

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2024; 8(10): 194-197  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 03-08-2024  
 Accepted: 06-09-2024

**Isha D Kinge**

M.Sc. Scholar, Post Graduate  
 Institute, Department of  
 Horticulture, Mahatma Phule  
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
 Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Sharmila R Shinde,**

Assistant Professor,  
 Department of Horticulture,  
 MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Dhanashree D Patil**

Assistant Professor,  
 Department of Horticulture,  
 MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Bharat T Patil**

Associate Professor,  
 Department of Horticulture,  
 MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Jitendra K Dhemre**

Associate Professor,  
 Department of Horticulture,  
 MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
 India

**Corresponding Author:****Isha D Kinge**

M.Sc. Scholar, Post Graduate  
 Institute, Department of  
 Horticulture, Mahatma Phule  
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
 Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
 India

## Studies on comparative bioefficacy and phytotoxicity of plant growth regulators on chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

**Isha D Kinge, Sharmila R Shinde, Dhanashree D Patil, Bharat T Patil and Jitendra K Dhemre**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i10c.2440>

**Abstract**

The present investigation entitled “Studies on comparative bioefficacy and phytotoxicity of plant growth regulators on chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)” was conducted in summer season of 2023 at All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, with an objective to study effects of different plant growth regulators on growth, flowering, fruiting, yield characters and phytotoxicity symptoms in chilli. The experiment was conducted with ten treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub>- NAA @ 10 ppm, T<sub>2</sub>- NAA @ 15 ppm T<sub>3</sub>- NAA @ 20 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>- Triacontanol @ 1 ppm, T<sub>5</sub>- Triacontanol @ 2.5 ppm, T<sub>6</sub>- Triacontanol @ 5 ppm, T<sub>7</sub>- GA @ 10 ppm, T<sub>8</sub>- GA @ 15 ppm, T<sub>9</sub>- GA @ 20 ppm, T<sub>10</sub>- Control (water spray) laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The plant growth regulators were sprayed twice i.e. first spray at initiation of flowering and second at days to 50 % flowering. Among all the treatments of plant growth regulators the foliar spray of GA @ 10 ppm recorded better results in flowering, fruiting and yield characters followed by Triacontanol @ 1 ppm and NAA @ 20 ppm in chilli. The phytotoxicity symptoms were not observed during the experimental duration as influenced by plant growth regulators.

**Keywords:** Chilli, summer, plant growth regulators, growth, yield, phytotoxicity

**Introduction**

Chilli, botanically known as *Capsicum annuum* L. is a vibrant, fiery spice and fascinating species belonging to the nightshade family Solanaceae that has made its mark on global cuisines. The green chillies contain ‘rutin’ which have medicinal value and is of immense pharmaceutical need (pursue glove). It contains capsaicin (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) and several related chemicals, collectively called capsaicinoides, which is responsible for pungency. (Arivazhagan *et al.*, 2021) [3]. India is the largest producer of chilli i.e. 1.98 million tonnes and contributes 43 per cent of world chilli production, followed by China, Ethiopia, Thailand, Pakistan. (Anonymous, 2021) [1]. In India, the major chilli growing states are Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, West Bengal. This statistical data shows that there is a good scope for raising chilli production in Maharashtra (Anonymous, 2023b) [2].

Plant growth regulator has an immense potential in vegetable crop production in order to increase the yield and quality of vegetables, synchronization in flowering, earliness, cold and high temperature fruit setting of vegetables. (Bagale *et al.*, 2022) [4]. Poor fruit set is one of the major bottleneck in the production of chillies. The plant growth regulators have been found effective in reducing the flower and fruit drops thereby enhancing production of chilli per unit area and per unit time. (Sreenivas *et al.*, 2017) [17]. NAA is having beneficial effect like, increased photosynthetic activity, accelerated transport and efficiency of utilizing photosynthetic products resulting in rapid cell elongation and cell division in the meristem which are ultimately responsible for growth, development and higher yield of vegetable crops. A natural plant growth regulator called triacontanol (TRIA) is utilized to increase agricultural productivity, focusing on the morphological characteristics as well as the fruit dropping by using these hormones. (Nalluri *et al.*, 2022) [11]. Gibberellins play essential functions during flowering, senescence of the fruit, improving the yield and establishment of

the fruit, abscission, regulation of some metabolic processes, and have been related to tolerance to temperature or stress conditions. The quality of green pepper during harvest and post-harvest were maintained by applying gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) (Dos *et al.*, 2022) [7].

