-11-2023
 Accepted: 03-12-2023

Bhupendra Kumar
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Shashi Bhushan Kumar
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

BK Agarwal
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Asha Kumari Sinha
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

DK Shahi
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Corresponding Author:
Shashi Bhushan Kumar
 Department of Soil Science,
 Birsa Agricultural University,
 Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Effect of *Salvinia molesta* on soil fertility and yield of soybean in acid alfisol soil

Bhupendra Kumar, Shashi Bhushan Kumar, BK Agarwal, Asha Kumari Sinha and DK Shahi

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i2Sa.524>

Abstract

An experiment was conducted in the research field of the department of Soil Science, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, during 2019 with the aim of solving the pressure on fertilizer and global environmental issues. There were nine treatments during the *Rabi* season as T₁: RDF, T₂: 50%RDF, T₃: *Salvinia*@1t/ha, T₄: *Salvinia*@2t/ha, T₅: *Salvinia*@4t/ha, T₆: *Salvinia*@1t/ha + 50% RDF, T₇: *Salvinia*@2t/ha + 50%RDF, T₈: *Salvinia*@4t/ha + 50% RDF, T₉: Absolute control. Whereas, during *Kharif* season, *Salvinia* was not incorporated in soybean crop and only fertilizer treatments were applied. As per the observation of the data it was observed that, the treatment T₁: RDF yielded maximum grain but remained at par to the treatments T₇ and T₈. Thus it has been proved that T₇ and T₈ were equally beneficial to the RDF in producing higher grain in acid Alfisol soil. Available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were found significantly higher in the treatment where *Salvinia*@4t/ha + 50% RDF was applied (T₈) and the values were 235.72 kg/ha, 39.75 kg/ha and 253.12 kg/ha respectively. Soil organic carbon content was also found maximum under the treatment T₈: *Salvinia*@4t/ha + 50% RDF compared to the other treatments and was significant over other treatments (T₁ & T₂).

Keywords: *Salvinia*, *Salvinia molesta*, soil fertility, soybean and organic carbon

Introduction

Whole world is worried about the problems of increasing population and its food requirement. These problems are either due to the soil degradation by continuous and imbalanced fertilization in the soil, without organic manure fertilization in soil or improper management. It might also be due to the physical problem and loss in biodiversity. Soil, water and air pollution is common issues of the modern fertility management technique.

Increasing flux of the global carbon pool year by year may increase the growth rate of aquatic weeds abruptly like giant *Salvinia* (*Salvinia molesta*) and its management without any harm is essential. However, fast growing habit is its own character and so, it is already a problem in some countries. Use in soil fertility management may be one of the fruitful way of recycling of *Salvinia*.

It is very efficient in utilizing dissolved nutrient from the water sources therefore, the eroded nutrient which has no way to reutilize into the soil again may possibly be recycled by recycling of *Salvinia*. It may also contribute to the carbon sequestration and global warming may solve the environmental and water pollution Dissanayaka, *et al.* (2023)^[2].

Another future problem may be seen as imbalance in the supply and demand of the chemical fertilizer as well as quality organic manure.

Piotrowska and Wilczewski (2012)^[8] reported that use of *Tithonia* green manure reduced the bulk density of soil and improved the root length. Opala (2020)^[9] and Hafifah *et al.* (2016)^[10] also reported the similar findings of soil quality on vegetable crop.

Salvinia molesta was used to prepare vermicompost by Hussain *et al* (2018)^[4]. These vermicompost was utilized to observe the germination and seed growth in soil. He found that germination per cent was increased up to 98% when these vermicompost were used.

Salvinia is an aquatic weed normally found in the ponds, ditches and in other water bodies which create problem of oxygen demand of water body. It adversely effect on the population of water living organism and creatures. It also suppresses other valuable aquatic plants and recreation activities.

Thus, current study was formulated to overcome these problems and utilize the *Salvinia* to increase soil fertility and hence to improve crop productivity to feed the increasing world population in a holistic way.

Therefore, searching alternative natural resource as well as recyclable source of nutrient for crop is very essential.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during 2019 in *Kharif* season in the research field of the department of Soil Science, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand. Soil of the field is a sandy clay loam in texture. Average annual rainfall of the region is 1400 cm whereas, the rain fall during the current year was 791 cm which was drought year and during the crop season rainfall was 599.8 cm.

Initial soil properties (0-15 cm) of the experimental soil was analyzed by the standard procedures. Initial soil pH was 5.6 (1:2.5 soil and water suspension), organic carbon was 0.29%, available Nitrogen was 179.0 kg ha⁻¹, available P₂O₅ 32 kg ha⁻¹ and available K₂O 240 kg ha⁻¹. The treatment consisted of T₁: RDF, T₂: 50%RDF, T₃: *Salvinia*@1t/ha, T₄: *Salvinia*@2t/ha, T₅: *Salvinia*@4t/ha, T₆: *Salvinia*@1t/ha + 50% RDF, T₇: *Salvinia*@2t/ha + 50% RDF, T₈: *Salvinia*@4t/ha + 50% RDF and T₉: Absolute control, during the previous *Rabi* season. Whereas, during soybean crop (*kharif*) the treatment consisted of T₁: RDF, T₂: 50% RDF, T₃: No inputs, T₄: No inputs, T₅: No inputs, T₆: 50% RDF, T₇: 50%RDF, T₈: 50% RDF and T₉: Absolute control. Recommended dose of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O for soybean was 30, 60 and 40 kg ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with 3 replications. Soybean was the test crop (*Birsa safeda* Soybean-2) at a row spacing of 45 cm and between plant 15 cm. Full doses of N, P and K fertilizers were added at the time of sowing as per the treatments. The sources of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were urea, single super phosphate and mutate of potash respectively. Soil samples were collected before sowing and after harvest of soybean and were analyzed for pH (1:2.5 soil water suspension), organic carbon by Walkley and Black method (1934)^[6], available N by Subbiah and Asiza method (1956),

available P₂O₅ by Bray and Kurtz (1945)^[1] method and available K₂O by flame photometer as described by (Jackson, 1973)^[3]. Other parameters were analyzed by the use of standard methods followed by wet digestion method. The N, P and K content of the *Salvinia molesta* was analyzed by the standard methods and it was about 1.7, 0.8 and 1.8 per cent on dry weight basis. *Salvinia* was incorporated in to the soil at the time of land preparation for *Rabi* crop by the help of rotavator and during soybean (*kharif*) no *Salvinia* was incorporated, only fertilizer treatments were applied as mentioned in detail above. Thus residual effect of *Salvinia* was studied in *Kharif* season.

Results and Discussions

Effect of *Salvinia* on Grain and straw yield of Soybean

The data presented in Table 1 showing the residual effect of the *Salvinia* applied during the previous crop-season. During the *Rabi* crop (soybean) there were three sets of treatments (T₃, T₄, T₅) which were treated without *Salvinia* and without any NPK inputs. However, other treatments (T₂, T₆, T₇ and T₈) were treated with 50% NPK of recommended dose without *Salvinia*. Result showed that highest increase of grain yield of soybean was observed 38.7 per cent over control in the treatment T₈ (*Salvinia*@4t/ha + 50% RDF) whereas lowest increment 3.3 per cent was observed over control in treatment T₃ (*Salvinia*@1t/ha). In treatment T₇, *Salvinia* was applied only 2 t/ha whereas in treatment T₈, *Salvinia* dose was doubled. But grain yield was increased only 0.7 per cent more over control compared to T₇ in treatment T₈. Thus, it may be concluded that *Salvinia* application at the rate of 2 t/ha might be more remunerative. Straw yield data of T₇ and T₈ revealed that more increment in straw was found in the treatment T₈ if *Salvinia* dose increased as compared to grain yield. It means increasing dose of *Salvinia* beyond 2 t/ha was resulted in the increment of straw and not in the grain yield. Grain and straw yield of T₂ and T₃ were found at par which resulted that 1 t/ha *Salvinia* was shown the same yield as of 50% RDF. It resulted that 1 t/ha *salvia* might be replaced for 50% RDF dose of inorganic fertilizer.