Balancing the bioefficacy and avoiding phytotoxicity requires precise knowledge of the specific PGR being used, the plant species, growth stage, and environmental conditions. Proper application techniques, timing and dosage are essential to harness the benefits of plant growth regulators while minimizing any adverse effects on plant health. In view of above background, the present research work was carried out with different concentrations of plant growth regulators to study the effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering, fruiting, yield characters and phytotoxicity symptoms in chilli.

### Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted during summer season at All India Coordinated Research Project on Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar (Maharashtra). During the experimental period of summer 2023, the weekly minimum and maximum temperature for chilli ranged between 17.26° to 27.10°C and 27.37 to 40.07°C, respectively. Over the crop growth period, the average total rainfall was 2.27 mm per day in 22 rainy days. The soil of experimental plot was medium black, calcareous and well drained. The experiment consists of ten treatments *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>- NAA @ 10 ppm, T<sub>2</sub>- NAA @ 15 ppm T<sub>3</sub>- NAA @ 20 ppm, T<sub>4</sub>- Triacantanol @ 1 ppm, T<sub>5</sub>- Triacantanol @ 2.5 ppm, T<sub>6</sub>- Triacantanol @ 5 ppm, T<sub>7</sub>- GA @ 10 ppm, T<sub>8</sub>- GA @ 15 ppm, T<sub>9</sub>- GA @ 20 ppm, T<sub>10</sub>- Control (water spray) laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The plant growth regulators were sprayed twice *i.e.* first spray at initiation of flowering and second at days to 50 % flowering.

All the agronomic field practices were adopted as per the recommendations. Regular observations such as growth characters, flowering and fruiting characters, yield characters and phytotoxicity symptoms were recorded at specific time intervals by selecting randomly five plants in each treatment. The data recorded were statistically analysed by using technique of analysis of variance and significance was determined as given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) [12] for Randomized Block Design.

### Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation have been presented in the following sub-heads:

#### Effect on growth characters

The maximum plant height was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (90.40 cm) which was at par with treatments T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (90.13cm), T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (88.60 cm) and T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (87.27 cm) and minimum in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (82.07cm). However, the maximum plant spread was found in T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (64.80 cm) which was at par with GA @ 10 ppm (61.23 cm), T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (60.83 cm), T<sub>2</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 15 ppm (60.33 cm) and T<sub>9</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 20 ppm (59.64 cm) except T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (54.97 cm). These results were might be due to the plant growth regulators regulate plant height, plant spread by influencing cell division and elongation in

the growing portions of the plant, promoting internode elongation, and altering hormonal balance. These results are in conformity with the results reported by Gare *et al.* (2017) [8], Mahindre *et al.* (2018) [9] in chilli.

Number of primary branches observed highest with the treatment T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (3.20) which was at par with T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (2.74), T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 10 ppm (2.73), T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (2.72) and T<sub>9</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 20 ppm (2.72) while, lowest in treatment T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (2.07). The increase in number of branches might be due to the influences of axillary bud development and branching patterns contributing to the proliferation of primary branches. Their simultaneous transfer to the axillary buds would have provided a more efficient sink for photo-assimilates mobilization. These results are in line with Pundir *et al.* (2020) [13], Das and Sheikh (2023) [6] in chilli.

#### Effect on flowering and fruiting characters

The treatment T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm required least days for 50 % flowering about 46.67 days which was at par with treatment T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (48.67 days) while maximum in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (53.16 days). These results are in accordance with the study of Sahu *et al.* (2017) [15] and Baghel and Singh (2024) [5] in chilli. The treatment T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm required least days (54.67) for fruiting in chilli which was at par with treatment T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (56.00 days), T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (56.67 days), T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (57.33 days) and T<sub>2</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 15 ppm (58 days) maximum days in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, control (62.34 days). The results are in line with findings of Sahu *et al.* (2017) [15] and Rahman and Yadav (2022) [14] in chilli. Among all the treatments the maximum fruit set per cent (78.67%) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm which was at par with treatment T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (76.67 %), T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (76.00%) and T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (74.67 %) minimum in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, control (63.67 %). Similar findings were proposed by Gare *et al.* (2017) [8], Sahu *et al.* (2017) [15], and Tapdiya *et al.* (2018) [18] in chilli. Minimum flower drop (%) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (21.33 %). It was at par T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (23.33 %), T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (24.00 %) and T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (26.00 %). Conversely, the highest flower drop (%) was observed in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (38.71 %). The results are in conformity with Gare *et al.* (2017) [8] in chilli.