Table 1: Effect of *Salvinia* incorporation as green biomass on Soybean yield (q/ha)

Treatments		Grain yield	Straw yield	Increase over control (%)	
Previous Crop	Soybean			Grain	Straw
T ₁ :- RDF	T ₁ :- RDF	22.4	32.7	34.7	53.1
T ₂ :- 50% RDF	T ₂ :- 50% RDF	17.4	26.3	4.7	23.4
T ₃ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha	T ₃ :- No inputs	17.2	26.2	3.3	22.7
T ₄ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha	T ₄ :- No inputs	20.0	31.0	20.0	45.3
T ₅ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4 t/ha	T ₅ :- No inputs	20.8	31.3	25.0	46.5
T ₆ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₆ :- 50% RDF	21.5	30.6	29.0	43.4
T ₇ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₇ :-50% RDF	23.0	33.5	38.0	57.0
T ₈ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4t/ha+50%RDF	T ₈ :- 50% RDF	23.1	36.0	38.7	68.8
T ₉ :- Control	T ₉ :- Control	16.7	21.3		
CD		4.9	3.7		
CV		9.2	10.5		

Nutrient uptake by soybean

Application of *Salvinia* in soybean crop revealed that highest uptake of nitrogen (83.2 kg/ha), phosphorus (6.2 kg/ha) and potash (17.7 kg/ha) were observed in soybean grain in treatment T₈ where 4t/ha *Salvinia* was applied. Total nitrogen uptake (straw and grain) was observed more compared to other crops and soil nutrient status which might

be due to leguminous nature of crop. Phosphorus and potash uptake were also observed more in the same treatment because of highest crop yield in this particular treatment (Table 2). Minimum uptake of NPK were observed in treatment T₃ where only 1 t/ha *Salvinia* was applied. This low value of uptake might be due to low yield in this treated plot.

Table 2: Effect of *Salvinia* incorporation as green biomass on the uptake of NPK (kg/ha) in Soybean crop

Treatments		Grain			Straw		
Previous Crop	Current Crop	N	P	K	N	P	K
T ₁ :- RDF	T ₁ :- RDF	80.8	6.1	17.2	52.3	5.2	19.6
T ₂ :- 50% RDF	T ₂ :- 50% RDF	62.8	4.7	13.3	42.1	4.2	15.8
T ₃ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha	T ₃ :- No inputs	62.0	4.7	13.2	41.9	4.2	15.7
T ₄ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha	T ₄ :- No inputs	72.0	5.4	15.3	49.6	5.0	18.6
T ₅ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4 t/ha	T ₅ :- No inputs	75.0	5.6	16.0	50.0	5.0	18.8
T ₆ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha+50% RDF	T ₆ :- 50% RDF	77.4	5.8	16.4	48.9	4.9	18.4
T ₇ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha+50% RDF	T ₇ :-50% RDF	82.8	6.2	17.6	53.6	5.4	20.1
T ₈ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4t/ha+50% RDF	T ₈ :- 50% RDF	83.2	6.2	17.7	57.6	5.8	21.6
T ₉ :- Control	T ₉ :- Control	60.0	4.5	12.7	34.1	3.4	12.8
CD		17.8	1.0	4.5	12.2	0.8	11.2

Available nutrients of soil

Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were analysed for all the nine treatments (Table 3) and the highest value of available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were observed 235.72 kg/ha, 39.75 kg/ha and 253.12 kg/ha respectively in treatment T₈ (*Salvinia*@4t/ha+50% RDF) which might be due to high dose (4t/ha) of *Salvinia* application in that

particular treatment along with 50% RDF. Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash of T₅ and T₁ were found similar result. Thus, it may be concluded that 4t/ha *Salvinia* alone might be a replaceable source of RDF fertilizer which is not only increased the soil nutrient status but organically good source.