#### Effect on yield characters and yield of chilli

The yield characters like average fruit weight were recorded highest in T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (2.35 g). Fruit length and diameter were recorded in ranged between 7.00 to 7.54 cm and 0.90 to 0.99 cm, respectively. Number of fruits per plant was recorded maximum in T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (552.07). It was at par with treatment T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (540.15), T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (533.80) and T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (496.00), while minimum in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (354.05 fruits per plant). Among the treatments, the maximum green fruit yield per plant of chilli was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (1.23 kg) which was at par with treatment T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (1.14 kg), T<sub>8</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 15 ppm (1.10 kg) and T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20 ppm (1.04 kg). However, the minimum in T<sub>10</sub> *i.e.*, Control (0.74 kg). Maximum yield per plot was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> *i.e.*, GA @ 10 ppm (19.97 kg) which is at par with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> *i.e.*, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (19.62 kg) and T<sub>3</sub> *i.e.*, NAA @ 20

ppm (17.35 kg). Whereas, the minimum in T<sub>10</sub> i.e., Control (10.46 kg). Yield per hectare was recorded highest in T<sub>7</sub> i.e., GA @ 10 ppm (363.05 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which is at par with the treatment T<sub>4</sub> i.e., Triacantanol @ 1 ppm (356.68 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> i.e., NAA @ 20 ppm (315.41 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>8</sub> i.e., GA @ 15 ppm (287.54 q/ha). Whereas, the minimum in T<sub>10</sub> i.e., Control (190.24 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The findings might be the result of application of plant growth regulators like Triacantanol, utilize food for reproductive growth (flowering and fruit set) more efficiently, enhance efficiency of Calvin cycle in photosynthesis and enhanced source to sink relationship of the plant, increased uptake of nutrients and water, reduced transpiration and respiration, enhanced translocation and accumulation of sugar and other metabolites. GA helps synchronize flowering, leading to uniform fruit production

and higher yields. It improves enzyme activity and membrane permeability, facilitating better nutrient absorption and assimilate transport. These factors collectively lead to healthier and more robust plant, capable of producing higher yields. NAA supports ng optimal plant growth, controlling the abscission layer during full bloom, and accelerating fruit development through positive hormonal effects. NAA can extend fruiting period, allowing for multiple harvests over a long timeframe, thereby increasing overall yield. These results are in agreement with the findings of Pundir *et al.* (2020)<sup>[13]</sup>, Naga *et al.* (2022)<sup>[10]</sup> and Singh *et al.* (2022)<sup>[16]</sup> in chilli.

There were no any phytotoxic symptoms observed due to effects of different plant growth regulators tested in present investigation on chilli plant. These findings are in close vicinity with those reported by Sreenivas *et al.* (2017)<sup>[17]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and fruiting characters of chilli.

Tr. No.	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Number of primary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Days to 50% flowering	Days to fruiting	Fruit set (%)	Flower drop (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	84.67	57.57	2.73	51.33	58.67	71.00	28.67
T <sub>2</sub>	83.42	60.33	2.67	50.67	58.00	72.00	28.00
T <sub>3</sub>	87.27	64.80	2.74	48.67	56.67	76.00	23.33
T <sub>4</sub>	90.40	60.83	2.72	49.67	56.00	76.67	24.00
T <sub>5</sub>	85.70	57.91	2.40	50.33	59.33	71.33	27.33
T <sub>6</sub>	86.19	55.33	2.27	50.00	59.00	72.00	28.00
T <sub>7</sub>	90.13	61.23	3.20	46.67	54.67	78.67	21.33
T <sub>8</sub>	88.60	55.70	2.33	50.33	57.33	74.67	26.00
T <sub>9</sub>	86.31	59.64	2.72	51.00	58.67	72.00	28.00
T <sub>10</sub>	82.07	54.97	2.07	53.16	62.34	63.67	38.71
S.E.m. ±	1.31	1.74	0.16	0.97	1.25	1.91	1.90
CD at 5%	3.91	5.18	0.49	2.90	3.73	5.67	5.65