Table 3: Effect of green biomass of *Salvinia* on available N, P and K of soil (kg/ha)

Treatments		Available nutrients (kg/ha)		
Previous Crop	Current Crop	N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O
T ₁ :- RDF	T ₁ :- RDF	206.97	28.00	225.11
T ₂ :- 50% RDF	T ₂ :- 50% RDF	199.71	25.86	219.95
T ₃ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha	T ₃ :- No inputs	186.39	25.43	218.14
T ₄ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha	T ₄ :- No inputs	208.18	27.36	229.12
T ₅ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4 t/ha	T ₅ :- No inputs	213.02	30.78	234.93
T ₆ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₆ :- 50%RDF	190.02	33.98	236.93
T ₇ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₇ :-50%RDF	219.07	32.70	247.84
T ₈ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4t/ha+50%RDF	T ₈ :- 50%RDF	235.72	39.75	253.12
T ₉ :- Control	T ₉ :- Control	191.23	26.93	215.14
CD		26.73	7.30	24.54
CV		7.4	13.9	6.1

Physico-chemical status of soil

pH of *Salvinia* treated plot with 4t/ha along with 50% NPK and 4t/ha alone were improved the soil acidity slightly. However, the improvement in soil acidity was not observed

statistically significant. It might be due to first year of *Salvinia* application. Similar trend was also observed in soil organic carbon, bulk density and soil moisture per cent (Table 4).

Table 4: Effect of green biomass of *Salvinia* on Physico-chemical properties of soil

Treatments		Physico-chemical parameters			
Previous Crop	Current Crop	pH	OC (%)	BD (g/cc)	Soil Moisture (%)
T ₁ :- RDF	T ₁ :- RDF	5.3	0.27	1.45	9.93
T ₂ :- 50% RDF	T ₂ :- 50% RDF	5.7	0.28	1.42	10.03
T ₃ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha	T ₃ :- No inputs	5.5	0.29	1.40	10.46
T ₄ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha	T ₄ :- No inputs	5.7	0.30	1.42	10.88
T ₅ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4 t/ha	T ₅ :- No inputs	5.9	0.36	1.36	11.57
T ₆ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @1 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₆ :- 50%RDF	5.6	0.28	1.43	10.45
T ₇ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @2 t/ha+50%RDF	T ₇ :-50%RDF	5.5	0.34	1.40	11.55
T ₈ :- <i>Salvinia</i> @4t/ha+50%RDF	T ₈ :- 50%RDF	5.8	0.37	1.38	12.02
T ₉ :- Control	T ₉ :- Control	5.6	0.29	1.44	9.63
CD		N.S.	0.046	N.S.	N.S.
CV		5.5	8.56	5.5	13.93

References

1. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Science. 1945;59:39-45.
2. Dissanayaka DMNS, Udumann SS, Dissanayake DKRPL, Nuwarapaksha TD, Atapattu AJ. Review on Aquatic Weeds as Potential Source for Compost Production to Meet Sustainable Plant Nutrient Management Needs. Waste. 2023;1:264-280. DOI: 10.3390/waste1010017.
3. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.; c1973. p. 187.
4. Hussain N, Abbasi T, Abbasi SA. Generation of highly potent organic fertilizer from pernicious aquatic weed *Salvinia molesta*. Environmental Science and Pollution

- Research. 2018;25:4989–5002. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-017-0826-0.
5. Al-Hamdani SH, Sirna CB. Physiological Responses of *Salvinia minima* to Different Phosphorus and Nitrogen Concentrations. *American Fern Journal*. 2008;98(2):71-82.
 6. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-33.
 7. Jain MS, Kalamdhad AS. A review on management of *Hydrilla verticillata* and its utilization as potential nitrogen-rich biomass for compost or biogas production. *Bioresource Technology Reports*. 2018;1:69-78.
 8. Piotrowska A, Wilczewski E. Effects of Catch Crops Cultivated for Green Manure and mineral Nitrogen Fertilization on Soil Enzyme Activities and Chemical Properties. *Geoderma*. 2012;189:72-80. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.04.018.
 9. Opala PA. Recent Advances in the Use of *Tithonia diversifolia* Green Manure for Soil Fertility Management in Africa. A Review. *Agricultural Reviews*. 2020;41:256-263. DOI: 10.18805/ag.R-141.
 10. Hafifah S, Maghfoer MD, Prasetya B. The Potentials of *Tithonia diversifolia* Green Manure for Improving Soil Quality for Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Brottytis* L). *Journal of Degraded and Mining Land Management*. 2016;3:499-506.
 11. Hussain N, Abbasi T, Abbasi SA. Generation of highly potent organic fertilizer from pernicious aquatic weed *Salvinia molesta*. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2018;25:4989-5002. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-017-0826-0.