**Table 2:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on yield characters and yield of chilli.

Tr. No.	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Weight of fruit (g)	No. of fruits plant <sup>-1</sup>	Yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (kg)	Yield plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)	Yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	7.29	0.96	1.97	486.06	0.97	13.99	254.40
T <sub>2</sub>	7.37	0.93	1.90	482.22	0.99	14.85	269.94
T <sub>3</sub>	7.52	0.95	1.93	533.80	1.04	17.35	315.41
T <sub>4</sub>	7.40	0.97	2.15	540.15	1.14	19.62	356.68
T <sub>5</sub>	7.07	0.94	1.91	479.29	0.94	15.09	274.31
T <sub>6</sub>	7.31	0.95	2.23	456.29	0.96	14.14	257.01
T <sub>7</sub>	7.54	0.99	2.35	552.07	1.23	19.97	363.05
T <sub>8</sub>	7.21	0.95	2.10	496.00	1.10	15.81	287.54
T <sub>9</sub>	7.39	0.96	2.32	432.06	0.95	14.78	268.64
T <sub>10</sub>	7.00	0.90	1.82	354.05	0.74	10.46	190.24
S.E.m. ±	0.21	0.02	0.17	20.52	0.07	1.43	25.99
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	60.97	0.20	4.25	77.24

## Conclusion

From the foregoing results and discussion, it could be concluded that the Analysis of Variance showed significant differences existed among the different plant growth regulators treatments for most of the characters. In regards to growth, flowering, fruiting and yield characters, GA @ 10 ppm, Triacantanol @ 1 ppm and NAA @ 20 ppm showed the best results. Plant growth regulators like GA, NAA and TRIA enhance growth and its attributes, reduce flower drop and increase fruit set, indirectly contributing in increasing yield of chilli in summer season under Maharashtra condition.

## References

- Anonymous. Outlook Reports. 2023. Available from: <https://angrau.ac.in/downloads/AMIC/OutlookReports/2023>. Accessed 2021.
- Anonymous. Morphology of Chilli. Available from: <https://www.ikisan.com/ap-chilli-morphology-of-chilli>. Accessed 2023.
- Arivazhagan E, Kandasamy R, Naveena T. Studies on the effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. Sivam. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2021;12(5):1689-1693.
- Bagale P, Pandey S, Regmi P, Bhusal S. Role of plant growth regulator "Gibberellins" in vegetable production: An overview. International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology. 2022;9(3):291-299.
- Baghel VS, Singh D. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2024;10(2):320-326.

6. Das MR, Sheikh N. Efficacy of foliar application of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2023;15(4):239-242.
7. Dos A, Moreira GL, Carneiro CN, Dias FS. Effect of phyto regulators on the composition of phenolic compounds in chilli peppers (*Capsicum frutescens*) and exploratory analysis. Scientia Horticulturae. 2022;292:110660.
8. Gare BN, Raundal PU, Burli AV. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and yield attributing characters of rainfed chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Advanced Agricultural Research and Technology Journal. 2017;1(2):195-197.
9. Mahindre PB, Jawarkar AK, Ghawade SM, Tayade VD. Effect of different concentrations of plant growth regulators on growth and quality of green chilli. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1S):3040-3042.
10. Naga LB, Deepanshu, Singh D, Bahadur V. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(10):227-233.
11. Nalluri SR, Shete PP, Kumar YSR. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield attributes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(22):1369-1374.
12. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agriculture Works. 3rd ed. New Delhi: ICAR; 1985.
13. Pundir D, Singh S, Saxena AK. Response of plant growth regulators (NAA) and (GA3) on growth and yield attributes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(5):556-569.
14. Rahman MU, Yadav KS. Influence of GA3 and NAA on flowering, fruiting and yield attributes of capsicum cv. Indra under protected structures. Journal of AgriSearch. 2022;9(1):116-119.
15. Sahu G, Tarique A, Das SP, Maity TK, Gupta NK. A study on pre-flowering foliar spray of plant growth regulator on growth and yield parameters in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under protected condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(7):3998-4007.
16. Singh J, Lavane A, Mal D. Impact of some phytohormones on chilli cv. CH-27 (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Agricultural Science Digest - A Research Journal of Agriculture, Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2022;10:1-4.
17. Sreenivas M, Sharangi AB, Raj AC. Evaluation of bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity of gibberellic acid on chilli. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2017;13(3):174-177.
18. Tapdiya GH, Gawande PP, Ulemale PH, Patil RK, Naware MS. Effect of growth regulators on quantitative characters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;6:2151-2157.